

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Ariane Bicho <ariane.bicho@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 25, 2021 11:00 PM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Cc: Supervisor Rowe
Subject: Comments: Project # PROJ-2020-00191; Assessor Parcel Number (APN) 0629-181-01

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Please accept this as my comments upon Project # PROJ-2020-00191, Assessor Parcel Number (APN) 0629-181-01, proposed for Flamingo Heights, on Highway 247. I am submitting these comments as a Flamingo Heights homeowner situated two miles north of the site and as a new voter to the area.

The glamping resort (Flamingo Heights 640) proposed for a 640-acre site in Flamingo Heights, directly east of Highway 247, raises a number of significant concerns. At the center of my concern is a **cherished rural community** that we now share with the world, whether we like it or not, **our environment**, the community's **quality of life**, the **quality of our economy**, and just **the experience of being here in the high desert, for locals and visitors alike**, are all intertwined and important considerations.

Last week, Supervisor Rowe attended our community meeting and brought to my attention a significant issue. "We can't have illegal camping," she said. "They [Board of Supervisors] are looking at how to solve the camping issues and the county may look at this as a solution...we advertised our monuments. So people are here."

While I am unaware of any paid advertising campaigns over the years that specifically invited people to visit our monuments, I really took to heart what she said, and I am sympathetic to the camping problem that Supervisor Rowe and other elected and public officials like you are now faced with solving. But Flamingo Heights 640 is not designed to solve the camping problem. **In fact, the development is likely to exacerbate other problems in the Morongo Basin by encouraging even more visitors, increasing traffic, fire hazards, noise, light pollution, and reduced wildlife corridors and habitat, etc.**

As a communications professional, I have observed that **it is the visitors themselves who are organically promoting the high desert, encouraging their networks of kindred spirits to visit our community through social media** posts depicting the beauty of Joshua Tree National Park, Pappy & Harriet's, La Copine, the Integratron, and Giant Rock, [all also destinations that RoBott Land Company \[the applicant\] is using to sell their "land opportunity."](#)

And **journalists are also contributing to the organic promotion of our oasis**. In some cases, they are inspired by the desert vibe, but also, they are responding to pitches from public relations firms hired to promote new businesses and/or destinations. **Case in point – the new AutoCamp project in Joshua Tree:**

- [AutoCamp Targets Joshua Tree, Zion & The Catskills For New Glamping Locations \(Forbes\)](#) – Feb. 16, 2021;
- [AutoCamp is bringing AirStream glamping to New York and Utah \[and Joshua Tree\] \(Travel Weekly\)](#) – Feb. 9, 2021;
- [AutoCamp Announces New Outposts in Joshua Tree, Zion National Park, and The Catskills \(Surface Magazine\)](#) – Feb. 12, 2021

It's not a coincidence that all these press placements occurred in February of 2021. This is evidence of an organized PR push on the part of AutoCamp. I get it; they are a business; they need to drive revenue – of course. But **when resorts like AutoCamp run campaigns, [they also are promoting visitation to our monuments](#), which means they are inevitably going to attract [new visitors to the park and surrounding areas](#)** (can our existing police and other first responders absorb the needs of MORE visitors, in addition to serving long-term residents? What about the Park infrastructure, which is facing a backlog of deferred maintenance?) Thus, AutoCamp, with their private club house and plunge pool, will soon become the desert's latest destination hotel. Meanwhile, should the Flamingo Heights 640 glamping project get pushed through, we will see an even bigger marketing push given the vast size of the resort project (75 free-standing room structures), and their promotions—and that of social media savvy guests—will lure even more visitors to the region, further exacerbating existing infrastructure problems in Joshua Tree National Park, Flamingo Heights, and the surrounding area.

Will a glamping project like Flamingo Heights 640 solve the illegal camping problem? No. Glamping is just a fancy way of marketing an unusual luxury hotel experience; it's not camping. The experiences are vastly different. There certainly is no room service, restaurants or swimming pools at the Joshua Tree National Park or nearby overflow campsites. And the price points are far apart. A luxury glamping consumer will likely be paying at least \$200 per night ([AutoCamp Yosemite](#) is \$249-\$529 per night for the month of May) to stay in a Flamingo Heights 640 glamping structure. Meanwhile, the cost of a campsite in Joshua Tree National Park ranges from \$15 per site per night to \$50 for a group campsite. **Will people who are turned away from full campsites or who intentionally sidestep camping regulations seek out luxury accommodations? Unlikely.** I'm not opposed to development, but it should be done in a sustainable way that solves existing tourism and other community problems and protects the natural resources that attract visitors in the first place.

Economic Development Concerns

While this project could be an attractive addition to lodging facilities in the Joshua Tree National Park gateway communities, it also may not result in profits returning to our community. Out-of-town owners may receive much of the economic benefit, with only low-paying service jobs being created locally. **Creating more service jobs locally will also increase an existing burden on small businesses in our community.** In case you are unaware, the small businesses that currently operate in the high desert are struggling to find employees to fill existing service jobs, and this impacts bottom lines as they lose potential revenue because they are not operating at full capacity. Before La Copine reopened in March, they put out a call for staff on Instagram and the post mentioned how hard it is to find help in the high desert. A discussion ensued with locals about the **lack of affordable housing for long-term residents.** Giant Rock Meeting Room, a Flamingo Heights café, has been closed on Saturday mornings for the last few weeks because the owner cannot find a barista, and now he is also looking for evening kitchen staff. I often walk my dog in Pioneertown; Pappy and Harriet's has a sign on the door asking patrons to be patient as they are short staffed. Red Dog Saloon, also in Pioneertown, has been looking for staff for at least a month. A retail store in Old Town Yucca Valley is looking for a sales manager, and even chain restaurants like Denny's on Highway 29 are advertising for staff with a banner strung across the restaurant façade. My plumber, born and raised in the high desert, is looking for an apprentice and can't find anyone to learn a valuable trade!

I am also concerned that this project will degrade the quality of life for me and my fellow local residents. **With the resort being fairly self-contained with its own recreational facilities, dining, etc., the project's applicants should more fully detail what economic benefits our local communities will receive from the project's construction.** If our benefits are to be increased traffic and more dangerous highways; low-paying jobs; increased fire hazards, noise, light pollution; reduced wildlife corridors and habitat, etc., then this project application should be denied. This project is billed as "high end" and "luxury." That means it is a project that would be out of reach economically for most of our high desert residents. Is that appropriate for this area?

This project application may be for an attractive and upscale resort facility, but it needs to also be reviewed as an enclave for the wealthy, which will not be accessible to local residents. It is important that in considering this project, the impacts and benefits to the local community must balance. Local residents must not be burdened with additional

impacts while benefits accrue to out-of-town owners and investors. Promises of being a good neighbor are not enough. **A plan must be included for how exactly this project will benefit the local communities and residents.**

Environmental and Safety Concerns

Parcel is zoned RL (Rural Living), and a conditional use permit wouldn't mitigate the erosion of my neighbors' (and my) quiet enjoyment the RL zoning is designed to protect. Furthermore, allowing a conditional use permit in this instance will erode the public trust in elected officials like yourself who are entrusted with upholding zoning and other regulations that guide home buyers like me as we make decisions about the communities we chose to call home. Also, what if the described helipad sees significant use? Who is going to enforce that its use is for emergencies only? The proposed bar and restaurant add to the concern, as does the possibility that this would become an event venue. No!

In the case of the Flamingo Heights 640 project, **development of this parcel would forever remove its ecological value.** This parcel is an important connectivity link between San Bernardino Mountains/Sand to Snow National Monument, Bighorn Mountain Wilderness, Marine Corps base, and Joshua Tree National Park.

Parcel is in a priority conservation area, and land trusts are ready to offer fair market value for the property, protecting it in perpetuity.

Habitat destruction: This is important habitat for many species, including desert tortoise (state endangered), western Joshua tree (state threatened), western burrowing owl (California Species of Special Concern), migratory birds (protected under the migratory bird treaty act) and other wildlife, including desert kit fox, mountain lion. Creosote rings and Mojave yucca clonal rings are present as well, some of them potentially thousands of years old. The 2006 biological survey report is [here](#).

Fire pits included in the proposal prompt the question: will operations include onsite staff who can restrict fire pit use during burning bans, high-wind events, or periods of high particulate matter counts? If not, who will be responsible for fire safety, and for environmental and public safety in general?

Wastewater: Plans (see Mojave Watch) suggest that wastewater will be directed to a leach field on the property, north of the resort. A stormwater retention basin will be sited immediately east of the sewage disposal leach field. What volume of waste water is projected to be disposed of via this leach field (considering perhaps 200-300 guests in a typical weekend in season)? Are hydrology studies available that ensure this large addition of wastewater won't infiltrate into neighbors' wells (there are at least 61 domestic wells within a few miles downstream) or the aquifer serving Bighorn Desert View Water Agency? Would stormwater capture from the project's hardened surfaces accentuate this percolation of sewage?

Drinking water: Do we have enough water to support this and other projects like it in the pipeline? [From Mojave Watch:](#) While water districts, local and county governments, and developers often continue to make decisions as if water was guaranteed to be available into the future, the reality is, well, a lot drier than they make it seem.

The Center for Western Priorities notes that about 58% of the West is classified currently as being in a severe, extreme, or exceptional drought. Other sources put more than three-quarters of the West in a state of drought. The Drought Monitor places about 90% of the West in drought or as abnormally dry, which is among the highest percentages it has tracked over the past 20 years. About 90% of California is in a state of drought - and we just suffered our most destructive wildfire season on record. Snowpacks are around 75% below normal. The California Department of Water Resources notes that "The first half of Water Year 2021 is over, and California's wet season is almost finished. The first six months of the water year rank as the fourth driest of record based on statewide precipitation."

Noise and light: Will the entire facility be available for rent to one party for special events? Will concerts or festivals be planned here? How many helicopter landings/departures are envisioned per month? Studies of potential noise levels should be undertaken. Lighting sufficient for hundreds of guests, plus ambient light from lodging units, fires, visitor

headlights, party lights, and other sources of illumination are likely to pose a substantial addition to light trespass in this relatively dark area, posing threats to safety, wildlife, and quiet enjoyment by neighbors.

Traffic safety: Old Woman Springs Road is a dangerous highway, more so when crowded. In the last five years there have been more than a dozen accidents in the stretch of highway between Aberdeen and Reche ([cite](#)) that were serious enough to have had first responder involvement. Adding more than 100 cars exiting and entering the highway here during busy hours will prove dangerous, especially given drivers who pick up speed once they emerge from Pipes Canyon south of the project. A thorough traffic study, dedicated turn lanes, and perhaps a stop light should be considered if the project goes forward. The likelihood of extra costs to the county for first responders should be assessed.

Please consider these to be my public comments on this project and include them in the appropriate record.

Thank you,

Ariane Bicho

Flamingo Heights Resident

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Aidan Koch <aidanalexiskoch@mailbox.org>
Sent: Monday, April 19, 2021 12:42 PM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Subject: No on Flamingo 640 Glamping Resort land proposition

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Jim,

I'm writing in regards to Project # PROJ-2020-00191 on Parcel # 0629-181-01

As a San Bernardino resident living in Landers, just North-East of the proposed site, I am opposed to this project.

I have multiple concerns with a project on this scale and style.

I believe it is negligent to allow this kind of mass attraction/resort directly off highway 247, as inevitably, most guests will be taking left turns, for which there is currently zero accommodation for. 247 has proven to be an incredibly dangerous highway precisely for this reason, and allowing it to become inflated with a dramatic increase in tourists would be neglectful and doubtlessly a direct cause of injury and fatality.

I also believe that until there are greater lengths taken to control the housing crisis, this level of business will perpetuate disparities for those who live here and those who visit. There is not even currently a rental market big enough or affordable enough to house the easily 15+ full time employees needed to run this business proposition.

Ecologically, my concerns are greater yet. Having read recent dire reports of the status of desert tortoise, western joshua tree, and burrowing owl, stretches of habitat such as the parcel this project is asking to utilize, are key in these species continued survival in the area. Even if the parcel is not completely swept, the presence of humans and their trash will lead to negative encounters. The JTNP has continually had to deal with raven population explosions, who are attracted to and follow human trash and activity, that go on to decimate generations of tortoises, often pecking them to death through their exposed cavities. Parties and drinking activities will also lead to reckless behavior that I'm sure will lead to damaged native plant life, rattlesnake bites, and negative encounters with other native species, who are currently in need of protection.

On top of that, as we appear to be entering a drought period, asking the community to house this number of temporary vacationers is unfair in it's short-term vision. It is essential that the hi desert consider it's resources and how best to preserve them for generations to come. Simply sucking up more water from northern California is NOT a plan.

As a local resident, I implore you to not approve this project proposal. It will not directly benefit the community in any way and only spread damage already being felt through the airbnb culture and carelessness of financial investment over ecologic and resource investment.

Thank you,
Aidan

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Aili Schmeltz <ailischmeltz@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 15, 2021 11:50 AM
To: Morrissey , Jim
Subject: NO on Flamingo 640 Destination resort + link to petition

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,

I would emphatically like to voice a NO on the Flamingo 640 Destination Resort.

The community has started a petition against this development outlining our position-

<https://www.change.org/saveflamingoheights>

Best,
Aili Schmeltz
Flamingo Heights resident

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Aili Schmeltz <ailischmeltz@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 15, 2021 10:43 PM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Subject: NO ON THE 'FLAMINGO 640 GLAMPING RESORT' PROPOSED PROJECT!!!!

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

NO ON THE 'FLAMINGO 640 GLAMPING RESORT' PROPOSED PROJECT!!!!

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 on Parcel # 0629-181-01

The devastating environmental impact on this pristine wildlife corridor would be immense, destroying habitat for protected and endangered species such as the Western Joshua Tree, Desert Tortoise, and Burrowing Owl.

The development will also have severe negative impacts on fire safety (70 campfires in the desert with the areas constant high winds?), Public Safety (247 is a two lane highway, that stretch has a history of being very dangerous as is with a high count of deadly collisions and blind curves), air quality (car exhaust, campfires), and noise pollution (this area is zoned for rural residential, and with the very quiet environment, a loud music venue such as this would be very impactful, not to mention the sound impact of a helipad that will be heard for miles).

Where will the water come from for this development? How will the development deal with waste water and trash?

This project is short sighted, dangerous, and does nothing to help our Flamingo Heights community or local ecosystem.

THIS VERY FRAGILE ECOSYSTEM NEEDS TO BE PRESERVED AND PROTECTED.

Best,
Aili Schmeltz
Flamingo Heights resident

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Alejandra Escobar <mysticalalejandra@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 6:03 PM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Subject: PROJ--2020-00191

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Jim Morrissey,

Thank you for taking the time to read my email involving my concerns for your project proposal. I am a Flamingo Heights local and have lived in the desert my whole life. I am a Natural Resources major and have been learning all about our desert ecosystems for the past few years. I feel that this project would endanger many species. All of the acres you wish to build on are currently homes for wildlife and local flora. Right now, we currently have many endangered species in our environment: the desert tortoise, the burrowing owl, and of course the Joshua Tree. I am concerned for the safety and longevity of these species that make this beautiful desert what it is. Other concerns that come to mind would be fire safety, loud noise, and light pollution. Currently, this area is rather serene in comparison to Yucca Valley and Joshua Tree. HWY 247 consists of only two lanes, so this project would bring in a lot of traffic which could result in accidents and more roadkill. There are already so many places for visitors to camp at. As for festivals, the campgrounds where Joshua Tree Musical Festival come to mind. I truly believe this project would bring many more problems that outweigh potential success. I kindly and with much respect ask that you please reconsider this project. Please, keep the very delicate ecosystem in mind when moving forward. Let's keep this desert healthy, clean, and livable for all species.

Thank you for your time,
Alejandra Escobar

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Ali Wadsworth <aliwadsworth@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 10:58 PM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Subject: Project # PROJ-2020-00191

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Please accept this as my comments upon Project # PROJ-2020-00191, Assessor Parcel Number (APN) [0629-181-01](#), proposed for Flamingo Heights, on Highway 247. I am submitting these comments not only as the manager of Flamingo Heights restaurant, La Copine, but also as a local hi-desert resident for the past 6 years.

The glamping resort proposed for a 640-acre site in Flamingo Heights, directly east of Highway 247, raises a number of significant concerns which must be addressed prior to approval. I am not, in general, opposed to the creation of this resort. I believe our area could benefit from appropriate glamping facilities that would provide unique and fun lodging opportunities for the three million annual visitors to Joshua Tree National Park.

However, there are significant dangers presented to not only visitors, but local residents, and the desert environment, should these projects not be constructed appropriately, and those dangers and the risk of harm should not be overlooked by the agencies that will oversee the approval process for these projects.

There are numerous points that need to be considered for this particular project, prior to consideration of approval. My concerns are as follows:

Traffic safety and control.

First and foremost, traffic safety and control must be addressed for this project. All traffic from this resort heading to Joshua Tree National Park, the Sand to Snow National Monument, the towns of Yucca Valley, Joshua Tree, Pioneertown, and Twentynine Palms, will be turning left from this resort out onto Highway 247. Highway 247/Old Woman Springs Road is not only becoming rapidly obsolete in its ability to carry the levels of traffic it is now faced with carrying in its current construction of one lane in each direction, but it is also an extremely dangerous highway, and more dangerous for visitors who do not know it well.

Ultimately, Highway 247 should be developed into a four-lane highway, north from Yucca Valley to Landers, with additional passing lanes created past Landers, through Johnson Valley to Lucerne Valley. This project will result in significant increases in traffic, and will

be joined by an increase in traffic to the areas served by Highway 247 in the hi-desert. Other developments are proposed for Landers and Pioneertown that will, if completed, result in additional significant increases in traffic entering onto the highway. Traffic concerns must be addressed with additional hospitality projects and residential and commercial development included.

CalTrans must conduct a thorough traffic study and provide input prior to this application moving forward, and the costs of the study need to be borne by the applicant. Knowing the highway, its traffic, and the propensity for drivers to illegally pass and drive at high rates of speed in that area (I personally, have been passed illegally on Highway 247 by dirt bikes, 18-wheelers, and an assortment of cars and trucks, all while driving at, or above, the posted speed limits), and the potential for off-road vehicles to enter the highway at various points in that area (see my comment about dirt bikes illegally passing me), I would strongly suggest dedicated turn lanes for this resort be mandated for both directions, not just for entry into the resort, but also the construction of lanes for accessing the highway from the resort. The main goal for any hospitality-related project needs to be the proper protection of guests, staff, and residents. If no turn lanes and access lanes are created for this project, it is my strong belief that serious accidents can and will result. Not addressing traffic safety at the beginning of this project constitutes negligence, and Highway 247 is already dangerous enough without creating an additional major safety hazard. Costs need to be borne by the applicant, and if the applicant is unwilling or unable to provide these safety provisions for their guests and locals, then their application must be denied.

The applicant notes that they are creating a 350-space parking area, so any attempt to downplay the amount of traffic that would be coming and going from this resort must be dismissed. This will constitute a major intersection. It may call for installation of additional traffic controls, including a dedicated traffic light for the intersection, in addition to the turn and access lanes. The applicant has proposed that the project be exempt from preparing a required traffic impact study. A full traffic impact study must be required for this project.

Economic development concerns

While this project can be an attractive addition to lodging facilities in the Joshua Tree National Park gateway communities, it also may not result in profits returning to those communities. Out-of-town owners may receive much of the economic benefit, with only low-paying service jobs being created locally. As that is likely, the project needs to not degrade the quality of life for local residents. With the resort being fairly self-contained with its own recreational facilities, dining, etc., the project's applicants should more fully detail what economic benefits our local communities will receive from the project's construction. If our benefits are to be increased traffic and more dangerous highways; low-paying jobs; increased fire hazards, noise, light pollution; reduced wildlife corridors and habitat; etc., then this project application should be denied. This project is billed as "high end" and "luxury." That means it is a project that would be out of reach economically for most of our hi-desert residents. Is that appropriate for this area?

This project application may be for an attractive and upscale resort facility, but it needs to also be reviewed as an enclave for the wealthy, which will not be accessible to local residents. It is important that in considering this project, the impacts and benefits to the local community must balance. Local residents must not be burdened with additional impacts while benefits accrue to out-of-town owners and investors. Promises of being a good neighbor are not enough. A plan must be included for how exactly this project will benefit the local communities and residents. It is also appropriate for San Bernardino County to craft a plan to return most of the TOT to the hi-desert.

Cultural Sensitivity

This project should immediately remove all references to "teepees" and substitute "A-frame" or "chalet" as terms for their "teepee" accommodations. That reference is incorrect (they are not teepees), and are the cultural appropriation of Native American terminology in an inappropriate setting. In addition, Mojave Desert Native American tribes did not reside in teepees. It may be appropriate for the applicants to consult with Native American tribes of the region on how best to educate the project's clientele about the history and cultures of the tribes, and involve them with on-site programs if feasible.

Construction Concerns

It has become clear upon reviewing various projects for glamping resorts proposed for Flamingo Heights, Yucca Valley, Joshua Tree, and elsewhere, that the designers of these resorts obviously do not live in the desert. Unfortunately for them, and for us residents of the desert, many of those tasked with reviewing and approving these projects also do not live in the desert. This may result in some unforeseen (for them) consequences.

Glamping accommodations, by their very nature, are extremely vulnerable to the wind and sun. This particular project, sited on the immediate side of the Pipes Canyon Wash, is quite exposed to winds that can range up to hurricane force. This inevitability must be addressed, as the safety of guests and employees depends upon it. While the sun will degrade canvas and wood construction over time, the wind can destroy tentlike structures in seconds, and placing glamping tent structures on the edge of a large wash, may make them even more vulnerable to wind shear. One can imagine the utter displeasure of luxury glampers as their safari tent disintegrates about them and their belongings are scattered over the edge of the wash, and beyond.

It is easy for those unfamiliar with this area to discount this possibility, but then they do not have neighbors who have had a 2,500-gallon water storage tank blown four miles downwind, or a friend who lost half his home to a small tornado.

In addition, this resort has a number of large, communal fire pits planned. Any resident downwind for miles, might be justified in thinking this is a direct and present danger to their home. With strong winds being common, and devastating (see the summer of 2006 with the Sawtooth Complex Fire and several others that year, and since then, including the Lake Fire), open fires are an extreme danger to residents and wildlife. This has to be addressed in the strongest terms possible.

I watched in horror one evening as campers on the side of Mt. San Jacinto decided to build a small campfire during an evening with 45+ mph winds. In 20 minutes, the tiny flame in the distance had become an out-of-control wildfire that was burning a significant portion of the side of the mountain. By dawn, it was hundreds of acres in size and required millions of dollars of resources to control. We've seen fires get out of control, and residents are all too aware of the careless approach toward open fires by visitors at vacation rentals and campsites.

This project requires a mandatory fire control plan that addresses the prospect of fires built at the resort, and possibly out of control. Fire safety must be, like traffic safety, at the forefront of design and construction. No resident wants to lose their home because some wealthy out-of-town guest wanted to make s'mores during a raging windstorm.

Impacts on habitat and wildlife

The 2006 biological survey of the project area found the majority of threatened desert tortoise sign was found in the area of the project that will be developed. A total of 86% of the tortoises, 96% of the burrows, 95% of fresh scat and 98% of older scat, and 100% of tortoise tracks, were found in the area planned for development. The desert tortoise is not the only threatened species found on the site if that report is to be believed. However, a 2020 biological survey reported no tortoise occurrences on the site or adjacent to it (according to the 2020 report, nothing evidently lives on the site). This contradiction requires further investigation prior to approval. A significant number of special-status bird species were also identified on-site, which is home as well to migratory birds. As few Joshua trees should be removed or relocated as is possible. With glamping sites, one should be able to move them a few feet in any direction to avoid destroying Joshua trees. However, plans reveal many Joshua trees will be removed or destroyed if the resort is built according to plan. This is unacceptable.

In addition to the "protected" western Joshua tree, there are a number of other plant species that are supposedly protected by county ordinances, including creosote and yuccas that are hundreds of years old (and sometimes older). Those ordinances, however, are routinely ignored by Land Use Services, the Planning Commission, and the Board of Supervisors, when vetting projects for development, so they should not present an obstacle to development.

That said, there are a lot of us taxpaying county residents who would actually like county government to follow their own rules and regulations, and I would advocate for thorough protection of all supposedly protected species in any development of this property.

The land in question is an important part of a wildlife corridor linking mountain and desert habitats, and how the large portion of the Pipes Canyon Wash will be managed, is an important question. Will the resort's property in the wash be fenced? And if so, how? Fencing could be harmful in preventing wildlife usage of this corridor, however, fencing

could be beneficial, if done properly. Appropriate fencing would stop off-roading use of the wash on this parcel, which, if wildlife could still migrate through the wash, would allow for wash habitat to be nearly devoid of vehicular traffic. That could be beneficial. However, locating hundreds of guests on the rim of the wash and setting up the wash property for guest use, would reverse any potential gains.

It is my understanding that the parcel is deemed a priority for conservation, and land trusts are open to purchasing the property for preservation. That option should be explored.

Zoning

The parcel is zoned Rural Living. Residents along the Highway 247 corridor, and along both sides of the Pipes Canyon Wash, should all be notified of this project prior to closure of the public comment period. The county routinely only does the most minimal and legally required notifications for projects, which is grossly irresponsible to their mission, and to the county residents who fund their positions. This is an enormous problem as the rural areas of the county lack thorough media coverage and the means to properly inform the public of projects that will impact them. Any project with 350 parking spaces in this area will have significant impact on local residents and public comment periods should not be closed until they have the opportunity to properly review and comment upon project information. Design can mitigate much of the negative impacts, but the concerns of local residents must be included at the outset of the project, instead of after it is too late to address those concerns.

Water

The parcel must be annexed into a water district to receive service. Does the Bighorn-Desert View Water Agency have appropriate supply to meet the demands of this resort without any possible impacts to existing customers?

Wastewater

There needs to be a hydrological study of the potential impact of the wastewater coming from approximately 300-400 guests and employees daily, upon the groundwater resources nearby, including residential wells. Without such a study, impacts will be realized after the fact. That is not acceptable.

Events

This site should either be a glamping resort or an event site, not both. It is proposed as a glamping resort, but there is information that was produced noting the possibility of hosting large events on site as well. Hosting large events at this location would be a disaster and would create dangerous traffic situations on Highway 247, and would directly contradict the intention of Rural Living zoning designation. Any proposal for 90.5 acres of temporary parking and concerts with up to 25,000 guests, should be nixed immediately. Should they not be, I would recommend the developers be required to pay for expanding Highway 247 to four lanes plus appropriate turn on/off lanes, from the intersection with Route 62 to Landers, prior to approval. It sounds extreme, but if they want to profit by creating disasters for local residents, they need to mitigate for those disasters up front.

Noise and Light Pollution

Reviewing plan materials for this project, it appears the lighting has been designed by urban designers with a romantic notion of desert life. That's fun, to an extent, however, the potential for excessive light pollution is significant if not addressed at this stage of the process. Lights need to be focused downward, with caps on top, to prevent as much light pollution as is possible. This will benefit not only local residents, but guests as well, who will enjoy being able to see the desert night sky. String lights on 5 meter light posts should not be utilized except perhaps in an outdoor dining area.

Loud music and noise should be prevented or mitigated in keeping with the Rural Living zoning of this property and surrounding properties.

Native Plants

The use of non-native plants for the project should be discouraged. There is no need for a saguaro forest in the Mojave Desert. Saguaro do not natively grow here, and it would be obscene to allow the removal of native Mojave Desert plants while non-native plants are substituted to create an artificial landscape. I strongly recommend working with a native plant biologist like Robin Kobaly to craft a landscape utilizing the native desert plants on site and available. Either that, or plant a giant petunia forest to truly baffle guests. Why these folks need an agave farm, is mystifying, but after a few of the guests' children puncture themselves, they may have a change of heart.

Helipad

Perhaps this, coupled with the recording studio, and the proposal for 90.5 acres of parking and the ability to host 25,000 people at periodic concerts, are all part of the allure of this luxury glamping resort. In any event, how can this helipad be designed to be as safe as possible in an area frequently subjected to high winds, dust devils, and severe heat? Is this necessary? Why is this necessary? Could there be potential safety risks from power lines?

Fault Zone

Hi-desert residents who lived here in 1992, remember the Landers Earthquake quite well. Per the Fault Hazard Study conducted for the site, "surface fault rupture occurred within the western margin of the site along the trace of the Johnson Valley fault during the 1992 Landers earthquake. Evidence for the 1992 surface rupture was still apparent during the 2007 field investigation."

The report noted, "Primary faulting is indicated in only three of the ten trenches excavated and is shown with little apparent offset. This is somewhat troubling, especially considering the suspected Pleistocene age of the lower sedimentary units exposed within the trenches and suggests that the materials were too massive to clearly reveal offsets and/or not old enough to reveal repeated displacements... For purposes of established building setbacks, Landmark has included fractures within the overall fault zone and has recommended a

conservative 75 foot setback from the fracture zone. This results in a non-buildable zone that varies from approximately 100 to 400 feet in width along the western site boundary."

The potential for significant seismic activity should be included in review of any site plans for this project. With most disruption occurring along the western side of the parcel, potential exists for water and power service to the site to be disrupted, as well as access to and from the site to Highway 247.

Cultural Resources

While a survey found few Native American cultural resources on site, they did find a prehistoric campsite with broken arrowheads and a possible prehistoric rock alignment, along with a rhyolite scraper, multiple cutting tools, and a mano fragment. Construction on this site should require a Native American site monitor.

Please consider these to be my public comment on this project and include them in the appropriate record.

Thank you,

Alison Wadsworth
Manager, La Copine

Sent from my iPony

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Alice Jones <luckyalice@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Friday, April 16, 2021 11:31 AM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Cc: Supervisor Rowe
Subject: Fwd: Flamingo Heights 640 Glamping

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Alice Jones <luckyalice@sbcglobal.net>
Date: April 16, 2021 at 11:12:22 AM PDT
To: jim.morrissey@sbccounty.gov
Cc: bosd3@sbccounty.gov
Subject: Flamingo Heights 640 Glamping

My name is Deborah Alice Jones. My husband is David Lee Scott. We had a vacation cabin for 10 years here in Flamingo Heights and recently remodeled our home and moved here full time in August 2020. I am writing about my objections to PROJECT-2020-00191 on Parcel 0629-181-01.

We drive up and down Old Woman's Springs Road everyday. You are probably aware of the huge number of accidents on this road. With this proposed project of 75 campsites that increases the number of people driving and possibility of more accidents.

The land itself is a natural habitat for desert tortoise and other wildlife as well as our precious Joshua trees.

An earthquake fault runs through it which is close to the epicenter of the Landers earthquake.

We can see the property from our home at 1221 Kickapoo Trail. I am worried about the noise and light pollution. They are proposing other buildings on the property and the possibility of music concerts. I moved here because of the peacefulness here. If I wanted to hear concerts I would have moved next to the Hollywood Bowl.

I am concerned about water as we are in a drought, and wonder if they will use septic tanks or somehow hook into a sewer.

I am worried about the possibility of fire as it is very dry here and there are so many days when burning is not permitted. Who will oversee that?

I am just really upset about this and as I said we just moved here full time into our dream home on five acres. We live right next to the Sand to Snow Monument. It is a beautiful area.

Flamingo Heights has become famous worldwide because of La Copine which is a restaurant people come to visit. We are also right next to Landers where Integratron, Giant Rock and Gubler Orchards are which people also come to visit.

Please help us preserve our neighborhood. These same people can either camp in Joshua Tree National Park, Joshua Tree Lake Campground or even in the Sand to Snow Monument. There are countless Air BnB rentals, hotels and motels as well.

We do not need or want this campground in our neighborhood. Please help us stop this project. Thank you for taking the time to read this email and hopefully help us.

Sincerely,

Deborah Alice Jones
luckyalice@sbcglobal.net
(818) 731-6579

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Alyss <avestay@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 27, 2021 12:01 AM
To: Morrissey , Jim
Subject: No to Flamingo 640

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi there, I'm a long time lover of the desert and recent homeowner in Yucca Valley. I'm reaching out because I have just learned about Flamingo 640 (PROJ 2020-00191 / parcel # 0629-181-01) and absolutely OPPOSE this planned project.

Yucca Valley is so beautiful in its vast undeveloped state. We must protect this beauty and wildlife that calls it home. Please do what you can to stop this project. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Alyss

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Amanda Davis <amandac.davis1@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 19, 2021 8:43 AM
To: Supervisor Rowe
Cc: Morrissey , Jim
Subject: Flamingo Heights

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Good morning,

I am writing regarding the project Flamingo 640.

Born and raised in the high desert, now 31 years old, I have seen all the changes. I welcome growth into the desert but believe this project to be too exclusive and not supportive of the community or land. It's already difficult enough to enjoy local restaurants and hiking with the over crowding we currently have. I feel that this property is just going to make it worse. In the past five years I've been kicked out of two rentals due to the owners wanting to sell during this market inflation. I know so many friends and locals who also have been kicked out of rentals for owners to then airbnb their properties. There are hardly any rentals available. I would like to see that land turned into affordable housing so we don't keep getting kicked out of our homes, our community. Please help us.

Thank you for your time,

Amanda Davis

April 26, 2021

Jim Morrissey
Contract Planner
County of San Bernardino Land Use Services
385 N. Arrowhead Avenue
San Bernardino, CA 92415

Comments on the Application of a Conditional Use Permit for the Proposed Project PROJ-2020-00191, APN 0629-181-01, Landers, CA 92285

Dear Mr. Morrissey,

I am respectfully submitting comments on the proposed project PROJ-2020-00191. The project consists of clustered tent camping sites with support facilities sited over a 640-acre parcel adjacent to Reche Wash. The project is located within the Homestead Valley zoned for residential housing. The project site is located within an environmentally sensitive area for vegetation and wildlife that will be significantly impacted by development. This project should be required to perform a detailed Environmental Impact Report (EIR) due to the sensitivity of the site.

There are endangered species that live in the vicinity of the project site; the desert tortoise and the Mohave Ground Squirrel. There are two desert tortoises living in Reche Wash near the proposed site. Other impacts would be to habitat for the antelope squirrel, jackrabbits, coyotes, rattlesnakes, various bird species, and many other wildlife species. Construction at the site would cause the destruction of 640 acres of creosote, Joshua tree, and cholla habitat.

With increased visitation to Joshua Tree National Park and Johnson Valley Off-Highway Vehicle Area there has been a significant increase in food/camping garbage and debris being thrown out in the desert areas. Campers leave full garbage bags on the sides of roads or place their garbage in dumpsters and trash cans of residents. This garbage attracts ravens which are detrimental to the juvenile desert tortoise. Consumption of garbage by various wildlife species can also be fatal. This needs to be considered in the EIR.

It is unclear at this time how water will be supplied to the project site. Groundwater in the area is greater than 300 feet below ground surface. With the current drought conditions, water quantity is an issue and this project would add more stress to an already greatly stressed aquifer. Impacts from increased water use should be considered. If the project will be using septic, impacts from the septic system should also be considered. The project should also consider impacts from discharges of stormwater to Reche Wash or the surrounding area.

The project may cause air quality impacts on the community. Dust from traffic on dirt roads should be mitigated. An increase in traffic on the roads will bring the impacts of automobile emissions and may contribute to an increase in smog across the greater area. Traffic congestion could potentially be an issue as well if multiple cars are meeting at campsites, meaning more than 75 cars on any given day. Other impacts from the project will be noise and light pollution.

The impacts will cause a nuisance to community and the environment. The project should address in the EIR what measures will be taken to protect the community and wildlife habitat from increased

automobile and foot traffic through the desert including such impacts as destruction of vegetation by being walked on or ran over by an automobile. The EIR should also address the impacts and provide mitigation measures for human and wildlife interaction, such as feeding of wild animals.

This type of development is best fit for an area closer to Joshua Tree National Park, in the business areas along Highway 62. It is best kept out of the residential areas to preserve the desert lifestyle and remaining wildlife habitat.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Amanda Lopez', with a stylized flourish at the end.

Amanda Lopez

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: amber phillips <shearvision@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 2:20 PM
To: Morrissey , Jim
Subject: Comment regarding PROJ-2020-00191 Flamingo Heights 640

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

To Jim Morrissey and all others this project concerns:

My name is Amber Phillips and I am a full time resident in Landers, CA. I am writing to voice my concerns about the proposed 640 acre glamping site in Flamingo Heights which would be just a couple miles from my home. I do understand that large devolvement such as this may look like a good economic choice for the county, but it would be detrimental to the community here and damage the future of the delicate ecosystem of this desert.

As a student studying environmental science I believe it is important to consider that the ecology of this landscape recovers slowly and wildlife like the Desert Tortoise are endangered. The plant life here is slow growing for numerous reasons; therefore, the disruption that would be caused by this high level of human interference would wipe out much of our native flora and pave the way for invasive species. Sourcing of water and power are also a concern. Do they plan to use up city water supplies? This could be a huge burden on both the land and the people; drying up what little water access we have and inevitably raising the cost of living for locals. Will they be running off of our power grids? We already experience power loss in the heat of summer when residents are running A/C or Swamp coolers and sometimes in the winter too with space heaters.

The impact on the community residing here will be weighty as well, especially if what I am hearing about hiring outside the community and access to the restaurant being only for onsite guests is true. We have few resources here to begin with and do not need a corporation coming in to capitalize and deplete us further. This area would be much better supported by protecting the land and improving the current infrastructure.

With concern,
Amber Phillips

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Angelina Schoenberger <angelinadesert@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 9:00 AM
To: Supervisor Rowe; Morrissey, Jim
Subject: HIGH DESERT GLAMPING DEVELOPMENT - Flamingo Heights Festival & Glamping Development aka "Flamingo 640"

Importance: High

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Supervisor Rowe and Mr. Morrissey:

Please put a halt to the anticipated Glamping Development in the Flamingo Heights area of unincorporated Yucca Valley. This area should be protected and will come as a huge detriment to the area. Please see my concerns listed below. Thank you!

1. This development will further harm the endangered wildlife.

- This wildlife area is a tortoise habitat - under the state law this creature is a threatened species under the US Endangered Species Act.
- Thousands of Western Joshua Trees growing on this plot of land are also candidates for threatened species under the California Endangered Species Act & cannot be legally removed.
- This plot of land is also home to burrowing owls and many other native animals and plants that rely on this pristine desert area as a wildlife corridor.
- Specifically, the Pipes Wash is a critical wildlife corridor, connecting Sand to Snow National Monument and the Bighorn Wilderness to Joshua Tree National Park.
- The proposed development, including a 350 car parking lot will require clearing land which means removing endangered Joshua Trees. Once a Joshua Tree is removed, it is unlikely to survive replanting.

2. Enormous crowds during music festivals and events pose a huge environmental risk.

- These enormous events will create noise & light pollution, disturbing the nearby residents and impact residents even miles away.
- In the noise assessment ([here](#)) on page 2, there is mention that the project owner would like to hold music festivals several times a year with as many as **25,000 attendees per event!!!**
- Heavy use of roads and improper use of wildlife areas cause permanent harm to endangered plants and animals' burrows. We already know from past music festivals that attendants will park vehicles and camp in restricted outdoor areas.
- Helipad invites air traffic that is a noise disturbance and is an invasion of privacy to nearby residents.

- 3. Unattended, poorly managed & illegal fires contribute to the risk of wildfires.**
- Every year our area already faces wildfire caused by campfires, cigarettes and camping stoves.
 - This area is a high wind zone all year round. Wildfires spread quickly and pose a danger to nearby homes.
 - Fire Stations are limited and miles away. Often these wildfires need multiple fire tank helicopters to efficiently fight these wildfires. Wildfires in nearby areas such as Flamingo Heights and Joshua Tree have destroyed 100s of acres of pristine wildlife.
 - Who will be responsible for monitoring outdoor fire activity when there are regularly 200-300 guests per weekend?
- 4. The constant stream of heavy traffic will make our roads more dangerous and increase risk of fatality.**
- The one lane on highway 247 cannot support increased constant traffic. Currently, turning on and off the highway in 55+ mph zone poses a huge risk.
 - Our dirt roads wash out unexpectedly in storms, blocking off road & creating large gaps along the highways that poses a risk to drivers.
 - **Old Woman Springs Road is a dangerous highway, more so when crowded. In the last five years there have been more than a dozen accidents in the stretch of highway between Aberdeen and Reche (cite) that were serious enough to have had first responder involvement.** Adding more than 100 cars exiting and entering the highway here during busy hours will prove dangerous, especially given drivers who pick up speed once they emerge from Pipes Canyon south of the project. A thorough traffic study, dedicated turn lanes, and perhaps a stop light should be considered if the project goes forward. The likelihood of extra costs to the county for first responders should be assessed.
- 5. Large crowds strain our limited restaurants and compete with residents for food.**
- With over 3 million tourists annually at JT National Park, the current amount of approx 60 food establishments in the Morongo Basin does not provide nearly enough food access for residents & tourists.
 - Residents need more affordable food options that are walkable or within close distance to their homes. The proposed onsite restaurant/bar are not open for public use without proper zoning change. Which means that it's only for paying registered guests. This does nothing to benefit the community.
- 6. Glamping serves tourists. This does nothing to alleviate the current long term housing crisis or camping complaints.**
- Residents lack long term housing options because most all housing has turned into vacation rentals.
 - Regular large scale festivals of 25,000 attendants will require accommodations, further exacerbating our long term housing crisis.
 - Where will the staff for this proposed festival/glamping resort live? Service workers in our region already cannot find housing!
 - Legal or illegal camping on rural lands occurs on a regular basis. The people who are currently camping, simply put, are displaced from traditional housing. They cannot

afford traditional housing. Glamping is expensive and does not help these displaced people!

7. This project will create more low paying jobs and which does nothing to uplift this economically challenged community.

- Money from this project goes right back in the pocket of out of town individuals. This resort will offer low paying jobs to locals. Locals already are impacted by the seasonal tourist economy that brings a loss of jobs and income during low season. This community deserves meaningful work opportunities, but do we need more low paying, seasonal jobs?
- This project is billed as "high end" and "luxury." This is out of reach for many locals. Is this resort appropriate for this area where the average income is \$23,743 USD?
- What is this project specifically doing to help our community compared to how much it is exploiting our community's resources?

8. Residents have the right to Quiet Enjoyment under Rural Living Zoning.

- This parcel is zoned RL (Rural Living), and conditional use permit harms the neighbors' rights to **quiet enjoyment** the RL zoning is designed to protect.
- This is especially true if the proposed helipad, large scale festivals and regular noise & light pollution occurs on a regular, permanent basis, disturbing the privacy of nearby residents.
- The proposed bar and restaurant add to the concern, as does the possibility that this would become an event venue.
- This project really should be developed in a commercial zone area, not in Rural Living zoning.

9. Water is a scarce resource in our desert region. There is no water supply to this parcel or any sewage system.

- Water, waste water disposal and sewage for large scale developments needs proper infrastructure and should not further strain our community's limited resources.
- **There is no water supply to this property.** The parcel is not in the service area of either Bighorn Desert View or High Desert Water District. In order to develop the property in line with basic building codes, Bighorn Desert View would have to agree to build a pipeline to serve the property, at the land owner's expense. Have owners contacted Bighorn Desert View, and what is the likelihood that the water agency would actually agree to serve this facility?
- Sewage needs to be fully considered so that it does not leak into the precious water source of current residents. There are at least 61 residential wells and an aquifer that serves BigHorn Water District which supplies water for most all residents in the Flaming Heights area.

10. What do you envision for the community instead of this proposed festival/glamping development? Pitch your ideas!

- We want this pristine wildlife area placed into a **land trust for permanent conservation**. Mojave Desert Land Trust (MDLT) and other conservation organizations can help!
- We want this plot of land turned into **affordable housing units that are long term rentals**. Residents, especially service workers, need affordable housing!
- Do not develop on this pristine wildlife area. Instead, **create a low-impact motel along Hwy 247 or Hwy 62 in a commercial zone that is walkable to other businesses and does not create more traffic**.
- Invest in development projects that support **restaurants for the public!**
- **Designate a commercial zone in the Morongo Basin area into fairgrounds** - that's suitable for large events and music festivals. We do not want our peaceful home & wildlife habitat turned into a party zone for tourists!

Sincerely

Angelina Schoenberger
P.O. Box 3397
Landers, CA 92285
760-333-5898 (Cell)
760-364-3965 (Land line)
BRE# 1793038

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Anne Sykes <smc505737@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 23, 2021 4:27 PM
To: Morrissey , Jim
Subject: Fwd: PROJ-2020-00191 Parcel 0629-181-01

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Begin forwarded message:

From: S Cooter <smc505737@gmail.com>
Date: April 23, 2021 at 3:39:03 PM PDT
To: jim.morrissey@sbcounty.gov
Cc: supervisor.rowe@sbcounty.gov
Subject: PROJ-2020-00191 Parcel 0629-181-01

We wish to add our names to the community response and request to **reject** this project.

Such a major project as this one will greatly and adversely affect our community. While changes are expected over time, the scale of this project is too large in scope for the Flamingo Heights neighborhood, the adjacent communities and the City of Yucca Valley. Flamingo Heights is designated "zoned for rural living". This project is a mega-business development for tourism. The proposed activities for this project grandly portray the *next Coachella Valley Attraction of Staged Events* for up to 25,000 attendees, with 76 habitation sites. The rest of the infrastructure of restaurant, bar, music studio, sculpture, art and yoga venues and the helipad all add up to an overwhelming change from anything in our desert community. The tourism branch of commerce has created a monster. The City of Yucca Valley will need enhanced Police, Fire and Medical facilities and personnel to cope. The residents of this area are not ready for this scale of change. Is the City ready?

Clifford and Suzanne Cooter
5483 Wallaby Street
Yuuca Valley, CA 92284

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Anna Pearson <annajuliapearson@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 25, 2021 9:09 PM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Subject: No on PROJ-2020-00191

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

I am in opposition to project PROJ-2020-00191.

- This proposed site is a priority connectivity corridor between Sand to Snow National Monument, the Bighorn Wilderness, Joshua Tree National Park, and points north. It would disrupt a lot of desert wildlife AND it has a lot of Joshua Trees on the property that are protected. It would be devastating to so many Joshua Trees to make way for roads, structures and parking. Only 10% of moved Joshua Trees ever survive.
- The desert area is not suitable for huge new projects that use a lot of water. California is in a drought and water tables are getting lower. There's also the potential for pollution of groundwater in Pipes Canyon Wash from septic systems, visitor vehicle oil and coolant leaks, etc.
- Being so close to a residential area, there would be concern of noise and light pollution. People moved to the middle of the desert to have peace and quiet, not to have a corporation buy land next to them and try to make it into a resort. The property is not zoned for commercial enterprises of this magnitude and should be reconsidered. Noise travels easily in the desert.
- Having 3-each 700sqft fire pits for 75 campsites raises serious concerns about air quality from so much smoke, and fire safety. Morongo Basin is a high drought area that is often very windy so it's dangerous to have fire pits.
- The configuration of Route 247 near the site means adding large amounts of traffic entering the roadway which could be extremely dangerous. The hill south towards Aberdeen is a blind hill that could cause a lot of accidents with some much additional traffic.

Thank you,
Anna Pearson
58056 Quail Rd. 92284

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Annika Huston <hello@dotterstore.com>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 9:17 PM
To: Morrissey , Jim
Subject: Opposition letter to PROJ: 2020-00191/PARCEL#0629-181-01

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Jim,

Taking a minute here to let you know that I oppose the Flamingo 640 luxury glamping and concert venue proposed along Pipes Wash and Old Woman Springs Rd. Why desecrate yet 640 more acres of treasured natural habitat at such a critical turning point in our environmental history? This section is a critical corridor for wildlife and home to Joshua trees, bobcats, burrowing owls, and the threatened desert tortoise.

There are ways to bring more people to enjoy and explore the splendor of this area that benefit local jobs, housing and the environment. This is not one of them.

Best,
Annika Huston

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: ariana bogan <arianabogan@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 6:16 PM
To: dawn.rowe@mail.house.gov; Morrissey , Jim
Subject: Opposition to PROJ: 2020-00191/ Parcel #0629-181-01

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Good evening,

I'd like to state my opposition to PROJ: 2020-00191/ Parcel #0629-181-01 , the "Flamingo 640". This project would harm the very place it claims to celebrate. I was born and raised in the high desert and our fragile desert is already in decline. I understand the desire to share this special place with more people, but we must be responsible, and do so in a way that protects our environment.

Thank you for your time ,
Ariana Bogan

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Arshia Khan <arshia.a.khan@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 27, 2021 8:01 AM
To: Morrissey , Jim; Dawn.Rowe@mail.house.gov; Supervisor Rowe
Subject: NO on PROJ: 2020-00191 / Parcel # 0629-181-01

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Mr. Morrissey and Supervisor Rowe,

We are writing with comments on the proposed **Project 2020-00191** in Homestead Valley/Flamingo Heights.

We have a number of concerns with the project, which we will outline below, but first to acknowledge the challenge the county has in determining the proper course of development in the high desert. The Morongo Basin is experiencing a housing shortage and a massive influx of people interested in enjoying the climate, clean air, and natural beauty of the area. The challenge of balancing the need for affordable housing access while preserving the natural beauty that, itself, led many of us to invest in the area, is a serious one that should be met with sustainable development principles focused on: retrofitting/renovation of existing derelict or abandoned houses, smart infill development in zoned areas, and new development only in areas of little ecological or wildlife impact. But we should be perfectly clear that this project has neither the stated goal nor any conceivable outcome of improving housing access or improving the quality of life for the residents of the Morongo Basin.

We respect the rights of property owners to make plans for their investments, but when those plans impact public safety, quality of life, and ecosystems well beyond their property boundaries, then we believe further scrutiny is required. Here are our concerns:

1. **Zoning:** the area is zoned RL (rural residential) and a dense, high impact campsite, entertainment venue, helipad (truly inexplicably), bar and restaurant, are in direct conflict in principle and actuality to the zoning.
2. **Traffic:** Highway 247 is, per capita, one of the most dangerous stretches of highway in California, claiming the life of dozens of people in the last few years. A recent lane-widening and rumble-strip installation has done little to slow this tragic loss of life and this development will necessarily require a high degree of turn-in/turn-out traffic in an otherwise uninterrupted stretch of highway. This will require extensive study and lane-widening and perhaps a new traffic light to preserve public safety.
3. **Ecology/Wildlife:** the area plays host to a number sensitive animal and plant species such as the Western Joshua Tree and desert tortoise, both soon-to-be candidates for endangered species protection. We would demand a significant impact and mitigation study for any development.
4. **Water/Wastewater:** our local imported water supplies via the Mojave Water Agency are under serious stretch and this rapid development deserves serious study. Further, the nearby Ames Aquifer is a sensitive one and a critical source of water storage for both Bighorn Desert View Water District and the Hi Desert Water District. Absent a significant study of the potential aquifer impacts, this project should not proceed.

5. **Fire:** campsites=campfires and this area has already experienced a significant fire on the section catty-corner to this section to the southwest in recent years.
6. **Light/Noise pollution:** any music venue would permanently change the entire vicinity and not just during the events themselves as noise-sensitive animals like bighorn sheep migrate elsewhere. 70 campsites means at least 140 beams of headlights flashing across the section and across Pipes Wash all night for the in-and-out traffic of visitors.


Your consideration is very much appreciated and while not looking to stand in the way of smart, beneficial development that can support the ecosystem and community of the Morongo Basin, we believe there are a number of serious questions raised by this project that will require significant additional analysis and public review before proceeding further. It is our view that **Project 2020-00191** is fundamentally flawed, dangerous, and detrimental to the area.

Thank you very much for your consideration,

Arshia

ARSHIA KHANPHOTOGRAPHY

arshiakhan.net
870.210.0530



Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Asher Johnson <asherjohnson@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 10:57 PM
To: Morrissey , Jim; dawn.rowe@mail.house.gov
Subject: Opposition to PROJ:2020-00191 / PARCEL # 0629-181-01

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to oppose subject project. As a full time resident and local I believe there are a lot of ways to bring more people to enjoy and explore this beautiful high desert, but this project is not one of them.

Thank you,

Justin Asher Johnson

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: ashley coon <w.ashley.coon@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 6:56 PM
To: Morrissey , Jim
Subject: Opposition to PROJ: 2020-00191/ Parcel# 0629-181-01

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi, I'm a resident of the Flamingo Heights, CA area that would be impacted by PROJ: 2020-00191/ Parcel# 0629-181-01 (Flamingo 640) and as a resident, I'm very much opposed to this project.

It's not a matter of NIMBYism, it's more so that the scope of the development (640 acres of event/concert venue and glamping) is fundamentally detrimental to the natural amenities of this beautiful area. It's innately ecologically destructive, it's doesn't feed the local economy (it's a self-contained economy), it burdens an already stressed water supply (particularly with fire hazards increasing annually), it (as an event venue) is a noise violation to local residents, it shuts down traffic on highway 247 affecting not only local traffic but essential cargo traffic between the Morongo Basin and beyond, and it demands a labor force that currently doesn't have adequate housing and is already unable to meet demand and prop up existing local businesses.

If local government supports this move, it would be ruinous for the area and spur out-migration of tax paying homeowners such as myself.

This is not a sustainable solution of development.

Signed, Opposed:
-William Ashley Coon

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Barbara Adams <barbara8athena@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 21, 2021 5:37 PM
To: Morrissey , Jim
Subject: Glamping proposal

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Mr. Morrissey,

I have read Steve Brown's concerns regarding the project for a glamping retreat in Flamingo Heights and completely agree that there are many details, regulations that have not been thought through and will be both potentially dangerous to the local residents, wildlife residents and future potential guest

These life threatening concerns, water, waste, pollution. Economic inequality really need to be carefully thought over, planned for and discussed.

Sincerely
Barbara Adams
Resident of Yucca Valley

Sent from my iPhone

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Barbara Boyer-Hoffmann <binkbt21@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 6:53 PM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Subject: Save Flamingo Heights-PROJ-2020-00191

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Mr. Morrissey-

I am writing to you to voice my great concern and displeasure upon learning about the proposed "glamping" project in Flamingo Heights PROJ-2020-00191. I grew up in the high desert and am deeply aware of what makes it such a special place that summons people from all across the world to its small corner of rural paradise. I visit very frequently as I now live in the low desert for work. I have seen all the incredible and sometimes concerning changes that have taken place there over the past few years. Though it is heartening to see people falling in love with the high desert, it is distressing to watch as nature suffers the consequences of mindless consumption and development. This thoughtless encroachment on the delicate desert ecosystem is on full display with the proposal of the above project. This project is proposing development right in the heart of old growth Joshua tree woodland, trees that are listed as threatened and supposedly protected by California. This land is also home to countless animals, including protected burrowing owls and desert tortoises. The top priority should be the preservation of these woodlands and the safety of these animals. Quality of life for the rural community is also a concern. Hosting large scale events in the small, quiet community of Flamingo Heights would bring noise and light pollution in addition to air pollution and the inevitable littering. This is a community of working people who want room to raise their families, retirees leading a quiet life, and artists finding inspiration in the natural desert. It is not a playground for the well to do to come in and use up precious resources, create noise and traffic and leave their messes behind. This proposed project is environmentally unethical, inconsiderate of the community, unsafe, and ultimately ill conceived. I implore you as the contract planner, along with so many other desert lovers and keepers, to decide against this project for the wellbeing of this unique ecosystem and community. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,
Barbara Boyer-Hoffmann

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Barbara Le Beau <blebeau61@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 24, 2021 9:08 AM
To: Morrissey , Jim
Subject: PROJ-2020-00191

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Mr. Morrissey,

I am writing to express my concerns and opposition to the proposed construction of PROJ-2020-00191, (APN)0629-181-01.

This large scale venue would have a substantial impact on our desert ecosystem, highways and those that live and work in the area.

Regards,
Barbara Le Beau

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Ben Guzman <ben.guzman42@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 19, 2021 3:53 PM
To: Supervisor Rowe; Morrissey, Jim
Subject: Flamingo Heights Festival & Glamping Development aka "Flamingo 640"

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Greetings,

I'm a resident of the area and would like to respectfully state my opposition to "Flamingo 640" for the following reasons:

1. **This development will further harm the endangered wildlife.**
 - This wildlife area is a tortoise habitat - under the state law this creature is a threatened species under the US Endangered Species Act.
 - Thousands of Western Joshua Trees growing on this plot of land are also candidates for threatened species under the California Endangered Species Act & cannot be legally removed.
 - This plot of land is also home to burrowing owls and many other native animals and plants that rely on this pristine desert area as a wildlife corridor.
 - Xujhknfop Amj Unjx% fmxaxf hwnfop| mjk atwritwntssjhyslxfsiyt% Xst | %fytsfop tszr jsy%si amj Enlmtw% mjwsjxxat DtxmzfWjj%fytsfop Ufwp3
2. **Enormous crowds during music festivals and events pose a huge environmental risk.**
 - These enormous events will create noise & light pollution, disturbing the nearby residents and impact residents even miles away.
 - Heavy use of roads and improper use of wildlife areas cause permanent harm to endangered plants and animals' burrows. We already know from past music festivals that attendants will park vehicles and camp in restricted outdoor areas.
 - Helipad invites air traffic that is a noise disturbance and is an invasion of privacy to nearby residents.
3. **Unattended, poorly managed & illegal fires contribute to the risk of wildfires.**
 - Every year our area already faces wildfire caused by campfires, cigarettes and camping stoves.
 - This area is a high wind zone all year round. Wildfires spread quickly and pose a danger to nearby homes.
 - Fire Stations are limited and miles away. Often these wildfires need multiple firetank helicopters to efficiently fight these wildfires. Wildfires in nearby areas such as Flamingo Heights and Joshua Tree have destroyed 100s of acres of pristine wildlife.
4. **The constant stream of heavy traffic will make our roads more dangerous and increase risk of fatality.**
 - The one lane on highway 247 cannot support increased constant traffic. Currently, turning on and off the highway in 55+ mph zone poses a huge risk.

- Our dirt roads wash out unexpectedly in storms, blocking off road & creating large gaps along the highways that poses a risk to drivers.
 - There have been numerous deadly accidents on our desert highways due to unmaintained roads and poor traffic engineering.
5. **Large crowds strain our limited restaurants and compete with residents for food and housing access.**
- Residents lack long term housing options because most all housing has turned into vacation rentals.
 - With over 3 million tourists annually at JT National Park, the current amount of approx 60 food establishments in the Morongo Basin does not provide nearly enough food access for residents & tourists. Any more large influx of tourists further strain our limited amenities.
6. **This plot of land is on a fault line and any development will require its own water/sewage system.**
- Our rural roads often are not well maintained - even worse condition after earthquakes activity and flash floods, which both frequently occur in this area.
 - Water is a scarce resource in our region. Water, waste water disposal and sewage for large scale developments needs proper infrastructure and should not further strain our community's limited resources.

Thank you for hearing my concerns and for your public service!

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Ben Jamieson <benjaminjohnjamieson@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 1:22 PM
To: Supervisor Rowe; Morrissey, Jim
Subject: Flamingo 640 Concerns

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dawn Rowe and Jim Morrissey,

I'm emailing you to express concerns over the proposed luxury glamping site off of Highway 247 in Flamingo Heights. I'm familiar with this area and find it to be a completely inappropriate location for this project for a number of different reasons.

A project of this size will most definitely have negative effects on the local environment. Wildlife, including the protected Joshua Trees and Desert Tortoises, among many other plants and animals, will be destroyed by development and driven away from their natural habitats by crowds of people, noise, and light pollution. Visitors from other areas will be careless with campfires, which is a terrifying prospect after the trauma nearby wildfires have caused us all. 75 campsites combined with the dry conditions and near constant winds are a recipe for disaster.

Beyond the environmental issues associated with this project, it's also an affront to those of us who have chosen the surrounding area as our home. Rural Living zoning exists for a reason, and many of us purchased homes and based our entire lives around our ability to enjoy the peace and quiet that currently exists. Approving this project will sacrifice that and provide nothing in return for locals. A luxury campground will not fix the issues with illegal camping in the area. The audience this project caters to will have minimal overlap with illegal campers. If anything, this will just bring more people to the area and strain resources further.

Ben Jamieson
Pipes Canyon Rd

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Ben L <k.ben.loescher@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 21, 2021 8:05 PM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Subject: PROJ-2020-00191

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello Mr. Morrissey,

A few comments with respect to PROJ-2020-00191. While the Morongo Basin desperately needs managed accomodation for tourists, it also needs to be provided in conformance with relevant codes and in a manner which minimizes impact on the surrounding community and environment. I hope that the project applicant will revise this proposal so that it can be approve. The project location and siting close to Old Woman Springs is better than the alternative, which is currently housing these visitors in disbursed and poorly managed short term rentals which are exacerbating the affordable housing shortage.

A few other notes:

- Events: Festivals and Events are not appropriate in this location. While I understand that the applicant has removed the amphitheater and reference to events in the application, the impact on the community and wildlife that would be caused if similar events were proposed under a Temporary Special Event Permit is untenable. The Conditions of Approval for this project should include a prohibition of TSEPs which include amplified music or more than 500 persons.
- Water: While this project is within the Bighorn Desert View Water District Sphere of Influence, the fact that it is not part of the [actual service boundary](#) is an issue for the developer. Assurance that LAFCO will approve an adjustment to the service boundary should be obtained prior to project approval.
- Traffic: The Trip Report does not address trip generation for the non-glamping elements (retail, restaurant, etc). If these are exclusively for guests and not open to the public that's fine; if they are open to the public then the trip study is flawed and has undercounted trip generation from this project. This should be clarified by the applicant.
- Parking: The 350 space parking lot is well in excess of what code requires and creates unnecessary impact on the project site. The applicant should be encouraged to provide only code minimum parking (1 space per campsite)
- Shelter: The project application does not address if the shelters will be space conditioned. This should be clarified - some glamping operations use uninsulated tents/yurts/etc for accomodation, but then provide space heaters and portable air conditioners after permitting. The applicant should state if the accommodations will be space conditioned - if so, they should be required to comply with all aspects of the California Energy Code (Title 24).
- Uses: Retail Stores, Restaurants and Bars are all uses not permitted in the RL zone. The applicant should confirm that these elements are open to registered guests only/not public; otherwise I believe a Zone Change/General Plan Amendment will be required
- Helipads: Helipads and their well documented impacts on the surrounding environment and inhabitants are not adequately addressed by the County's Development Code and should require special study if this element is to remain included in the project.

- Noise Report: It is unclear what relevance the references to "The County of Jurupa Valley" have to this report and it appears that there are copy/paste errors in the analysis. Additionally, the noise report fails to account for noise generated by the project's helipad - a major source of significant nuisance noise and vibration
- The project is immediately adjacent to San Bernardino County's FS-2 Fire Safety Overlay which underlines the area's susceptibility to wildfire. The project proposes multiple fire pits which could generate embers or brands causing wildfires either on or off-site. The project should be prohibited from having any wood or other solid fuel campfires or barbecues as part of the conditions of approval.
- A "Record Studio" is shown on the site plan but not mentioned in the project narrative. The operation and nature of this structure should be described and included in the project evaluation reports.
- Wastewater: The applicant proposes a conventional septic system for wastewater disposal. Due to the project site including a blue-line stream, the impact of a conventional septic system on water quality should be evaluated - a package plant or "alternative wastewater treatment system" may be required.

Thank you for the opportunity to share my comments and I trust that staff and the Planning Commission will take them into consideration. I sincerely hope that the applicant submits a revised project proposal.

Best,

Ben Loescher
Pioneertown

April 26, 2021

To: Jim Morrissey

From: Kristie Kinman-Resident of Yucca Valley

Please find our concerns put together by my sister Kim White and myself Kristie White

*I submit that this area is a priority connectivity corridor between Sand to Snow National Monument, the Bighorn Wilderness, Joshua Tree National Park, and points north.

*The configuration of Route 247 near the site means adding large amounts of traffic entering the roadway could be extremely dangerous on a highway with an inordinate amount of high speed collisions.

*I submit that 75 campsites potentially means frequent nights with 75 simultaneous campfires, raising serious concerns about both air quality and fire safety, with a large population of State Threatened species candidate western Joshua Trees just downwind

*I submit the potential for pollution of groundwater in Pipes Canyon Wash from septic systems, visitor vehicle oil and coolant leaks, or campers carelessly discarding dishwater and similar items into the soil or wash is grounds for further investigation

*I submit this glampsite will allow significant noise pollution and have a negative impact on residents and wildlife

*I submit that the effect on the immediate vicinity from increased unplanned tourism development will negatively impact the residents of Landers and Flamingo Heights.

*I submit that this is a High Wind-Event area and structures must be able to sustain 70mph winds.

*I suggest that this, in conjunction with several other proposed camp sites, will lead to an influx of traffic, especially on already crowded weekends, and that limits must be imposed.

*Environmental Impact Studies must be up-to-date (not 2008)

*Cal-Trans Studies are requested

*I strongly urge developer to meet with community and Planning Department simultaneously

*I Request Project Designation Study for new construction be set in motion

*I suggest residential zoning does not allow for commercial enterprises of this magnitude and should be reconsidered

*I suggest that this delicate area would be better suited for a Desert Nature Preserve

*I submit that helicopters will create dust and disturb wildlife as well as create ongoing nuisance for neighboring communities

*I would also like to add that California is in an extreme drought, according to the map released by Gavin Newsom last week.

I'm surprised this has been given the green light before the people got their say, we all know money talks, we all know how much money developers have, we are not stupid.

Please do the right thing, you live here too.

Thank you,

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Elizabeth Karman <elizabeth.karman@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 25, 2021 8:34 PM
To: Morrissey , Jim
Subject: Flamingo Hts. Planned Glamping Resort Comments

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

We live at 57120 Luna Vista Lane. Our southwest corner touches this property's northeast corner.

This project is clearly out of character for anywhere in any desert. The idea that a 25,000 capacity would even be considered in this small community is incredible.

This project will destroy our water supply, contaminate our groundwater which will cause great harm to private wells, wildlife, create traffic hazards, cause noise pollution, and ruin our quality of life.

Flamingo Heights is NOT Joshua Tree National Park. Joshua Tree is a Federal Public Park. Flamingo Heights is a community (not even a town) with a very low population. People moved to this area because they love the desert and the environment. This project does not honor any of our values. This project will destroy our small communities.

Steve Brown, Director of Mohave Watch, says it all very well and we agree with his comments.

We object to this project, in its entirety. This community is our home, not a resort.

This project needs to be denied a building permit.

Bob and Elizabeth Karman

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Brandi Bergland <brandibergland@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 25, 2021 11:41 PM
To: Morrissey , Jim; Supervisor Rowe
Subject: opposition to PROJ-2020-00191 - Flamingo 640

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

PROJ-2020-00191
Assessor Parcel Number (APN) 0629-181-01

I am writing in extreme opposition against this massive (in scale) proposed project. I understand the need for a place for the growing number of tourists visiting this beautiful rural desert, but this is absolutely not a suitable area for such a large-scale development with many semi-permanent to permanent structures proposed. We must take good precaution when developing anything in our fragile desert ecosystem.

I have so many concerns about this large-scale development.

This area serves as a connector corridor for many different wildlife from the west out to Landers and Johnson Valley and then to the Sand and Snow Monument and the Bighorn Wilderness, Mojave Desert and many others.

The winds propose serious risks for guests. It is next to the Pipes Canyon corridor which carries extreme winds and gusts. This is not a safe environment. tents and glamping tents (bell tents) are not reliable out here in this rough rugged weather, residents out here know to take their own backyard glamping tents down during the winds. It's easy to discount this unless you live in this area.

There are an extremely high number of Joshua trees on the property, Desert Tortoises, and Burrowing Owls, which are protected. There are many other nesting birds, reptiles, old growth cacti, as well as newly documented coyote dens.

The highway route 247 will be flooded with traffic, not to mention the dangers that will arise in an already very busy just two-lane highway. I have major concerns that accidents will occur more due to the growing number of vehicles.

The proposed number of campsites seems *extremely excessive* and means that in an already high fire danger area with winds of up to 70mph or more, and this combined with the proposed number of sites (75) means that there could be a lot of communal fires burning. This raises major concerns of fire danger, and air quality in our community as well. I would like to ask that there be a mandatory fire control plan that addresses the prospect of fires built at the resort.

The potential for pollution to the groundwater from septic systems that will need to be established to accommodate for these many sites. As well as the risk of campers potentially carelessly washing dishes with soap or other hazardous chemicals that will go in the ground.

I am asking that ecological impact and wildlife report are thoroughly performed by an unbiased-unaffiliated party. I am concerned that the light and noise pollution will cause harm and displacement to many fragile species.

I am concerned about the damage during development that will incur even if by accident. We cannot easily see this ecosystem with our eyes, but it exists, nonetheless. The plants and cacti are so fragile and small that you could step on them and really not know it.

The Major added Light pollution that will fill our beautiful night skies. We have a dark skies ordinance and this new site would not be in accordance with that.

I am also asking that a brand-new noise and sound test be done on site and by an unbiased-unaffiliated party. Our area is nestled in the valley and noise carries for *not so* normal distances out here and with the parcel being next to the canyon, the noise will only sound louder and carry to greater distances. This not only disrupts the people in the area, but more importantly the wildlife.

Like I mentioned above I believe a plan of this scale and magnitude would be suited for a different area. We are doing a huge disservice to the landscape by not performing proper ecological tests and by interfering with the desert's vital processes, would be a death blow. The desert is not just sweeping panoramic views for photos from “my” glamp site. There is so much more going on than meets the eye, and its survival depends on us helping to sustain and enrich this ecosystem, especially during this alarming peak in its popularity. Let’s not choose instant gratification and trends. Please help myself and our community honor the sacred area we inhabit.

Thank you for hearing our communitie's voices,

Brandi Bergland

55925 Perris Ave.

Yucca Valley, Ca 92284

509-389-7262

brandibergland@gmail.com

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: brittrcarr@gmail.com
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 7:02 PM
To: Morrissey , Jim; Supervisor Rowe
Subject: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 on Parcel # 0629-181-01

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

To whom it may concern,

I would like to raise my concerns regarding Project # PROJ-2020-00191 on Parcel # 0629-181-01. I live down the road from the proposed project, off of Old Woman Springs and Paseo Los Ninos. I currently split my time between my home there in Yucca Valley and Long Beach. When I'm not at my home in Yucca Valley, I rent it out via my city-registered Airbnb.

The biggest draw of my Airbnb and the reason I bought the land and my home is the beautiful untouched land it sits on. I have a large amount of Joshua trees and other endangered species of plants and animals on my property and I do everything I can to make sure any guests respect the wildlife. I've gone so far as to have banned several guests for not taking the protection of the nature on our property seriously. Tourism is a great way to bring in income and provide tax funds to the high desert, but not at the expense of the wildlife in our community.

My biggest concern regarding the potential project noted above is its danger to the environment. The extremely large acreage included as part of the project includes a huge portion of wild desert full of Joshua trees and the rare and protected desert tortoise, amongst other species. All of this beautiful and wild nature is slated to be cleared and destroyed per the project brief. Additionally, the extreme light pollution the project would create is both a huge nuisance for when I'm staying at my home and a huge drawback for potential guests at my Airbnb. Thus, this would present a nuisance for a resident, and a reason not to book my home for potential tourists who would bring important income to the community via taxes and the support of local businesses.

There are so many possible options for the property at hand, including wildlife preservation, which is so important for the longevity of the community, and responsible development that specifically provides much needed resources for the community like restaurants or affordable housing for employees who work for the restaurants or stores that support the community as well as its tourism economy.

I would therefore respectfully ask that you consider the community when debating and approving the proposed plan for the project at hand. I will happily make myself available to discuss my concerns regarding this project.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Brittney R. Carr, Esq.

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Brenda Cooper <windy_coop@yahoo.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 24, 2021 2:41 PM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Subject: Project #0629-181-01

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Mr. Morrissey,

We are writing to share our objections to the above proposed project. We have lived in the Flamingo Heights area for over 30 years and appreciate the type of environment in the desert. We enjoy the quietness, the very clear starry nights and a relative safety of the area, especially knowing our neighbors. Also, through the years, there has already been a significant increase in the traffic making 247 a more dangerous road to travel on a daily basis.

If this project is approved and moves forward, it would change the dynamics of our desert living. We would have more traffic and more lighting to disrupt our night skies. It would lead to the need for more code enforcement in our area as the influx of visitors would very likely bring ATV vehicles among other issues that could arise. Who would have to pay for additional man power for that? It already takes hours to get any help in this area when it is needed. The use of alcohol on the proposed project would increase the possibility of people drinking and driving in the area, more noise (both vehicles and music). This type of project has the potential to decrease our property value as well. It has the potential of creating an unsafe environment for our children as well with visitors that could also be predators looking for victims. Overall, we do not believe we need this type of project to infiltrate our desert area and significantly changing the dynamics of our area.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Bryce and Brenda Cooper

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Bunnie Reiss <bunniereiss@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 24, 2021 4:16 PM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Subject: PROJ - 2020 - 00191 -

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Jim,

Just a quick not from a Landers resident. The new 'Glamping' project will ruin our delicate and very protected desert. So much wildlife and native plants will be destroyed, not to mention the additional toll something like this will take on the overall community. Traffic on 247, trash, noise, etc will all become a horrible problem. I guarantee if it's approved, there will be HUGE regrets. It's a really bad idea, and this community is just not ready for it. None of us moved here expecting to ever deal with something like this. Please don't take our beautiful desert away. Thank you for your time.

Best,
Bunnie Reiss

Sent from the Land of Bunnie

<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.bunniereiss.com%2F&data=04%7C01%7Cjim.morrissey%40lus.sbcounty.gov%7C0ecbc0c1b8e64d450c4b08d90776e4cb%7C31399e536a9349aa8caec929f9d4a91d%7C1%7C1%7C637549029487478550%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWljojMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQljojV2luMzliLCJBTiI6I1haWwiLCJXVCi6Mn0%3D%7C3000&data=vV0F263Aajy%2Btswg3ZKNryxEAApL0CQz9eN2ZhP6oF4%3D&reserved=0>
@bunnieluvrocks

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Cara Panebianco <panebianco@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 9:29 PM
To: Dawn.Rowe@mail.house.gov; Supervisor Rowe; Morrissey, Jim
Subject: NO on PROJ: 2020-00191 / Parcel # 0629-181-01

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,

I am writing with comments on the proposed **Project 2020-00191** in Homestead Valley/Flamingo Heights.

I have a number of concerns with the project, which I outline below, but first to acknowledge the challenge the county has in determining the proper course of development in the high desert. The Morongo Basin is experiencing a housing shortage and a massive influx of people interested in enjoying the climate, clean air, and natural beauty of the area. The challenge of balancing the need for affordable housing access while preserving the natural beauty is a serious one that should be met with sustainable development principles focused on: retrofitting/renovation of existing derelict or abandoned houses, smart infill development in zoned areas, and new development only in areas of little ecological or wildlife impact. But, this project has neither the stated goal nor any conceivable outcome of improving housing access or improving the quality of life for the residents of the Morongo Basin.

I respect the rights of property owners to make plans for their investments, but when those plans impact public safety, quality of life, and ecosystems well beyond their property boundaries, further scrutiny is required.

Here are my concerns:

1. **Zoning:** the area is zoned RL (rural residential) and a dense, high impact campsite, entertainment venue, helipad (truly inexplicably), bar and restaurant, are in direct conflict in principle and actuality to the zoning.
2. **Traffic:** Highway 247 is, per capita, one of the most dangerous stretches of highway in California, claiming the life of dozens of people in the last few years. A recent lane-widening and rumble-strip installation has done little to slow this tragic loss of life and this development will necessarily require a high degree of turn-in/turn-out traffic in an otherwise uninterrupted stretch of highway. This will require extensive study and lane-widening and perhaps a new traffic light to preserve public safety.
3. **Ecology/Wildlife:** the area plays host to a number sensitive animal and plant species such as the Western Joshua Tree and desert tortoise, both soon-to-be candidates for endangered species protection. This alone warrants significant impact and mitigation study for any development.
4. **Water/Wastewater:** local imported water supplies via the Mojave Water Agency are under serious stretch and this rapid development deserves serious study. Further, the nearby Ames Aquifer is a sensitive one and a critical source of water storage for both Bighorn

Desert View Water District and the Hi Desert Water District. Absent a significant study of the potential aquifer impacts, this project should not proceed.

5. **Fire:** campsites=campfires and this area has already experienced a significant fire on the section catty-corner to this section to the southwest in recent years.
6. **Light/Noise pollution:** any music venue would permanently change the entire vicinity and not just during the events themselves as noise-sensitive animals like bighorn sheep migrate elsewhere. 70 campsites means at least 140 beams of headlights flashing across the section and across Pipes Wash all night for the in-and-out traffic of visitors.

Your consideration is very much appreciated and while not looking to stand in the way of smart, beneficial development that can support the ecosystem and community of the Morongo Basin, there are a number of serious questions raised by this project that will require significant additional analysis and public review before proceeding further. It is my view that **Project 2020-00191** is fundamentally flawed, dangerous, and detrimental to the area.

Thank you very much for your consideration,

--

Cara Panebianco
(Frequent visitor to the Morongo Basin)

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Carla Davis <carlaj1@mac.com>
Sent: Friday, April 23, 2021 10:01 AM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Cc: Supervisor Rowe
Subject: Fwd: Amended Comment concerning PROJ 2020-00191, Parcel 0629-181-01, Pipes Wash Glamping Project aka Flamingo Project 640

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

We have studied the documents Mr. Roth submitted with his application for the CUP. They seem to be a product of templates used in the environmental consulting business. This was disappointing considering the huge impact this development would have on zoning, the residents, and indeed, the land itself. We remain convinced more neutral experts in each field would have much more to add to the developer's findings in re environmental impact.

We are very, very concerned about traffic. Mr. Roth's traffic expert indicated no analysis was needed, by simply doing the math. These folks have no idea the amount of semi-track traffic there is on OWS Road, or how many residents live out here using the road, or RV'er's traveling between JT and the Eastern Sierra. A traffic analysis is very necessary prior to any County approvals.

The amount of input with reference to traffic concerns from the community should be enough to inform the County it is on notice as to this important safety issue.

Additionally, we have made calls to the California Regional Water Quality Control Board to ascertain their interest in the project's plan for sewage disposal. We couldn't find much info in the developer's submissions regarding sewage disposal and effect on the groundwater. Maybe this is a matter of course that the project would be required to obtain a permit from the Colorado Basin Water Board. Please let the community know what is required in this regard. We will continue our efforts to contact this agency. It is very important for the community to understand this part of the issue. We do not want to end up like Yucca Valley, unregulated septic causing us all to either drink very bad water or spend a great deal of money for sewers in a rural living area. Water, ground water is our most important resource. Questions must be addressed regarding the project's impact on groundwater.

Finally, please add us to the list of persons to be informed as to any decisions the County comes to in regard to this worrisome project.

Thank you.

Carla Davis
833 Wamego Trail

Begin forwarded message:

From: Carla Davis <carlaj1@mac.com>

Subject: Comment concerning PROJ 2020-00191, Parcel 0629-181-01, Pipes Wash Glamping Project aka Flamingo Project 640

Date: April 18, 2021 at 3:41:30 PM PDT

To: jim.morrissey@lus.sbcounty.gov

Cc: Supervisor.Rowe@bos.sbcounty.gov

This is to let you know that I oppose the granting of any conditional use permit or variance for this project to move forward. This project will negatively impact the landscape, the infrastructure, and community in the surrounding area. The project is the equivalent of a housing subdivision, providing 75 structures, septic, and parking spaces for tourists that may well come and go but remain the total number of approx. and at the very least 150 persons on this land. The plan also includes a private restaurant, bar, store, pool, offices, workshops, and all the attendant infrastructure such facilities/amenities require. I also note that the plan includes an area for a private heliport. I cannot even imagine the impact such a thing would have on the surrounding land and residents.

I am very concerned about traffic on OWS Road (to say nothing about helicopter traffic!). Traffic going to and from the project will be turning on a blind curve with semi trucks going North and South at all times of the day and night. At night, I can see from my house in Flamingo Heights a parade of cars traveling home after work. This traffic will be encumbered with 75 and more persons traveling to and from and making turns into and out of the glamping facility. There would be no amount of changes to this two lane highway to make it safe to accommodate the added vehicles. This does not even speak to the addition of exhaust fumes in the area.

I am also concerned about the impact of a minimum of 150 persons in a concentrated area using septic for sewage removal and the impact on groundwater.

Without environmental studies concerning the impact of this many people living in this close proximity in an area designated as rural living, I fear permanent residents who have chosen to live in a rural area will be left with denigration and not a thing to improve quality of life.

As an interested person and local resident in Flamingo Heights, I am requesting that the County hold a public hearing to review the impact of such a development. Please provide me with notice of any scheduled hearing in this regard.

I am also requesting a copy of the project owner's application for the variance and/or conditional use permit, and any supporting evidence the project submitted in support of this significant change.

Please consider this project and its impact on local resident carefully. It is a very private facility with so much elitist amenities, but its impact on the land and residents will be very public.

Thank you for your kind attention to this matter.

Carla Davis
833 Wamego Trail
92284

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: cacticarol <cacticarol@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 23, 2021 1:18 PM
To: Morrissey , Jim
Subject: Glamping on hwy 247

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

April 23, 2021

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy Tablet

Mr Morrissey,

I strongly oppose the glamping project off hwy 247 in Flamingo Heights. Project # PROJ-2020-00091 Parcel no. 0629-181-00.

There's too much traffic and accidents on the highway as it is. There should be a study done on the impact of more traffic and traffic accident deaths. An impact study should be done on the fragile lifes of the animals in the area, not to mention the plant life, and the water table.

Please don't let this happen to my desert! I've lived here for 35 years and it gets worse year after year. This desert simply can't sustain more people and more building. It's ruining the area.

Sincerely

Carol Coleman

Long time desert resident

April 25, 2021

Jim Morrissey, Contract Planner
County of San Bernardino Land Use Services
By Mail to Jim.Morrissey@lus.sbcounty.gov

RE: Project No. PROJ-2020-0191
Assessor Parcel Number:0629-181-01

Dear Mr. Morrissey:


I would request this project be denied due to its potential impact to the existing Rural Living residential area. This project is contrary to maintaining the residential integrity of the RL (Rural Living) land use zoning of the area and should not be considered a compatible use, even under the best of requirements and conditions of approval. Property owners have invested in single family homes and a project of canvas and cargo containers is not an asset to the area. This project, if approved further paves the way for similar project applications within the Morongo Basin that are poorly located and designed. Without any locational criteria for campgrounds, these types of projects are being reviewed and located indiscriminately.

Contrary to the public notice which states this project is considered a destination resort, the project is far from a designation resort. The proposal falls under the umbrella of hospitality in the form of lodging. The region around the subject site is the destination as millions of people come to visit Joshua Tree National Park, Johnson Valley OHV area; Pioneertown and Joshua Tree. Projects such as this provides the cheapest form of lodging, with little investment from the developer at the expense of the surrounding area. Either little thought was given to this design or there is a lack of understanding of the very basic lodging needs. The site plan and project description does not indicate the campground will have any on-site management or residence; parking is not conveniently provided to each tent site, and finally without some form of perimeter fencing there is a high potential for trespass onto adjacent parcels and possible OHV use in Pipe Cyn wash. Off-road use on this parcel and throughout the wash is already evident.

As already stated, this project is poorly design and its scope appears to be all over the board. Although it appears that the current scope of the project has eliminated the amphitheater and festivals, it is of concern that if this project is approved these activities will be allowed as minor modifications to the land use in the future without public comment or adequate technical studies to analyze potential impacts. The Noise Study and Traffic Study have a number of errors or fail to provide analysis based on the site plan included in these studies. I would further add that the heliport be eliminated from consideration as it was not included in the request for comment.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment, and please make this comment letter a part of the record for this application.

Sincerely,



Carol Miller
Pioneertown Ca.

Biggs, Lupe

From: caroline partamian <caroline.partamian@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 5:04 PM
To: Morrissey , Jim
Subject: PROJ-2020-00191 Parcel no. 0629-181-01
Attachments: PetitionSignatures_SaveFlamingoHeights_4.26.21.pdf; PetitionComments_SaveFlamingoHeights_4.26.21.pdf

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Jim Morrissey,

I hope you have had time to thoughtfully read through all the emails you are receiving about this project.

I send you this email as an addendum to my initial one sent to you on April 22, 2021 with my comments outlined against this project. I am attaching the [petition #saveflamingoheights](#) I started with my community members written in opposition to the Project # 2020-00191 on Parcel no. 0629-181-01 as an additional official realization of how many individuals from our community and beyond are opposed to this project. The first attachment is a signature document with 2,658 signatures against the project with today's date. The number of signatures has been growing every day. The second attachment includes additional comments with today's date against this project submitted via this forum.

Thank you for your time in reviewing all of these.

Best,
Caroline Partamian

Recipient: residents of flamingo heights, residents of yucca valley, residents of joshua tree, residents of the high desert

Letter: Greetings,

#saveflamingoheights

Comments

Name	Location	Date	Comment
Thao Nguyen	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-15	"This is a pristine wildlife corridor. For the sake of the precious nature, we do not need this area overran with cars, foot traffic, trash, noise and light pollution. We do not need more tourists trampling over our home and neighborhood. This project is literally inviting a permanent stream of tourists. This project has no thought for the current local community, literally designed to exploit our scarce resources further. Locals are already competing with the millions of tourists for food and housing. ALSO - why not develop a hotel off Hwy 62 where there's designated areas???"
Thao Nguyen	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-15	"This is a pristine wildlife corridor. For the sake of the precious nature, we do not need this area overran with cars, foot traffic, trash, noise and light pollution. We do not need more tourists trampling over our home and neighborhood. This project is literally inviting a permanent stream of tourists. This project has no thought for the current local community, literally designed to exploit our scarce resources further. Locals are already competing with the millions of tourists for food and housing. ALSO - why not develop a hotel off Hwy 62 where there's designated areas???"
Kayla Cohen	ee, CA	2021-04-15	"When desert land is bulldozed it takes at least thirty years to revert to how it looked previously... it's not like a forest that just grows back. this is a fragile ecosystem and we only get one. let's not turn it into LA."
Bryan Harris	Landers, CA	2021-04-15	"I think this project will have poor environmental impacts, and cause unnecessary light and noise pollution in a calm and quiet residential area."
Sarah Jura	Lafayette, CA	2021-04-15	"Leave this beautiful space at peace"
philip triantafyllou	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-15	"I'm signing this because we need thoughtful and slow development in our community amd not fly by night investors destroying what I moved and invested my life out here for in an attempt to cash in on a fad. Particularly when we're talking about such a large swath of ancestral land that affects all of us here in Flamingo Heights."
David Irelan	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-15	"I care about the wildlife and natural habitat!"
Melodi Mccauley	Landers, CA	2021-04-15	"I live in landers, we don't want our wildlife disrupted, the traffic and pollution that this will bring to our community. With locals already being priced out by the massive influx of people from LA this is the last thing we need. The desert is home to many artist, a magical place for a different pass of life. Don't let the desert become the playground of the rich and famous."
Theresa Weir	Landers, CA	2021-04-15	"What an awful and shortsighted idea. :("
B Nelson	Twentynine Palms, CA	2021-04-15	"639 acres is a lot of rural residential land to completely convert into a commercial tourist zone right in the middle of a beautiful residential community. And while they may have hired an environmental preservation consultant to help develop it with care it

Name	Location	Date	Comment
			feels like any fast tracking of this project is a complete disservice to the dire state of our community and its limited resources like water and affordable housing. The county needs to address the growing long term rental crisis and vacation rental density first. If that isn't taken care of who will be able to live here to work at establishments like these? I don't see any portion of massive land dedicated to rental housing or staff housing. Also, a helipad? Seems like that would reduce the amount of tourism money spent on the small businesses along the route from all major cities and airports. If you can fly in and have all your needs met at an insular level. How does a business model like this help one engage with our community?"
Briana Venskus	Valencia, CA	2021-04-15	"Because NO"
Dominoe Farris	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-15	"This is not the right location for the wealthy elite to glamp"
Anna Schoenberger	San Francisco, CA	2021-04-15	"Leave the desert alone! Save the trees! Save the turtles!"
Alice Jones	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-15	"This really is not a good fit here."
Angelina Schoenberger	Landers, CA	2021-04-15	"This is a bad plan. It is too massive and in a wild life corridor not to mention the noise and pollution. It needs to be scaled down. Can we fight a major corporation?! This owner should donate the land to keep as a wildlife corridor. The Mojave Desert Land Trust should purchase this parcel."
Ruben Zuniga	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-15	"Enough of the corporate sprawl. It's not worth the loss of natural landscape."
Ryan Cowles	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-15	"We cannot allow developers to further disrupt and exploit our already fragile desert ecosystem. There are plenty of existing places for tourists to camp and visit our beautiful desert in a less-harmful way. This is absolutely not a good fit for our community."
Melissa Grisi	Twentynine Palms, CA	2021-04-15	"Because enough is enough."
Jay Lucas	Cliffside Park, NJ	2021-04-15	"Please don't do this."
Shannon Lee	Great Neck, NY	2021-04-15	"what is the point of building a high-end luxury vacation development when you're destroying all the things that actually make this place unique and special? the world needs to see more of these ecosystems preserved; the last thing we need is another helipad and glamping site in the middle of a desert."
gail butensky	los angeles, CA	2021-04-15	"Please keep the desert the desert!!!"
Nathan Ober	Santa Cruz, CA	2021-04-15	"Keep tourists out of wildlife areas. Let them tortoises breathe!"
Richard Tomlin	Sudbury, Canada	2021-04-15	"The desert is one of our favourite destination because it is so unique and protected. This is how want it to stay, not a place that houses fancy destinations that ignore the unique wildlife and flora. Please keep it safe!"
Tyler R	Ontario, CA	2021-04-15	"It's the right thing to do."

Name	Location	Date	Comment
Melody Anesacci	San Jose, CA	2021-04-15	"This desert land should not be over developed!"
Cody Alder	Brooklyn, NY	2021-04-15	"This is a residential area. The idea of there being a "destination resort" anywhere near here is an ABOMINATION."
Dana Longuevan	Twentynine Palms, CA	2021-04-16	"This is a wildlife corridor essential for our desert animal friends. We need thoughtful planning and development in the desert that serves the environment, the local populous and visitors equally. This proposal does not serve any interests except for investors."
Jo Bollen	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16	"Where's the water coming from for all of these "glampers"?"
Licia Perea	Yucca valley, CA	2021-04-16	"This is not good for our small community and especially for the environment here!!"
Judith Lynn Laffoon	Landers, CA	2021-04-16	"I'm signing because of my concerns for the following:- Public safety on Hwy 247 / Old Woman Springs Road- fires - San Bernardino County Unincorporated areas are mostly "No burns areas"- waste water system? - water - where will they be getting it? Our aquifer may not be able to handle the number of tourists at the proposed "glaming" site.- air quality- biological resources- Desert Tortoises - endangered species- Staged musical events - noise / environmental issueProject # PROJ-2020-00191Parcel # 0629-181-01This parcel is zoned RL (Rural Living). The proposed "destination resort" does not belong in a Rural Living Community."
Abby Brothers	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-16	"The environmental impact of a resort would be negative on the desert."
Natalia Pander	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16	"It will destroy our precious desert if tourists take over and increase in numbers unproportionally while there are no restrictions in place to protect the wildlife or delicate plants like the Joshua Tree out here. The infrastructure of our area out here is not ready for that sudden and tremendous growth!"
Florence Mantlo	Twentynine Palms, CA	2021-04-16	"Our desert ecosystem is very fragile. Our natural resources are limited. This development would bring nothing to enhance and better the desert ecosystem. With the influx of many more visitors that have no respect for the natural area we live in it will be destroyed. We don't have enough water for developments of this type. The wildlife will suffer."
Pam Anders	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16	"This is a sensitive wildlife corridor and tortoise habitat who are endangered. Also a forest of Joshua Trees. Not suitable for this type of land use."
Kenneth Large	Twentynine Palms, CA	2021-04-16	"No no no we need affordable housing not tourists"
cura jones	yucca valley, CA	2021-04-16	"This affects my family significantly since we live within in a half mile vicinity of this proposed glamping vacation spot. There are so many reason why this is wrong and the main reason is due to the land being zoned as RL for a reason. I bought my house because of the location and peace from town. Please keep the RL areas Rural Living! Not to mention there are tortoises in the wash and I know

Name	Location	Date	Comment
			this as fact, since my property connects to the wash and I see them yearly. I do not want more strangers near my family's RL home."
L. Aili Schmeltz	Los angeles, CA	2021-04-16	"Comments are being taken on this permit until April 26, it's very important that they get flooded with comments right now!Send substantive comments to: SBC Contract Planner Jim Morrissey - jim.morrissey@lus.sbcounty.govThird district supervisor Dawn Rowe - https://bosd3.sbcounty.gov/contact-information/-----NO ON THE 'FLAMINGO 640 GLAMPING RESORT' PROPOSED PROJECT!!!!Project # PROJ-2020-00191 on Parcel # 0629-181-01The devastating environmental impact on this pristine wildlife corridor would be immense, destroying habitat for protected and endangered species such as the Western Joshua Tree, Desert Tortoise, and Burrowing Owl.The development will also have severe negative impacts on fire safety (70 campfires in the desert with the areas constant high winds?), Public Safety (247 is a two lane highway, that stretch has a history of being very dangerous as is with a high count of deadly collisions and blind curves), air quality (car exhaust, campfires), and noise pollution (this area is zoned for"
Erik Garant	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-16	"I'm concerned with the people who own this land not going through proper procedures— it can only lead to bad things."
Barbara Le Beau	Long Beach, CA	2021-04-16	"I am a high desert land owner and this development does not belong in the area."
Donna Colston	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16	"I live in Flamingo Heights and what I moved here for (quality of life) will be directly impacted by this development."
Toni Gonzalez	Landers, CA	2021-04-16	"This is not what we need here. We need affordable housing to offset the rampant airbnb and house flippers for profit."
Jeffrey Gent	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-16	"Our wells are already running dry, we don't need a huge campsite taking away more of our precious water just so tourists can camp here. Huge NO on this project!"
Dan Anderson	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16	"It's a bad idea"
brenda roberts	Landers, CA	2021-04-16	"I live here, our native plants and animal species will be harmed, our way of life will be altered, our water supply cannot handle this."
Ronald Bridges	Twentynine Palms, CA	2021-04-16	"It's wrong to destory our Desert and habit for there pleasure"
Patricia Knight	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-16	"This is not sustainable growth for the area and we need to protect and stop encroaching on wildlife habitat."
CATHE Salveson	twentynine palms, CA	2021-04-16	"Cathe Salveson"
Cheryl Mathews	Los Angeles, US	2021-04-16	"Glamping and Hip camps are popping up overnight with no regard for the people and animals that live here... open unattended fires, riding ATVs though peoples yards, destroying everything they come in contact with..We have limited resources here in the desert especially water.. and it can take upto 4 hours for law enforcement to respond to a call for help.To further strain the

Name	Location	Date	Comment
			resources of this desert by bringing in non residents will destroy what few resources we have...Camping in residential areas is illegal and permitting it shows a total disregard for our residents while catering to big business.."
Michele Shoemaker	US	2021-04-16	"I came here for the space and lack of crowds but willing to put up with the invasion twice a year between KOH and the Art festival. The peace of the desert is leaving more and more each year. Time to put a halt to the destruction."
Elizabeth Long	Portland, OR	2021-04-16	"I believe nature should be preserved."
Roxanne Tobler	Lodi, CA	2021-04-16	"The desert community is fragile enough as it is. The water resources in our state are stretched to the max. Create a sanctuary for the flora and fauna and use ecotouring to teach and appreciate. Save the water resources for the farmers, ranchers, native wildlife and fishes. Please do not develop this area."
Nancy Sammons	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-16	"Water issues. Traffic issues. Lack houses for families to live here due to all the airb'b's. If anything we need homes built. Not weekend getaways. OWS is dangerous already"
Phoenix Demille	Midvale, UT	2021-04-16	"Flamingo Heights is a magical, serene and integral part of the desert experience and should not be disrupted by overpopulation and light/sound pollution. The environment in the desert is delicate enough as it is! Save Flamingo Heights! 💎"
valerie liesenfelt	Landers, CA	2021-04-16	"This area is being over run with air bnb's already! Our working locals who rent are now living in cars and trailers because there's no long term rentals! This little community can't handle all this fast pace growth."
Melissa Weston	Twentynine Palms, CA	2021-04-16	"We live in a rural area for a reason. Hwy 247 is already a scary drive. Please think of the locals."
Dawn Johnson	Landers, CA	2021-04-16	"It's ridiculous to even propose this sort of thing up here. We don't have the resources to accommodate anything like this, such as law enforcement, medical facilities, or even the ability to accommodate the extra traffic it will create. We have very, very little available for our tiny community - just 2 gas stations, a Dollar General and a tiny restaurant which is only open Thursday through Sunday. Not to mention, it will destroy the ecosystem of all nature's habitats. And it's in a wash area and we get flash floods up here. You destroy the natural build up of nature around that area, it will make flooding worse when flash floods happen, causing all of us to be stuck for hours or even days. We just don't have the ability or resources to have such a thing up here. We like our quiet, little community just the way it is. This is why we all moved up here - to get away from all the noise and city life. Please don't do this!"
Heidi Pearson	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-16	"As a homeowner in Flamingo Heights I want to preserve what we love about our area."
Judy Massey	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16	"Such a bad idea! Let's focus on protecting, rather than displacing our local residents and our endangered ecosystem. A heliport on top of it all?! Please!!!"

Name	Location	Date	Comment
Jennifer Liesenfelt	Las Vegas, NV	2021-04-16	"This is where I grew up and my family still lives. That small town cannot keep up with the traffic in the area and locals can't even make the 10 minute drive to town for groceries anymore, it's turned into longer drive times. Many accidents on an already dangerous rural highway. This is a terrible idea."
debbie jones	Morongo Valley, CA	2021-04-16	"To save our deserts."
Oana Cupsa	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-16	"Protect and respect"
Monica Urick	San Francisco, CA	2021-04-16	"The desert is not a playground, it's a sacred space that needs to continue to be protected!"
Adella Albiani	Penn Valley, CA	2021-04-16	"This project is trying to displace Nature with Greed. I strongly disagree to this project. Stop now."
Dayna Mance	Long Beach, CA	2021-04-16	"Please don't let them do this."
Keith Urick	Mill Valley, CA	2021-04-16	"I live in this community part time and cherish the serenity and beauty of the rural environment. The land and natural life is extremely sacred and should not be disturbed by commercial Development and especially a helipad"
Leslie Miller	Landers, CA	2021-04-16	"If we don't stand up to protect our original residence then who will. Our wildlife is what makes our area so special. When we came here we knew we had to share. New comets are not being made aware and that should be mandatory."
Carly Foulkes	Brooklyn, NY	2021-04-16	"We need to protect the land and wildlife inhabiting it"
Devon Nuszer	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-16	"We need to save the land, more commercial building will turn our community into a tourist attraction causing harm to the natural environment and all its native habitats."
Indra Rodies	Forest Hills, NY	2021-04-16	"This land does not belong to the corp mecha and it's preservation will be VITAL to the extended life of those who live in the area. to turn it to dust in a matter of moments is a sin, and absolutely fucking stupid."
Tin Santos	Los Angeles, US	2021-04-16	"The wildlife corridor needs to be protected and the development project would be devastating to the peace and culture of the community!!!!"
Julie Reyes	Palm Springs, CA	2021-04-16	"This land, its native trees, habitat and overall beauty should never be destroyed for profit!"
olivia parker	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-16	"Enough desecration of our desert! Enough of the greedy land grab for the purpose of squeezing a dollar out of the communities we cherish."
tamra davis	Malibu, CA	2021-04-16	"I own a house and acreage in flamingo heights I do not want a development this large in this special place of natural beauty and wildlife Go to Vegas if you want a crowd"

Name	Location	Date	Comment
Eva Pererra	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16	"Someone needs to do something about this, our town is being over run"
Danna McAllister	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16	"Concern for our community"
Kaylene Kendall	Payson, AZ	2021-04-16	"Of dana"
Robyn Lewis	Twentynine Palms, CA	2021-04-16	"I don't want this area to be ruined there's too much building going on too fast . I want to protect are beautiful desert as much as I can . There needs to be enough housing for are locals . They are being driven out of this area ."
Alan Setser	Rancho Cordova, US	2021-04-16	"Don't want a destination resort here."
ann zerin	Grass Valley, CA	2021-04-16	"I'm concerned about safeguarding the desert resources for all its rural residents"
Angella Nutt	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16	"I've lived here too long to watch another of our desert areas being destroyed, along with our habitat and breeding grounds have to be uprooted."
nicholas christensen	los angeles, CA	2021-04-16	"This project should face the highest standards of reviews under the law, since it represents a significant impact to our community"
Susan McCurdy	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16	"Simply..We do not want the traffic, noise or a bar in our front yard..."
Kenda Taylor	Garden Grove, CA	2021-04-16	"I care about the plants, animals, dark night skies and the general beauty of the high desert. This resort would not improve the area."
VALERIE DAVIS	JOSHUA TREE, CA	2021-04-16	"This project is so destructive and disrespectful of the land and the people who live there. This is motivated by greed and needs to be stopped."
Jean k	pioneertown, CA	2021-04-16	"Jean k"
Cynthia White	Pioneertown, CA	2021-04-16	"Please consider that our desert is starving for water also the highway, OWS SR 247 is probably one of the most dangerous roads in America. No to any more "clamping" - we need affordable housing for our community."
Sherri Yocham	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-17	"They don't come with any knowledge of our home(our beautiful desert)nor do most of them try to understand why we love it here!! My husband and I have been here since the 60's and 70's not all change is good!"
John Etz	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-17	"I live here,enough is enough"
Valeree Woodard	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-17	"It's all wrong, not rural living, it is basically a tent hotel in a residential neighborhood. Save the desert!"
Emanuel Snyder	Palm Springs, CA	2021-04-17	"There is enough accommodations for visitors. Joshua Tree needs to keep it's sacred land"

Name	Location	Date	Comment
Steven Zylstra	silverado, CA	2021-04-17	"I live in Joshua Tree this is insane"
Linda Hornberger	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-17	"I moved to this area for peace and quiet. This glamping site will destroy a large section of pristine desert, bring light and noise pollution, and create a huge burden on the road and water systems."
Donna Isenberg	Culver City, CA	2021-04-17	"I love nature as is."
David Johnson	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-17	"Enough is enough.. too much"
Maria Van Geel	Zdroisko, Poland	2021-04-17	"Getekend"
Jenean McCormack	Lancaster, CA	2021-04-17	"Save endangered Western Joshua Trees and desert tortoises."
Priscilla Eldredge	Groton, CT	2021-04-17	"Leve nature alone, do not destroy land, no need💎"
Ellen Goldin	Brooklyn, NY	2021-04-17	"I want to save desert life"
Jonathan Johnson	Orange, CA	2021-04-17	"Let's keep the desert quiet"
Bobby Furst	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-17	"PLEASE STOP THIS PROJECT !"
Michael Diaz	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-17	"We dont need it and it'll ruin the actual reason people come here. The natural beauty."
Mariah Cooper	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-17	"WE DONT NEED THIS. WE DONT WANT THISTHE INFLUX OF PEOPLE WILL ONLY ADD MORE TRASH TO THE DESERT !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!"
Diane Kuntz	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-17	"Too big. Too much. Just no."
Liz Nea	Daggett, CA	2021-04-17	"This would cause suffering and destruction to our desert animals (some endangered). The desert is to view not destroy."
Arielle Mayer	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-17	"We do not need this is residential neighborhoods in our town. We live, sleep, and work here. We need quiet!"
John Harding	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-18	"Its encroachment on a rural area!"
Cindy Kazarian	Flamingo Heights, CA	2021-04-18	"Flamingo Heights is a small rural community.. everyone lives off of the very busy highway Rt 247, Old Woman's Spring Road... as it is this 2 lane Hwy can't support the traffic .. more and more Crosses will appear along this highway from the fatalities because of selfish drivers.. At some point the residents and their needs must come first.."
Sasha Goclowski	Kapaau, HI	2021-04-18	"SAY NO TO THIS RESORT IT WILL DESTROY LIVITY FOR THE LOCAL CULTURE"
Maryrose Crook	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-19	"this is clearly not the site for this kind of development, as it is zoned "rural"."
Miroslava Mraz	Garden Grove, CA	2021-04-19	"I love turtles period!"

Name	Location	Date	Comment
Elaine Becker	Roanoke, VA	2021-04-19	"We MUST save species for future generations! Joshua trees and dessert tortoises are Endangered - do NOT attack their last refuges!"
brittaney harvey	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-19	"Leave the land and desert alone!!!"
Elizabeth Van Zandt	Indio, CA	2021-04-19	"During a drought is not a time to be adding more venues that stress the land and its denizens."
michelle alonzi	Lake Forest, IL	2021-04-19	"what the fuck!!!!"
James Paine	Fullerton, CA	2021-04-19	"Our property is right next door to this development. It is not an improvement to the area. We have been coming here since the sixties, this is our getaway from the city to enjoy nature. This type of development will be destructive to the to the Rural Living lifestyle, as well as the Wildlife, Turtles, Owls, Roadrunners, Quail, White Wing Doves, Scotts Orioles, Monarch and a variety of other migrating butterflies and birds that use the area to carry on their life cycles. Since the sixties I have always expected to see this parcel of ground divided up into five acre or greater lots, But not an Open Air Concert site with a heliport. Ok lets talk about fire danger the wind blows here almost daily. Oh I forgot they will scrape the land clear of vegetation, that's what the wildlife needs to exists so there will be nothing to burn. Except maybe your house.I understand people wanting to make money, this is just not the right use for this area."
David Rossi	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-19	"24/7 The amount of campfire smoke on the local environment alone should stop this project. If you love this area please do your research people."
Raylynn Losey	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-19	"There is no room as it is in this town for locals we have enough tourists with the JTNP alone. We have a housing crisis on our hands this will only make it worse. The traffic everything will get worse."
Laura Brown	Hanover, MA	2021-04-19	"This cruelty is not needed and this must end and be stopped ASAP"
Marie Gauley	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-20	"Environmental impact, sound pollution and lack of infrastructure."
Kate Kenner	Guilford, VT	2021-04-20	"Such an entitled species we are. People want to make money and have fun at the expense of wildlife but do the powers that be care? Do the money makers care? Do the people suing these venues care? No. and it is abhorrent. With the state of the planet and the peril wildlife are facing one would like to think that there would be more of a sense of responsibility and ethics that is shown here."
Melinda Cazarez	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-20	"Wildlife should be preserved"
Sharon Ellis	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-20	"No one who lives here or truly loves the desert wants such a monstrosity."
Deborah Geer	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-20	"As a descendant of OG desert residents: Nooooooo! I can attest as someone who attended desert "festivals" pre- Coachella, that you can't just establish a glamp, slap a sign on it, charge admission and then call it safe. It's not safe for the hipsters attending, not safe for the landscape, safe for the community or safe for the wildlife. It's the flippin desert! Some locations need to stay sacred."

Name	Location	Date	Comment
Ainsley Thornburgh	Berkeley, CA	2021-04-20	"Protection of vulnerable land is so important. There are already so many ways to stay in this region either through home rentals or smaller host venues more are not needed."
Jami Lovell	Salt Lake City, UT	2021-04-20	"The land, animals, and trees need to be protected."
Melanie Townsend	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-20	"All of this traffic and people will scare away all of the nature in the area. We have lots of wildlife. Bobcats, mountain lions, quail, hawks, jackrabbits, tortoises, owls, iguanas, lizards, chipmunks and coyotes. Nothing good is going to come out of this business. Except for somebody making money!"
John Calvert	Yucca valley, CA	2021-04-20	"It is a bad idea all round, destruction of wild life corridors and habitat, noise, light pollution, disruption of the neighborhoods around it, fire risk, burden on the water table, waste management, the list is endless.As mentioned this area is zoned rural living, they are trying to circumvent the permit by saying it is a camping site. Glamping is not camping, camping is when a person pays a fee pitches their portable tent for a period of time and leaves with it leaving only the empty site. This will have judging by the pictures permanent or semi permanent structures. The whole thing is an opportunistic greed driven project to further exploit the the increasing popularity of our area at the expense of the desert, wildlife and the surrounding neighborhoods"
Cathy Sheehe	New Washoe City, NV	2021-04-21	"Keep rural life rural!"
Meghan Udell	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-21	"Desert tortoise populations are greatly affected by human interactions with their habitats. With already declining populations, when we destroy everything where will they go? Not to mention they rely on the native plant species for food and shelter from the desert heat. We do not need another hipster destination that will only fill the pockets of already wealthy migrants who have moved here to "escape the city". Also, "glamping"? Really?"
emily oloughlin	Wheaton, IL	2021-04-21	"someone i trust shared the petition with me. i am so glad to catch this petition in time to include my name in opposition."
Joseph Plante	Boulder, CO	2021-04-21	"My friends property would be negatively effected along with wildlife and protected areas."
Rocio Gonzalez	Mesa, AZ	2021-04-21	"Rocio Gonzalez"
Eunice Gonzalez	Riverside, CA	2021-04-21	"Eunice Gonzalez"
mallory jones	Anaheim, CA	2021-04-21	"I live here."
Kelsey Monroe	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-21	"This is a gross misuse of land that should be protected and preserved."
Roxanne Arnett	San Marcos, CA	2021-04-21	"ANY Joshua Tree removal is outrageous!"

Name	Location	Date	Comment
Maury Quinn	Santa Clara, UT	2021-04-22	"I grew up in the area and would hate to see our tortoises endangered and the people who live there have to deal with the problems related to this plan."
Derek Girling	Long Beach, CA	2021-04-22	"I am a part time desert resident and I value the irreplaceable environment of our high desert."
Jack Cook	29 Palms, CA	2021-04-22	"This desert can't sustain anymore. mass lodgings located in sensitive areas, especially close to protected areas."
Jacob Lebron	Kirkland, WA	2021-04-22	"Keep it wild! We have plenty of other places to indulge in human commodities"
DONNA Leavitt	Toms River, NJ	2021-04-22	"Stop destroying!"
Karver Murphy	Flagstaff, AZ	2021-04-22	"Keep the lovely people of LA out."
doria wosk	miami, FL	2021-04-23	"JUSTICE FOR OUR PRECIOUS ANIMALS AND NATURE. SAVE AND PRESERVE OUR PLANET. AMERICA FIRST"
Frances Lopez	US	2021-04-23	"I am against exploiting our beautiful desert, natural resources., invasion of our protected plants and animal life. Not to mention the extra traffic going through our town, etc."
Briseida Urena	Morongro Valley, CA	2021-04-23	"I'm signing because I call our deserts home. Im advocating for our special desert and its wildlife"
Elaine Fischer	Roanoke, VA	2021-04-23	"SAVE THE ENDANGERED SPECIES!"
Lorna Hill	Houston, TX	2021-04-23	"There are plenty of other destinations for party people to go glamping. Save those precious turtles!"
Irene Snow	Flamingo Heighrs, CA	2021-04-23	"A festival ground is not appropriate for that spot on a 2 lane road. It cannot support a 25,000 person festival venue and 90 acre parking lot. They can't be serious. The residents of Flamingo Heights live here to be away from crowds and noise. This is an intrusion on our peace. Take this to a more suitable area. Flamingo heights is not the place for this."
Carol Hiestand	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-23	"Trashing the desert for a one-time event doesn't begin to make sense!"
Kelly Burns	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-24	"This is outrageous. Absolutely NOT."
Alyssa Lovell	Florence, MA	2021-04-24	"Wildlife corridors like this are increasingly valuable and best served by conservation and minimal human impact."
Marla McFadin	EUGENE, OR	2021-04-24	"I care about defending habitats and species threatened by habitat encroachment and climate chaos."
Edgar Rodriguez	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-24	"We need to preserve these spaces. Not everything should be a money maker"
marie cartier	lakewood, CA	2021-04-24	"Unbelievable...please leave Joshua tree alone!!!"

Name	Location	Date	Comment
Judith Wold	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-24	"This will destroy land/habitat."
Sara Gardner Gail	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-25	"No way should this land be used for a destination resort! It would be environmentally disastrous for the already fragile ecosystem."
Yana Nirvana	Stevenville, MT	2021-04-25	"This proposed "resort" will have devastating effects of the wildlife that lives there. It is zoned Rural, and that needs to be respected as such"
Lina Gasca	Palmdale, CA	2021-04-26	"These beautiful tortoises need their land to live or they will become extinct!!!"
Jessy Ware	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-26	"This will not benefit the town, locals and especially the wild life that exist in the proposed area."
beth dolos	ashland, OR	2021-04-26	"people have plenty of places for glamping...wildlife preservation should be top priority"
Gary Alan Hall	Squaw Valley, CA	2021-04-26	"Developers need to follow the law. This particular development is on sensitive habitat that needs to be protected."
Ollin Trujillo	Los angeles, CA	2021-04-26	"The fact that they want to put "Glamping Tee pees" on this pristine desert landscape tells me all I need to know about this project! I have Native American ancestry, and I also own 10 acres bordered by BLM land within a short walking distance from this site. I really can't believe that in the year 2021, people still think it's ok to do stuff like this. I was first drawn to this area because it was rural, outside of the city limits proper, and because of the beautiful natural landscape. It is quiet, peaceful, and the stars are bright and clear at night. Every time I come to visit my get-a-way cabin, I see all sorts of different types of wildlife. Not only will this project disturb the protected Joshua Trees and Desert tortoises, but also the quail, coyotes, bobcats, mice, scorpions, birds, rabbits and other plants and animals who live there. I'm not totally against any development in the area, however this project needs to undergo a thorough environmental impact study, and definitely needs to be reconsidered (es)"
Glenn Ware	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-26	"There is little land left for the wildlife. This size of a project and the future occupancy there of would be detrimental to the environment."

Recipient: residents of flamingo heights, residents of yucca valley, residents of joshua tree, residents of the high desert

Letter: Greetings,

#saveflamingoheights

Signatures

Name	Location	Date
caroline partamian	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-15
Aili Schmeltz	Yucca Mesa, CA	2021-04-15
Michael Endo	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-15
Thao Nguyen	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-15
ethan primason	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-15
Stephanie Geny	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-15
Hans Hansen	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-15
hans wagner	Anaheim, CA	2021-04-15
Kayla Cohen	ee, CA	2021-04-15
Mary Hall	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-15
Ian James	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-15
Greg Bryant	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-15
Melisa Myers	Landers, CA	2021-04-15
Sarah LaChance	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-15
Bryan Harris	Landers, CA	2021-04-15
Holly Ellis	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-15
Jax Pollock	Minneapolis, US	2021-04-15
Yvonne Greg	Elkridge, US	2021-04-15
Amy Keeler	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-15
Joshua Almendinger	Yucca valley, CA	2021-04-15

Name	Location	Date
JON INWOOD	Brooklyn, NY	2021-04-15
Halyna Calderón	Tujunga, CA	2021-04-15
joan horne	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-15
Franco Carlo	New York	2021-04-15
Liz Weissert	Philadelphia, PA	2021-04-15
Jon Pack	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-15
Brian DeRan	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-15
Rachel Michalik	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-15
Sarah Jura	Lafayette, CA	2021-04-15
Amy Anderson	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-15
Lauren Stern	Van Nuys, CA	2021-04-15
Hunter Wise	Brooklyn, NY	2021-04-15
Benjamin Vital III	Twentynine Palms, CA	2021-04-15
Bob Dornberger	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-15
Jacqueline Edwards	Landers, CA	2021-04-15
Megan Mote	Colton, CA	2021-04-15
Jennalee Battson	Chicago, IL	2021-04-15
Paul Quattrone	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-15
Jon Brooks Thomas	Palm Springs, CA	2021-04-15
p buck	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-15
Beale Dabbs	landers, CA	2021-04-15
Sami Taylor	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-15

Name	Location	Date
Courtney Buckland	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-15
Valerie Hopkins	Landers, CA	2021-04-15
Travis Myers	Landers, CA	2021-04-15
panda landa	joshua tree, CA	2021-04-15
Nicole Emery	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-15
Patricia Fernández	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-15
Evan Walsh	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-15
katie gaydos	Santa Monica, CA	2021-04-15
Dave Moore	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-15
meredith coll	san francisco, CA	2021-04-15
marissa gluck	los angeles, CA	2021-04-15
Lauren Sayeski	La Mesa, CA	2021-04-15
kevin faulkner	los angeles, CA	2021-04-15
Jessica Pfeiffer	JoshuaTree, CA	2021-04-15
Derek Monypeny	Yucca valley, CA	2021-04-15
Jennifer Celio	Long Beach, CA	2021-04-15
Ali Pearl	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-15
Russell Taysom	Birmingham, UK	2021-04-15
Bo Cheshire	Twentynine Palms, CA	2021-04-15
Katelyn McClain	Columbus, OH	2021-04-15
philip triantafyllou	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-15
Brice James	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-15

Name	Location	Date
Jennifer Ruggiero	Twentynine Palms, CA	2021-04-15
Christina Powers	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-15
Lisa Burford	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-15
Rachael Bell	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-15
Claudia Bucher	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-15
Ellis Oakley	Altamonte Springs, FL	2021-04-15
Kali Niemann	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-15
Laura Vall	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-15
Rossellini Rogel	Whittier, CA	2021-04-15
Anna Nelson	Maywood, CA	2021-04-15
Martha Weir	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-15
David Irelan	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-15
Melodi Mccauley	Landers, CA	2021-04-15
Jamie Yoshida	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-15
elle sea	joshua tree, CA	2021-04-15
Amanda Oliver	Landers, CA	2021-04-15
Gary Wise	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-15
Linda Saffioti	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-15
Andrea Vincent	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-15
Colin Donohue	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-15
Emily Nemeth	Castle Rock, CO	2021-04-15
Aidan Koch	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-15

Name	Location	Date
Bridget Akellian	Wyckoff, NJ	2021-04-15
Theresa Weir	Landers, CA	2021-04-15
Rachel Rascona	Berlin, MD	2021-04-15
Matthew Barnes	Yucca valley, CA	2021-04-15
Michele Jaffe	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-15
Eli Thorne	Twentynine Palms, CA	2021-04-15
Jenny Ziesenhenne	Santa Barbara, CA	2021-04-15
Chloe Bowman	Ball, LA	2021-04-15
Paul Grebetz	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-15
KC Slagle	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-15
Heather Orozco	Landers, CA	2021-04-15
Deanna Braswell	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-15
Ashley Thomas	West Yarmouth, MA	2021-04-15
Gianna Quinci	Oakland, CA	2021-04-15
James Huff	Upland, CA	2021-04-15
Lynn Hogarth	Pensacola, FL	2021-04-15
Matthew Bair	Spokane, WA	2021-04-15
Cris Dawson	Chicago, IL	2021-04-15
Nikki Bowman	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-15
David Scott	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-15
Danielle Kinoshita	Joshua tree, CA	2021-04-15
Anna Pearson	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-15

Name	Location	Date
B Nelson	Twentynine Palms, CA	2021-04-15
Reed Price	Yucca valley, CA	2021-04-15
ben campbell	Pleasant Grove, UT	2021-04-15
Tiffani Waters	Landers, CA	2021-04-15
Leah Quinaz	Brooklyn, NY	2021-04-15
Thomas Hjorth	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-15
Vavine (V) Tahapehi	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-15
Edgar Castelan	Riverside, CA	2021-04-15
Alexandria Fenner	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-15
Jessica Robertson	US	2021-04-15
Jona Maaryn	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-15
Briana Venskus	Valencia, CA	2021-04-15
Bernard Leibov	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-15
Lynne Haase	Biggsville, IL	2021-04-15
Ilis Geronimo	Ojai, CA	2021-04-15
Taylor Powers	Elgin, IL	2021-04-15
Carlos Araujo	San Clemente, CA	2021-04-15
Krystal Castro	Landers, CA	2021-04-15
Stacie Lewis	Yucca Mesa, CA	2021-04-15
Ariane Bicho	Flamingo Heights, CA	2021-04-15
Jennifer Showstead	Brooklyn, NY	2021-04-15
Nader Ghassemlou	Long Beach, CA	2021-04-15

Name	Location	Date
Eleanore Pienta	New York, NY	2021-04-15
Corinne Mayer	Costa Mesa, CA	2021-04-15
Kara Hurwitz	Studio City, CA	2021-04-15
Kari Bobko	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-15
Francesca Lund	Glendale, CA	2021-04-15
Deborah Melford	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-15
Barbara Boyer-Hoffmann	Cathedral City, CA	2021-04-15
joanne karl	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-15
Shannon Watters	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-15
Brian Boyer-Hoffmann	Cathedral City, CA	2021-04-15
Dominoe Farris	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-15
Ashmore Ellis	Temecula, CA	2021-04-15
Anna Schoenberger	San Francisco, CA	2021-04-15
Alice Jones	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-15
Dana Seiger	Maple Shade, US	2021-04-15
Ruben Zuniga	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-15
Ryan Cowles	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-15
Danielle App	Brooklyn, NY	2021-04-15
JO Abbie	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-15
Crispijn Neiryndck	Jabbeke, Belgium	2021-04-15
Carly Powers	New Ipswich, NH	2021-04-15
Cara Santini	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-15

Name	Location	Date
Elizabeth Martinez	Anaheim, CA	2021-04-15
Emma Palm	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-15
Kristy Erickson	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-15
Claudia Vera Rosas	Alhambra, CA	2021-04-15
Danielle Zamora	Arcadia, CA	2021-04-15
Melissa Grisi	Twentynine Palms, CA	2021-04-15
Jed Gumiran	Johnson Valley, CA	2021-04-15
Emily Hay	Ojai, CA	2021-04-15
Nikki Nalepa	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-15
Angel Johnson	South Pasadena, CA	2021-04-15
Steve Burr	Porterville, CA	2021-04-15
Jay Lucas	Cliffside Park, NJ	2021-04-15
Andrea Berglund	Chicago, US	2021-04-15
Kathryn Pateridis	Vaudreuil-dorion, Quebec, Canada	2021-04-15
Alyssa Diamond	Portland, OR	2021-04-15
CJ	Prosper, US	2021-04-15
Shannon Lee	Great Neck, NY	2021-04-15
gail butensky	los angeles, CA	2021-04-15
Samantha Edwards	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-15
Laura Crane	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-15
Monica Curiel	Ontario, CA	2021-04-15
Cam Schuster	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-15

Name	Location	Date
Felipe Albertao	Redlands, CA	2021-04-15
Dominik Hauser	South Pasadena, CA	2021-04-15
Andrew Yip	Arcadia, CA	2021-04-15
Corinna Gebert	Santa Monica, CA	2021-04-15
Hannah Lee	San Jose, CA	2021-04-15
George Natzel	Coachella, CA	2021-04-15
Aaron/Alex Florece	Orange Park, US	2021-04-15
Marie Goebel	Bend, OR	2021-04-15
Kurtis Saldivar	Morongo valley, CA	2021-04-15
Jessicka Barreto	Yucca valley, CA	2021-04-15
Ronald Sardarian	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-15
Kara Bade	Las Vegas, NV	2021-04-15
Nathan Ober	Santa Cruz, CA	2021-04-15
Matthew Soliz	Yucaipa, CA	2021-04-15
Richard Tomlin	Sudbury, Canada	2021-04-15
Tyler R	Ontario, CA	2021-04-15
Tommy Hans	Nashville, TN	2021-04-15
Eva Soltes	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-15
Stacy davis	yucca valley, CA	2021-04-15
Melody Anesacci	Raleigh, NC	2021-04-15
Ron Therrio	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-15
c f	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-15

Name	Location	Date
Felix Anton Sklenarz	Hamburg, Germany	2021-04-15
Shayan Hart	Park City, UT	2021-04-15
Trisha Miller	Las Vegas, NV	2021-04-15
Julianne Elliott	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-15
Diego Durand	Big Bear Lake, CA	2021-04-15
Debbie Roberts	San Juan capistrano, CA	2021-04-15
J R	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-15
Eliza Hardy	Rockingham, NC	2021-04-15
Laurel Rhodes	Carmichael, CA	2021-04-15
amanda holte	Anaheim, CA	2021-04-15
Stephen Buchanan	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-15
Cody Alder	Brooklyn, NY	2021-04-15
Eleanor Scholz	San Francisco, CA	2021-04-15
nicholas almendinger	Landers, CA	2021-04-15
Leila Smith	Yucca valley, CA	2021-04-15
Brian leatart	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-15
Alicia Guillen	Espanola, NM	2021-04-15
anonymous student	US	2021-04-15
Dylan Thadani	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-15
Ashley Mosel	Carlsbad, US	2021-04-15
Ainsley McStay	Franklin, MA	2021-04-15
shannon Bryan	Landers, US	2021-04-15

Name	Location	Date
David Roush	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-15
Chris Newsom	US	2021-04-15
Chatel McKettrick	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-15
Mher Tarakjian	Brooklyn, NY	2021-04-15
Lisa Snyder	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-15
Lauren Wolfer	Cathedral City, CA	2021-04-15
Aileen Acosta	Houston, US	2021-04-15
josefa maria rico raduà	sant fruitos de bages, Spain	2021-04-15
Robin Owens	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-15
Corina Cazares	Corona, CA	2021-04-15
Jeff GoldBlum	Los Gatos, US	2021-04-15
Dabney Morris	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-15
Eve Gilbert	Seattle, WA	2021-04-15
Zoe Wardlaw	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-15
Emene Alexandra	JoshuaTree, CA	2021-04-16
Sierra Keylin	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Jenna Dorian	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-16
Emily Endo	US	2021-04-16
Mike McMillin	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-16
Christy Roush	YUCCA VALLEY, CA	2021-04-16
Stephanie Tisza	Joshua tree, CA	2021-04-16
Violet Santoyo	Flamingo Heights, CA	2021-04-16

Name	Location	Date
Britt Carr	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Alanna Cowles	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Jordan Carr	Yucca valley, CA	2021-04-16
Skye Anderson	south pasadena, CA	2021-04-16
Tyler Sabbag	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-16
Shelly Fredenburg	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Jacobine van der Meer	Landers, CA	2021-04-16
Abraham Macias	Whitewater, CA	2021-04-16
Genevieve Villalovos	Riverside, CA	2021-04-16
Cristal Montano	Desert hot springs, CA	2021-04-16
david macias	Palm Desert, CA	2021-04-16
KiNGz Montaño	Whitewater, CA	2021-04-16
Dana Longuevan	Twentynine Palms, CA	2021-04-16
Urs Baur	Flamingo Heights, CA	2021-04-16
Jen Marlow	Vista, CA	2021-04-16
Yehuda Potash	New Orleans, LA	2021-04-16
Brit Tucker	Twentynine Palms, CA	2021-04-16
Jack Sheridan	New York, US	2021-04-16
Milos Faitl	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Janie Brandon	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Debra1 #276 Savit	Palm Desert, CA	2021-04-16
Peo Haggstrom	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16

Name	Location	Date
Nicholas Brown	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-16
Xavier Burt	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Rita Macias	Whitewater, CA	2021-04-16
Bettie Rinehart	Rimrock, CA	2021-04-16
CHRISTINA MATHER	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-16
Natalie Lippmann	Sydney, Australia	2021-04-16
Sant Khalsa	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-16
Franciska Harrington	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-16
Michelle Strong	Landers, CA	2021-04-16
Doria Greenland	Santa Monica, CA	2021-04-16
Harlie Arocho	San Diego, CA	2021-04-16
Jo Bollen	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Mark Reback	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-16
Licia Perea	Yucca valley, CA	2021-04-16
Erin Gavle	Landers, CA	2021-04-16
John Schoenberger	Landers, CA	2021-04-16
Brandi Bergland	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Jenna Belair	Costa Mesa, CA	2021-04-16
Maya Hernandez	Las Vegas, US	2021-04-16
Jade Porter	Twentynine Palms, CA	2021-04-16
Mick Gram	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Brooke May	Nicholasville, US	2021-04-16

Name	Location	Date
Rohini Walker	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-16
Judith Lynn Laffoon	Landers, CA	2021-04-16
Abby Brothers	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-16
Torryne Choate	San Francisco, CA	2021-04-16
Hourig Sarafian	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-16
Konkani Devi	Riverside, CA	2021-04-16
Ashly Williams	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Nicole Lipowski	Laguna Hills, CA	2021-04-16
Claire Wadsworth	Landers, CA	2021-04-16
Kaitlin Kossuth	Twentynine Palms, CA	2021-04-16
Chris Kelly	California	2021-04-16
Beverly Enos	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Frank Hadley	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-16
Madison Gordon	Twentynine Palms, CA	2021-04-16
Melinda Archbold	MORONGO VALLEY, CA	2021-04-16
Stacy O'Toole	Twentynine Palms, CA	2021-04-16
Monica Chlebek	Chicago, IL	2021-04-16
Katherine Tourtelot	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-16
Corey Robb	US	2021-04-16
Jan Voegtly	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
M Bosworth	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Lisa Spayde	San Francisco, CA	2021-04-16

Name	Location	Date
Lucas Durand	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-16
sarah benjamin	brooksville, FL	2021-04-16
Lauren Ashley	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-16
Melissa Wiles	Guatemala City, Guatemala	2021-04-16
Ana B	Merida, Mexico	2021-04-16
Julie Cavalie	vv, CA	2021-04-16
Cynthia Cox	Twentynine Palms, CA	2021-04-16
Kathy Chism	Flamingo Heights, CA	2021-04-16
Amanda Lopez	Apple Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Ryan Verdugo	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Mickayla Fobbs	San Clemente, CA	2021-04-16
Madison Kinchen woods	29 palms, CA	2021-04-16
Peter Hastings	Sherman Oaks, CA	2021-04-16
Philip Sinsheimer	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-16
Veronica Limon	Twentynine Palms, CA	2021-04-16
Hilary Parkin	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-16
Natalia Pander	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Ren Rossini	San Francisco, CA	2021-04-16
Michele Blair	los angeles, CA	2021-04-16
Caroline Hamel	Berkeley, CA	2021-04-16
Susan Blair	Corona, CA	2021-04-16
Jose Macias	Palm Desert, CA	2021-04-16

Name	Location	Date
McLean Alvin	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Jae Taylor	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Juliana Kivett	Redlands, CA	2021-04-16
Dustin Tuttle	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Riah Buchanan	Seattle, WA	2021-04-16
Benicia Smith	Ventura, CA	2021-04-16
Florence Mantlo	Twentynine Palms, CA	2021-04-16
tina miyakawa	huckleberry ave, CA	2021-04-16
Diana R	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-16
Chanette McDonald	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
J Tzedek	Johnson Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Scott Holmes	Huntington Beach, US	2021-04-16
Jenna Carso	Twentynine Palms, CA	2021-04-16
Lional Robitaille	Landers, CA	2021-04-16
Ashley Solorzano	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-16
Pam Anders	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
mark talkington	Cathedral City, CA	2021-04-16
Patti Kitt	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-16
Sunshine Kashmir	Joshua tree, CA	2021-04-16
Victoria Randolph	Landers, CA	2021-04-16
Haylee Lewis	Yucca valley, CA	2021-04-16
Dabbie Gaddis	Twentynine Palms, US	2021-04-16

Name	Location	Date
Gary Young	Flamingo Heights, CA	2021-04-16
Courtney Freeman	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Nancy Hale	Fresno, CA	2021-04-16
Janelle Honn	Running Springs, CA	2021-04-16
Louie Johnston	Homestead, CA	2021-04-16
Adam Nelson	Corona, CA	2021-04-16
Kelly Donahey	Landers, CA	2021-04-16
Breana V	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-16
Kenneth Large	Twentynine Palms, CA	2021-04-16
Kbigham Bigham	Lake Elsinore, CA	2021-04-16
Lauren Tumlinson	Norco, CA	2021-04-16
Nancy Kionke	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Matthew Gorski	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Theresa Doten	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-16
cura jones	yucca valley, CA	2021-04-16
Reto Kaufmann	Landers, CA	2021-04-16
Corinne Leemann	Landers, CA	2021-04-16
Dylan Rupert	san francisco, CA	2021-04-16
Hugo Gonzalez	Landers, CA	2021-04-16
Adrian Gamboa	Indio, CA	2021-04-16
Nathaniel Adkins	Landers, CA	2021-04-16
Joseph Corella	Pioneertown, CA	2021-04-16

Name	Location	Date
LAURA DARLING	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Chanae Owens	Malibu, CA	2021-04-16
Erik Garant	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-16
Kellie Stokes	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-16
Kaylee Matthews	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Cara Hall	Pioneertown, CA	2021-04-16
Marielle Stobie	Topanga, CA	2021-04-16
Jan Nygren	Seattle, WA	2021-04-16
Sandra Brannon	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Barbara Le Beau	Long Beach, CA	2021-04-16
Donna Colston	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Heather Newgen	Twentynine Palms, CA	2021-04-16
R. Leonetti	Ventura, CA	2021-04-16
gregory quinn	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Laura Barthlow	Landers, CA	2021-04-16
Danielle Demerle	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Toni Gonzalez	Landers, CA	2021-04-16
Jeffrey Gent	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-16
Michael Clark	Windsor, US	2021-04-16
Daniela Bustamante	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-16
Karen Cuozzo	Bothell, WA	2021-04-16
Alejandra Escobar	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16

Name	Location	Date
Maggie Foley	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-16
Kristen Pyle	San Diego, CA	2021-04-16
Dan Anderson	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Kelly Raub	Everett, WA	2021-04-16
Shannon Burger	Buckley, WA	2021-04-16
Michael Poon	San Jose, CA	2021-04-16
Mary Anderson	Mojave, CA	2021-04-16
trevor roberson	Joshua tree, CA	2021-04-16
Johnny santiago	North Hollywood, CA	2021-04-16
Christi Lewkow	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-16
Tawnia Mallard	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-16
Deidra West	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-16
Susanne DeBoever	La Habra, CA	2021-04-16
Jennifer K Toy	San Francisco, CA	2021-04-16
Shawna Sandoval	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-16
Nicholas Leggett	Denver, CO	2021-04-16
Crystal Dickens	Lemon Grove, CA	2021-04-16
Cole Kiburz	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Fred Houseman	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Walter Dennis Leatherwood	Albuquerque, NM	2021-04-16
Krystal Marquez	Yucca valley, CA	2021-04-16
tom berry	Hudson, NY	2021-04-16

Name	Location	Date
Mickey Neely	Chicago, IL	2021-04-16
Melissa Bond	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-16
Wiebren Sap	Brugge, Belgium	2021-04-16
Edward Aguirre	29 palms, CA	2021-04-16
Audrey Casimiri	Laval, France	2021-04-16
Allison Skerkavich	Newburgh Heights, OH	2021-04-16
Dacie Seegrist	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Pedro Benavente Navarro	Barcelona, Spain	2021-04-16
Julie Burns	Twentynine Palms, CA	2021-04-16
Katherine Lafata	New York, NY	2021-04-16
Barbara Lostlen	Landers, CA	2021-04-16
Elizabeth Smith	Largo, FL	2021-04-16
kim loser	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-16
Sheri king	Landers, CA	2021-04-16
Sarah Deigl	Orange, VA	2021-04-16
Sophia Aitmoussa	Spotswood, NJ	2021-04-16
Jessica Lynch	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-16
Michael Gmitter	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
brenda roberts	Landers, CA	2021-04-16
Jasmine Dane	29 palms, CA	2021-04-16
Ronald Bridges	Twentynine Palms, CA	2021-04-16
michael smith	Landers, CA	2021-04-16

Name	Location	Date
Mary Beth Lang	Granger, IN	2021-04-16
Rainbow Martorella	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Christopher Mann	Klamath Falls, OR	2021-04-16
glen Small	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-16
Richard Gamache	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Robert June	Twentynine Palms, CA	2021-04-16
Katina Allan	Yucca valley, CA	2021-04-16
Barbie Sommars	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Derrick Seymour	Twentynine palms, CA	2021-04-16
Pamela Guyton	Landers, CA	2021-04-16
Patricia Knight	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-16
Bianca Edwards	Johnson Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Jennifer Curtis	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-16
CATHE Salveson	twentynine palms, CA	2021-04-16
Holly Overton	Nags Head, NC	2021-04-16
Cheryl Mathews	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Andy Cicero	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Ruenell Petrozzelli	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Emily Silver	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Wendy Hadley	Pioneertown, CA	2021-04-16
Jess Schuler	Vero Beach, FL	2021-04-16
Hannah Lich	Hobart, US	2021-04-16

Name	Location	Date
Scott Murdock	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Yvette Mitchell	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Michele Shoemaker	US	2021-04-16
Rachel Bosch	29 palms, CA	2021-04-16
S Hacker	Washington, DC	2021-04-16
Julianne Russo Ryan	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
John Vickery	Desert Hot Springs, CA	2021-04-16
Dorothy Choat	Yucca valley, CA	2021-04-16
Carol Cooper	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-16
Elizabeth Long	Portland, OR	2021-04-16
Pauline Caudill	Landers, CA	2021-04-16
Jessica Dahm	Landers, CA	2021-04-16
Sarah Sheridan	Voorheesville, NY	2021-04-16
Valerie Meyer	Landers, CA	2021-04-16
Sarah Tabbush	Pioneertown, CA	2021-04-16
maryann schuler	Concord, NC	2021-04-16
Roxanne Tobler	Lodi, CA	2021-04-16
Gigi Jack	Santa Monica, CA	2021-04-16
Ramona Escareno	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Cheryl Morcilio	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-16
Nancy Sammons	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-16
Tawanna Garner	Twentynine Palms, CA	2021-04-16

Name	Location	Date
Phoenix DeMille	West Jordan, UT	2021-04-16
Linda Santiman	Glendale, CA	2021-04-16
Keith Minard	Cathedral City, CA	2021-04-16
Jolene Phillips	Corona, CA	2021-04-16
Kendall Howard	Flamingo Heights,, CA	2021-04-16
Sara Combs	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-16
Tina Hunter	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-16
Clea Benson	Whittier, CA	2021-04-16
Elle Engstrom	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-16
Sheila Cox	Lakewood, CA	2021-04-16
Brian LaFaille	Yucca valley, CA	2021-04-16
Maggie Mohler	Yucca valley, CA	2021-04-16
D Tomlinson	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
kim white	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Megan Liesenfelt	Henderson, NV	2021-04-16
Brenda Araiza	Anaheim, CA	2021-04-16
Tanya Randolph	Twentynine Palms, CA	2021-04-16
April Stanton	Landers, CA	2021-04-16
Scott Cochran	Cerritos, CA	2021-04-16
Jim Hammer	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-16
Alexandra Urias	Santa Ana, CA	2021-04-16
Gloria L Valdez	Sanger, CA	2021-04-16

Name	Location	Date
John Jacobsen	Landers, CA	2021-04-16
Kelly Sawyer	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-16
kimberly wolfe	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-16
J Bercovici	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-16
Cristie Carter	Yucca valley, CA	2021-04-16
valerie liesenfelt	Landers, CA	2021-04-16
Melissa Weston	Twentynine Palms, CA	2021-04-16
Will Hansen	Temecula, CA	2021-04-16
Stephanie riggio	Joshua tree, CA	2021-04-16
Chelsea Ross	Yucca valley, CA	2021-04-16
Dawn Johnson	Landers, CA	2021-04-16
Breeann Hall	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Spencer Hunt	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Heidi Pearson	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-16
Lacee Burke	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Amy Hite	Encinitas, CA	2021-04-16
Lauren Villa	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-16
Lindi Mills	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Brooke Martinez	Monrovia, CA	2021-04-16
Vicky Dyer0	Rockwall, TX	2021-04-16
Kirstin Salmi	Hammond, OR	2021-04-16
Amanda Etter	US	2021-04-16

Name	Location	Date
Lizmarie Lopez	Lake Worth, FL	2021-04-16
Jessika Daly	San Diego, CA	2021-04-16
Holly Brunet	Oceanside, CA	2021-04-16
Ally Wray-Kirk	Pioneertown, CA	2021-04-16
Timothy Uptain	Yucca valley, CA	2021-04-16
Dawn Palacios	Desert Hot Springs, CA	2021-04-16
Mindie Redlin	California	2021-04-16
rifka owen	California	2021-04-16
Holly Pinhero	Irvine, CA	2021-04-16
Robbin Prato	Twentynine palms, CA	2021-04-16
Jesus Caballero	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Sophia Sandoval	Chino, CA	2021-04-16
Ivy Malone	Valencia, CA	2021-04-16
Rachel Luzier	Peoria, AZ	2021-04-16
Lex Teague	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-16
Katie Callan	Landers, CA	2021-04-16
Marina Dobbie	Tacoma, WA	2021-04-16
Anna De Las Casas	Costa Mesa, CA	2021-04-16
Marlene Dunlevy	Seal Beach, CA	2021-04-16
Lisa Landavazo Skinner	Union City, CA	2021-04-16
Darla McAlister	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-16
William Malin	US	2021-04-16

Name	Location	Date
Mary Moore	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Erin Dwyer	Fairfield, CA	2021-04-16
Wendy Fitz	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Errol Colandro	Landers, CA	2021-04-16
Tara Killian	Running Springs, CA	2021-04-16
Caitlyn Love	Grand Junction, CO	2021-04-16
Joseph Giel	Fayetteville, NC	2021-04-16
Nancy Johnson	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Debi Zickefoose	Lake Havasu City, AZ	2021-04-16
Jenny Adams	Chapel Hill, NC	2021-04-16
Judy Massey	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Rachel Burich	Santa Ana, CA	2021-04-16
Patty Riley	Johnson Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Carrie Schimpff	San Antonio, TX	2021-04-16
John Sheckells	San Diego, CA	2021-04-16
Chris Nichols	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
georganne deen	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-16
Annelie Furner	Salt Lake City, UT	2021-04-16
Jomar Manuel	Coronado, US	2021-04-16
Jennifer Liesenfelt	Las Vegas, NV	2021-04-16
Deserie Thigpen	Johnson Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Andrew Preston	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16

Name	Location	Date
Janice Morrow	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Dyan Carroll Carroll	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Alanna Foutz	West Hollywood, CA	2021-04-16
Ann Bost	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Mark Aller	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-16
Lisa Hwang	Ontario, CA	2021-04-16
Judy Jack	Landers, CA	2021-04-16
Dawn Bostrom	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-16
debbie jones	Morongo Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Theresa McCarthy	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Oana Cupsa	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-16
Jane Stephens Rosenthal	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-16
brianna etter	corpus christi, TX	2021-04-16
Shirley Perl	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Barbara Bundy	29 Palms, CA	2021-04-16
Misti Webster	Grantsville, UT	2021-04-16
Scott Meredith	Palm Springs, CA	2021-04-16
Kathryn Weeks	Lake Isabella, US	2021-04-16
Lori Portillo	Colton, CA	2021-04-16
Darlan Mahar	Berkeley, CA	2021-04-16
Neg Noori	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-16
Krista Knapp	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16

Name	Location	Date
Aidan Paringer	Twentynine palms, CA	2021-04-16
Jimmy Brower	Twentynine Palms, CA	2021-04-16
Cameron Stamps	Elk Grove, CA	2021-04-16
ANN MARIE MATHEUS	San Francisco, CA	2021-04-16
Monica Urick	San Francisco, CA	2021-04-16
Sean Mylett	Pioneertown, CA	2021-04-16
Joanne Renison	Encinitas, CA	2021-04-16
Candice Nachman	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Natalie Smith	Westminster, CA	2021-04-16
Bruce Wells	Seattle, WA	2021-04-16
Adella Albiani	Penn Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Alysia Anderson	Alameda, CA	2021-04-16
Heather Day	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-16
April Wharton	Fpo, AP	2021-04-16
Jessica Warrick	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Teah Strandjord	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-16
Sylvia Browning	Gig Harbor, WA	2021-04-16
Jovita Gunderson	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Dayna Mance	Long Beach, CA	2021-04-16
Kathleen Robinson	Thousand Oaks, CA	2021-04-16
Brock Auxier	Austin, TX	2021-04-16
Arturo Reyes	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-16

Name	Location	Date
Ruffy Landayan	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-16
Sophia Burns	Espanola, NM	2021-04-16
Keith Urick	Mill Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Renee Cupsa	Twentynine Palms, CA	2021-04-16
Jessica Ojeda	Culver City, CA	2021-04-16
Jacquelyn Martin	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Tiana Dudley	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Jennifer Alden	Pasadena, CA	2021-04-16
Justin Go	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-16
Sue Decker	Las Vegas, NV	2021-04-16
Daniel Gore	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-16
Brigitte Schneider	Twentynine Palms, CA	2021-04-16
Fro Vakili	Pioneertown, CA	2021-04-16
Darl Sabraw	Palm Springs, CA	2021-04-16
lauren koford	Carlsbad, CA	2021-04-16
J G	Torrance, CA	2021-04-16
Leslie Miller	Landers, CA	2021-04-16
Lisa Hiatt	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-16
Joscelyn Terfehr	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-16
Sierra Delgado	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-16
Herman Platzke	Twentynine Palms, CA	2021-04-16
Carly Foulkes	Brooklyn, NY	2021-04-16

Name	Location	Date
Dana Boley	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Rachel Sweeney	Brooklyn, NY	2021-04-16
Brooke Haggstrom	US	2021-04-16
Ryan Keesling	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Patricia Boley	Cypress, TX	2021-04-16
Donel Edwards	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Rhianna Nordlund	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-16
Devon Nuszer	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-16
Indra Rodies	Forest Hills, NY	2021-04-16
Lisa McCollum	Fullerton, CA	2021-04-16
Tia Kanaeholo	ATWATER, CA	2021-04-16
Alex Perez	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Hailey Boggs	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-16
Robert Jordan	Twentynine Palms, CA	2021-04-16
Ariadna Ordowskij	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-16
Jonathan Nelson	29 palms, CA	2021-04-16
Caila Jordan	Twentynine Palms, CA	2021-04-16
Alicja Clark	Costa Mesa, CA	2021-04-16
Chelsea Dixon	Coachella, CA	2021-04-16
Katie Lee	Morongo valley, CA	2021-04-16
Savoy Hallinan	Santa Monica, CA	2021-04-16
Cynthia Jordan	Las Vegas, NV	2021-04-16

Name	Location	Date
Therese Davis	Menifee, CA	2021-04-16
Vanessa Cuccia	Syosset, NY	2021-04-16
Rachel Cuccia	Hamtramck, MI	2021-04-16
Nikita Johnson	Norfolk, VA	2021-04-16
Pen Wurst	Morongo Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Lauren Stambaugh	Joshua tree, CA	2021-04-16
Kirby Kratky	Long Beach, CA	2021-04-16
matt adams	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-16
Matt Kautz	Yucca valley, CA	2021-04-16
Clayton Hull	Twentynine Palms, CA	2021-04-16
Tin Santos	Los Angeles, US	2021-04-16
Mark Smotroff	SF, CA	2021-04-16
Matthew Petermann	Huntington Beach, CA	2021-04-16
Sarah Watson	San Diego, CA	2021-04-16
John Ostrander	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-16
Olivia Hill	Altadena, CA	2021-04-16
Renee Robertson	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-16
Amanda Young	Hillsboro, OR	2021-04-16
Kimena Lowe	Tampa, FL	2021-04-16
Dana Funaro	Los Angeles, US	2021-04-16
Theresa Bruun	Anaheim, CA	2021-04-16
Natalie Krim	Ojai, CA	2021-04-16

Name	Location	Date
Remy Thigpen	Grantsville, UT	2021-04-16
Kathleen McKenzie	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-16
Mark Fuller	Sebastopol, CA	2021-04-16
Raven Pratt	Valdosta, GA	2021-04-16
Joseph Merrell	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-16
Tatiana Parkee	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-16
Christine Giberson	Austin, TX	2021-04-16
Matthew McCollum	Fullerton, CA	2021-04-16
Kathleen Todar	Culver City, CA	2021-04-16
Curt Sautter	Ontario, CA	2021-04-16
Melissa Savage	Alhambra, CA	2021-04-16
Stephanie Dennis	Riverside, CA	2021-04-16
Chris Dimaano	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-16
Adina Bishop	Lake Orion, MI	2021-04-16
Lisa Campbell	Wildwood, MO	2021-04-16
Charles Margaritis	Twentynine Palms, CA	2021-04-16
Mikel Hubbard	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-16
Douglas ploehn	Eureka, CA	2021-04-16
Julie Reyes	Palm Springs, CA	2021-04-16
Victoria Cortez	Orlando, FL	2021-04-16
Victoria Fritz	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-16
Greg Varra	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16

Name	Location	Date
Bill Rilley	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Patricia Smith	Mecca, CA	2021-04-16
Jaclyn Moran	Pioneertown, CA	2021-04-16
bella c	Austin, TX	2021-04-16
Marisa Kerns	Alameda, CA	2021-04-16
Susan Utermark	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Samantha Alesi	Riverside, CA	2021-04-16
Alisa Okamoto	Glendale, CA	2021-04-16
Trace Cavaretta	Orlando, FL	2021-04-16
Robin Sylvester	Lakewood, OH	2021-04-16
Daniel Dominguez	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-16
Diana Wojie	Palmyra, NJ	2021-04-16
Karen Strauss	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-16
sai yakkanti	US	2021-04-16
Leslie Gordon	Burbank, CA	2021-04-16
Sonja Heinzner	Olfen, Germany	2021-04-16
Chelsea Wilkerson	Austin, TX	2021-04-16
Dave Castillo	Long Beach, CA	2021-04-16
Adina Mills	Landers, CA	2021-04-16
Chelsea Caston	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-16
Kristjana Eyjolfsson	Missoula, MT	2021-04-16
Giselle Samonek	Saint Petersburg, US	2021-04-16

Name	Location	Date
Dan Lawrence	Phoenix, AZ	2021-04-16
Joycelyn Rasted	Landers, CA	2021-04-16
Kristin Lorey	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-16
Paul Rudolph	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Sara Boggs	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Melissa Finell	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-16
Jennfer Robinson	Joshua tree, CA	2021-04-16
Summer Medina	Queens, NY	2021-04-16
andrew hutchings	Long Beach, CA	2021-04-16
Jennifer Llerena	Ontario, CA	2021-04-16
olivia parker	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-16
Jesse Orrall	San Leandro, CA	2021-04-16
Marisa Peterson	Phoenix, AZ	2021-04-16
Alicia Sanchez	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-16
Lauren Paez burt	Flamingo Heights, CA	2021-04-16
Jillian Pecoraro	Landers, CA	2021-04-16
David Alf	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-16
Jenny Ruchert	Seattle, WA	2021-04-16
Joanna Fodczuk	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Michelle Robinson	Riverside, CA	2021-04-16
tamra davis	Malibu, CA	2021-04-16
Jeanette Martinez	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-16

Name	Location	Date
Brett Dickinson	Burlington, Ontario, Canada	2021-04-16
Ian Wellman	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-16
Al Sal	Anaheim, CA	2021-04-16
Paul Rocha	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-16
Cindy Beck	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-16
Lejia Bowman	Ventura, CA	2021-04-16
Eva Pererra	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Michael Stoecker	Nashville, TN	2021-04-16
Danna McAllister	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Isidro Barcenas	Santa Ana, CA	2021-04-16
Kaylene Kendall	Payson, AZ	2021-04-16
Alexis Kleyla	Yucca valley, CA	2021-04-16
John Bassett	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-16
fran krystock	Palm Springs, CA	2021-04-16
Kristen Gregerson	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-16
Bruce Evans	US	2021-04-16
Deborah McGrew	Denver, CO	2021-04-16
Mark Laverty	Oviedo, FL	2021-04-16
Anabel Nevarez	Perris, CA	2021-04-16
Robyn Lewis	Twentynine Palms, CA	2021-04-16
Michael Hain	Orange, CA	2021-04-16
Holly Keenan	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-16

Name	Location	Date
Jeff Ryan	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-16
Francis Kearns	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-16
Thomas Sosa	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-16
Lisa Morgan	Marshall, VA	2021-04-16
Alexandra Beltran	San Bernardino, CA	2021-04-16
Zach Lowry	Pioneertown, CA	2021-04-16
Dennis Unzicker	Boise, ID	2021-04-16
Linda Mock	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Shiela Curtis	Cameron, MO	2021-04-16
Joelle Graff	Portland, OR	2021-04-16
Jenny Chen	Irvine, CA	2021-04-16
Mackenzie Edens	Boise, ID	2021-04-16
Kari Colvin	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Maggie Elliott	Nashville, TN	2021-04-16
Greg Lewis	Yucca Valley/ Yucca Mesa, CA	2021-04-16
Barry Norwood	LANDERS, CA	2021-04-16
Noelle Gonzalez	Palm Springs, CA	2021-04-16
Kimiyo Heider	Los Angeles, US	2021-04-16
Donna Scott	Colton, CA	2021-04-16
Carly Rushforth	Tacoma, WA	2021-04-16
Mike Smalley	Yucca Valley, OR	2021-04-16
Sharon Lopez	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16

Name	Location	Date
Judy Hearsum	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Alan Setser	Rancho Cordova, US	2021-04-16
Dawn Johnson	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
ann zerin	Grass Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Susie Seaton	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-16
Wendy Kubiak	Fullerton, CA	2021-04-16
Lilianna Davalos	Cathedral City, CA	2021-04-16
NICKY WARCZAK	Detroit, MI	2021-04-16
Lorah Yaccarino	Landers, CA	2021-04-16
Kimberlie Boutwell	Yucca Mesa, CA	2021-04-16
Angella Nutt	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
sheila bowers	pioneertown, CA	2021-04-16
John Mannion	Brooklyn, NY	2021-04-16
TERRY HAMPTON	LANDERS, CA	2021-04-16
Angela Ferguson	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Parker Lloyd	Portland, OR	2021-04-16
Julio Rodriguez	Bonsall, CA	2021-04-16
Tony Salto	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Christopher Elliott	Las Vegas, NV	2021-04-16
klbn j	philly, US	2021-04-16
nicholas christensen	los angeles, CA	2021-04-16
Nancy Klein	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-16

Name	Location	Date
Susan McCurdy	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Rivers Page	Mullins, US	2021-04-16
Layla M	Albuquerque, NM	2021-04-16
Janessa McAndrews	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
CHERYL Baker	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-16
Jenni Ehrnman	Upland, CA	2021-04-16
Megan Gross	Columbia, SC	2021-04-16
Dale Bober	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Jaycene Harris	Pomona, CA	2021-04-16
Mills Moran	Anaheim, CA	2021-04-16
Kenda Taylor	Garden Grove, CA	2021-04-16
Destiny Bush	Twentynine Palms, CA	2021-04-16
Susan Herring	Pioneertown, CA	2021-04-16
Elyse Mallasch	Woodland Hills, CA	2021-04-16
Hannah Rothery	Bristol, UK	2021-04-16
Robert M. Gisonno	Oceanside, CA	2021-04-16
Eric Alperin	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-16
William Marsh	Murrieta, CA	2021-04-16
Patricia Damschen	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
VALERIE DAVIS	JOSHUA TREE, CA	2021-04-16
Grayson Quinn	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Susan Kearns	Portland, OR	2021-04-16

Name	Location	Date
Erica Johnson	Mission Viejo, CA	2021-04-16
Dane Spurlock	Yucca valley, CA	2021-04-16
Alex C	Joshua tree, CA	2021-04-16
Paul Dove	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
marie escobar	Hemet, CA	2021-04-16
Rylan Taylor	Lakeland, US	2021-04-16
Jennifer Wallenberg	Portland, OR	2021-04-16
Richard Card	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Breanna Bastio	Virginia, US	2021-04-16
Coleman Licher	Anaheim, CA	2021-04-16
Sean CULLEN	San Francisco, CA	2021-04-16
Jocelyn Johnsrud	Portland, OR	2021-04-16
Keri Strausberg	Winter Park, FL	2021-04-16
Alex Fink	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-16
Barbara Tutttle	Seattle, WA	2021-04-16
Michelle Reynolds	San Diego, CA	2021-04-16
Jonathan Dukellis	Yucca valley, CA	2021-04-16
Grant Gerard	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-16
Janice Hume	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Connie Rogers	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Richard Hutchins	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-16
Patrick Hogan	Lorton, VA	2021-04-16

Name	Location	Date
Cheri Skatrud	Eden Prairie, MN	2021-04-16
Jimmy Zepeda	Panorama City, CA	2021-04-16
Sheran Swift	Twentynine Oalms, CA	2021-04-16
Bella Johnson	Landers, CA	2021-04-16
Martin Cox	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-16
kevin simmler	Tampa, US	2021-04-16
Sharianne Greer	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Helga Grimsdottir	los angeles, CA	2021-04-16
Anna Laidler	East Stroudsburg, US	2021-04-16
Dave Cox	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-16
Susan Burnett	Pioneertown, CA	2021-04-16
Nikkiana Dukellis	San Diego, CA	2021-04-16
Jean k	pioneertown, CA	2021-04-16
Maria Cardenas	Upland, CA	2021-04-16
Janis Commentz	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Gregory Nuno	Playa Del Rey, CA	2021-04-16
Mary Cox	Monterey Park, CA	2021-04-16
Cris Jackman	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Jennifer Culver	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Ryan Rios	Monterey Park, CA	2021-04-16
Giselle Dominguez	Encino, CA	2021-04-16
Mary Ellen Hogan	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-16

Name	Location	Date
Cynthia Knudson	Landers, CA	2021-04-16
Dominique Pruitt	Los angeles, CA	2021-04-16
Doreen Gordon	Lisle, IL	2021-04-16
Lizbeth Martinez	Mecca, CA	2021-04-16
Karlie Horne	Waynesville, US	2021-04-16
Christina Heller	Pioneertown, CA	2021-04-16
Lainard Bush	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Janelle Ferrara	Fullerton, CA	2021-04-16
Maryjo Pessa	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Joshua Holkesvig	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-16
Victoria Winch	Twentynine Palms, CA	2021-04-16
Cynthia White	Pioneertown, CA	2021-04-16
Lucas Sharp	Long Beach, CA	2021-04-16
Laura Van	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-16
Maribel Ramirez	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-16
Chris Winkler	Covina, CA	2021-04-16
sophia tarquinio	needham, MA	2021-04-16
Chad Walker	Landers, CA	2021-04-16
Julia Doty	Studio City, CA	2021-04-16
Dee Thompson	Pioneertown, CA	2021-04-16
Diane Thornburgh	Corona, CA	2021-04-16
Veronica Lowe	Morongo Valley, CA	2021-04-16

Name	Location	Date
Molly Holkesvig	Oceanside, CA	2021-04-16
Eva Pererra	Yucca valley, CA	2021-04-17
Amber summers	Yucca valley, CA	2021-04-17
Jennifer Little	Landers, CA	2021-04-17
Ane Leopoldina	Fayetteville, US	2021-04-17
Dennis Rolon	Williston, US	2021-04-17
Sydney Cook	Pinson, AL	2021-04-17
Michael kinkade	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-17
Angie Park	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-17
Loren Mccorkle	Twentynine Palms, CA	2021-04-17
Elizabeth Pfarr	Landers, CA	2021-04-17
Julee Summers	Big bear city, CA	2021-04-17
Mark DeBoever	La Habra, CA	2021-04-17
Fiona Flores	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-17
Sherri Yocham	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-17
Vicki Moreno	Azusa, CA	2021-04-17
John Etz	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-17
josh mcguinness	Sydney, Australia	2021-04-17
Rebecca Bell	landers, CA	2021-04-17
Paul Altman	New Braunfels, TX	2021-04-17
Kaleigh Young	West Kelowna, Canada	2021-04-17
Heather Haberlin	Morongo Valley, CA	2021-04-17

Name	Location	Date
Michael Bourret	Landers, CA	2021-04-17
Teresita del Carmen Cárdenas Escareño	Mexico City, Mexico	2021-04-17
Rebecca Hall	Bullhead City, AZ	2021-04-17
Stephanie Varga	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-17
Tracy Fallucca	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-17
Justine McGarry	Riverside, CA	2021-04-17
Alexandra Holkesvig	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-17
ROGER MORROW	JOSHUA TREE, CA	2021-04-17
Gabriela Fazilov	Queens, NY	2021-04-17
Pedro Hernandez	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-17
Sarah McMillen	Joshua tree, CA	2021-04-17
Valeree Woodard	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-17
Jennifer Stanley	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-17
Lesley Murray	Yucca valley, CA	2021-04-17
Cyd Detiege	Palm Springs, CA	2021-04-17
Emanuel Snyder	Palm Springs, CA	2021-04-17
Kathy Henken	Twentynine Palms, CA	2021-04-17
Brianna Rilley	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-17
Mersiha Mazhar	Gostivar, Macedonia (FYROM)	2021-04-17
Barbara Dills	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-17
Steven Morris	Sharps Chapel, US	2021-04-17

Name	Location	Date
Cheri Russell	Grass Valley, CA	2021-04-17
Karin Skiba	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-17
Brandon Hudnall	US	2021-04-17
Timothy McKay	Anaheim, CA	2021-04-17
Vivi Rama	West Hollywood, CA	2021-04-17
Thomas Ohle	Sacramento, CA	2021-04-17
Janna Pace	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-17
Tina Mai Southern	Clarksville, TN	2021-04-17
evelyn martinez	Phoenix, US	2021-04-17
Allison Wyper	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-17
Brsndon Sansoucie	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-17
Dean MacKay	Landers, CA	2021-04-17
Malia Haselton	Ontario, CA	2021-04-17
Kurysa Hodges	Bermuda Dunes, CA	2021-04-17
Alona Dadiani	West Hollywood, CA	2021-04-17
Lauren Wolpert	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-17
Michelle DeBoever	San Diego, CA	2021-04-17
Charles Fortune Jr	Cedartown, GA	2021-04-17
Matthew Clark	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-17
VLADEK JUSZKIEWICZ	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-17
Steven Zylstra	silverado, CA	2021-04-17
Bridgette McCarthy	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-17

Name	Location	Date
Robert Thurman	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-17
Janet Peterson	Troy, US	2021-04-17
Linda Hornberger	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-17
Vincent Milan	Palm Springs, CA	2021-04-17
Barbara Harris	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-17
Tom Hyatt	Moreno Valley, CA	2021-04-17
Tyson Holm	Indio, CA	2021-04-17
Adriana Ames	Glendale, CA	2021-04-17
yolanda schultes	Wittenbach, Italy	2021-04-17
Janet Norton	Santa Cruz, CA	2021-04-17
dominique benoit	Villiers-en-Désœuvre, France	2021-04-17
Darrin Pilkington	San Diego, CA	2021-04-17
Roxie Green	San Bernardino, CA	2021-04-17
Christina Hartinger	Pinon Hills, CA	2021-04-17
Stephanie Ballard	Cathedral City, CA	2021-04-17
Andrew Vallender	Ventnor, England, UK	2021-04-17
Sarah Radford	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-17
Kimberly Ryerson	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-17
Francois Matus	Long Beach, CA	2021-04-17
Ethan Cohen	Henderson, NV	2021-04-17
John Baker	Upland, CA	2021-04-17
Mikaela Cohen	Henderson, NV	2021-04-17

Name	Location	Date
jocelyne lapointe	Terrebonne, CA	2021-04-17
Tara Wilson	Bakersfield, CA	2021-04-17
Bethany Rubino	Ridgecrest, CA	2021-04-17
Karen McKenica	Wilmington, DE	2021-04-17
Albert Neville	Bloomington, CA	2021-04-17
Kathy T	Irvine, CA	2021-04-17
Evan Oliver	Newport Beach, CA	2021-04-17
Adam Schor	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-17
Donna Isenberg	Culver City, CA	2021-04-17
Christopher Evans	Shobdon, UK	2021-04-17
David Johnson	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-17
Djamila grouci	Paris, France	2021-04-17
Jennifer Stanley	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-17
Nancy Granados	Tucson, AZ	2021-04-17
Heidi Girling	Long Beach, CA	2021-04-17
Linda Smith	Salt Lake City, UT	2021-04-17
Kat campbell	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-17
Jenn Hernandez	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-17
rolf wiesler	Johannesburg, South Africa	2021-04-17
Naomi Collins	Joshua tree, CA	2021-04-17
Jesse Childress	Yucca valley, CA	2021-04-17
Bernard Bezzina	Birkirkara, Malta	2021-04-17

Name	Location	Date
Mikhaylla Desonia	Philippines	2021-04-17
Victor Mora	Riverside, CA	2021-04-17
Maja Dimkova	Skopje, Macedonia (FYROM)	2021-04-17
Emma Ness	Elk River, US	2021-04-17
Aurora Lambrecht	Johannesburg, South Africa	2021-04-17
Heather Sprague	Riverside, CA	2021-04-17
Lynne Campbell	Kempton Park, South Africa	2021-04-17
Biljana Petrova	Skopje, Macedonia (FYROM)	2021-04-17
Maria Van Geel	Zdroisko, Poland	2021-04-17
G. DaPonte	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-17
Evie Kirby	Round Rock, US	2021-04-17
Marina Ris	zagreb, Croatia	2021-04-17
Rogelio Cardona	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-17
Brenda Choi	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-17
Michelle Jones	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-17
Sue Cone	Hull, England, UK	2021-04-17
Eva Maria Genovese	Muttenz, Switzerland	2021-04-17
Anne Montarou	Plaisir, France	2021-04-17
Sandra Carchidi	Roccadaspide, Italy	2021-04-17
Kamila Kołak	Warsaw, Poland	2021-04-17
david falossi	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-17
godefroid rita	Spa, Belgium	2021-04-17

Name	Location	Date
wendy smith	nelson, England, UK	2021-04-17
Angel Bermudez	San Diego, CA	2021-04-17
Christopher Ames	Norfolk, VA	2021-04-17
Graham Duncan	Dublin, Ireland	2021-04-17
Katherine Jones	Santa Rosa, CA	2021-04-17
Jenean McCormack	Lancaster, CA	2021-04-17
Eric Castaneda	Haines City, US	2021-04-17
Heather Holman	New Port Richey, US	2021-04-17
Uwe Vietzke	Germany	2021-04-17
Niina Anttinen	Espoo, Finland	2021-04-17
Mags Roy Mein	Newcastle, UK	2021-04-17
Christeen Anderson	Crestview, FL	2021-04-17
Sylvie Lemaire	Féternes, US	2021-04-17
Cary Plummer	Pecatonica, IL	2021-04-17
Priscilla Eldredge	Groton, CT	2021-04-17
Jennifer Castaneda	Haines City, US	2021-04-17
Daryl Hunnicutt	US	2021-04-17
Евгения Блюденова	Tel Aviv, Israel	2021-04-17
Niki Vetten	Johannesburg, South Africa	2021-04-17
Antoine Torpiano	valletta, Malta	2021-04-17
alison williams	Aberystwyth, England, UK	2021-04-17
LAUREN CULBRETH	Joshua tree, CA	2021-04-17

Name	Location	Date
Lori Bryant	Pendleton, OR	2021-04-17
Sabine Möhler	sabine.stiker@web.de, Germany	2021-04-17
Nathan Burmann	Tampa, US	2021-04-17
june joyce cruz tan	Singapore, Singapore	2021-04-17
A. Otto	Auerbach, Germany	2021-04-17
Kelli Parks	Floral city, FL	2021-04-17
Jerielyn Lorenzo	Iloilo City, Philippines	2021-04-17
Ian Mayor	Davis, CA	2021-04-17
Tyler Klunker	Salt Lake City, US	2021-04-17
Nancy McHenry	Victorville, CA	2021-04-17
Sandra Snelders	Lowell, MA	2021-04-17
Christina Frutuoso	Esch, Luxembourg	2021-04-17
Erika Whitworth	Saint Louis, MO	2021-04-17
Kenneth Thurman	Northridge, CA	2021-04-17
Prescott Mccarthy	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-17
Carol Eicksteadt	Aurora, CO	2021-04-17
jody greenwood	New Lothrop, MI	2021-04-17
Shelley Barham	Shelbyville, TN	2021-04-17
Adea Claude	Saint Quentin, France	2021-04-17
Ellen Goldin	Brooklyn, NY	2021-04-17
Cindy Romero	Bronx, US	2021-04-17
George Martin	Loule, Portugal	2021-04-17

Name	Location	Date
susan abbott	joshua tree, CA	2021-04-17
Susan Moon	Altadena, CA	2021-04-17
Jim Lachey	Tucson, AZ	2021-04-17
Nadine Baldi	Karlsdorf-Neuthard, Germany	2021-04-17
KAREN GEMETTE	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-17
Michele Fischella	Desert Edge, CA	2021-04-17
Jaimie Coogen	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-17
Cody Aguas	Twentynine Palms, CA	2021-04-17
Rik Livingston	JoshuaTree, CA	2021-04-17
kendra sears	Denver, US	2021-04-17
Ryan Heffington	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-17
Kim Simmons	Rowland Heights, CA	2021-04-17
Courtney McMahon	West Hartford, CT	2021-04-17
Chris McMullen	Arroyo Grande, CA	2021-04-17
Ross Shiery	Fayetteville, US	2021-04-17
Simon Adams	Worcester, UK	2021-04-17
Erin Laird	Landers, CA	2021-04-17
Betty Ann Lindsey	Tifton, US	2021-04-17
Amanda Bierma	Henderson, NV	2021-04-17
Susan Poer	Santa Paula, CA	2021-04-17
Anthony Nardi	Simi Valley, US	2021-04-17
JoAnn Niederman	Riverside, CA	2021-04-17

Name	Location	Date
Colleen Thompson	Lancaster, CA	2021-04-17
Jennifer Howell	Landers, CA	2021-04-17
Jonathan Johnson	Orange, CA	2021-04-17
Barbie Winkler	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-17
Jose Temas	Placentia, CA	2021-04-17
Ben Loescher	Pioneertown, CA	2021-04-17
sylviane lambert - husin	Benon, France	2021-04-17
Bobby Furst	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-17
Holly Vaughan	Lancaster, CA	2021-04-17
Melissa Adams	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-17
Michael Diaz	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-17
Donna Beland	Bradley, US	2021-04-17
Shayna Tyler	Vallentigny, France	2021-04-17
Laurie Kaye	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-17
Anna Lisech	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-17
Chris Pat	Austin, US	2021-04-17
Kenny Ramirez	Los Angeles, US	2021-04-17
Adrianna Rosa	Avenel, US	2021-04-17
Sabrina Maxwell	Yermo, CA	2021-04-17
Patricia GUIRAN	Draveil, France	2021-04-17
Brianna Brumit	Haslet, TX	2021-04-17
Donna Evans	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-17

Name	Location	Date
Mariah Cooper	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-17
Douglas Dunn	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-17
Michael sinner	Flamingo hieghts, CA	2021-04-17
CALYPSO PA2 GRENIER	CHARLEROI, Belgium	2021-04-17
Ryan Zappia	Redlands, CA	2021-04-17
Giselle Abu-Sitta	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-17
Kyle Thurston	Vancouver, US	2021-04-17
Lynn McNair	Landers, CA	2021-04-17
Malak Fouda	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-17
nick kostidis	Chicago, US	2021-04-17
Frank Parker	Newark, DE	2021-04-17
Andrea Boeck	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-17
Anita Smith	Desert Hot Springs, CA	2021-04-17
catherine cheneval	LYON, Spain	2021-04-17
Hailey Masterson	Cabarrus, US	2021-04-17
Diane Kuntz	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-17
Dawn Juracek	Lancaster, CA	2021-04-17
Riemer Haagsma	Morongo valley, CA	2021-04-17
Steve Dotson	Cloverdale, US	2021-04-17
Jamie Harvey	Desert Hot Springs, CA	2021-04-17
Lisa Salazar	Shasta Lake, CA	2021-04-17
Ryan Platukas	Minneapolis, US	2021-04-17

Name	Location	Date
Eve McQueen-Allinger	Columbia, SC	2021-04-17
Ahmad Shehadeh	Amman, Jordan	2021-04-17
walter schultz	galesburg, Canada	2021-04-17
Penny Dees	Landers, CA	2021-04-17
Loretta Russell	Woodland Hills, CA	2021-04-17
Tiziana Dordoni	via xx settembre 11 Corsico milano, Italy	2021-04-17
Julie Port	Slough, UK	2021-04-17
Brandon Williams	LANDER, US	2021-04-17
Kari Dies	US	2021-04-17
Gloria Beetle	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-17
Tara Becker	Oxford, US	2021-04-17
Branden Nicholson	Sebring, US	2021-04-17
Jean Mounger	Brooksville, US	2021-04-17
Alain Neven	Seraing, Belgium	2021-04-17
brbr4244@colorado.edu Branham	Littleton, US	2021-04-17
Mary Sharp	North Hills, CA	2021-04-17
Laurel Lewis	Columbus, OH	2021-04-17
Charles Stanley	Cumming, US	2021-04-17
Ivana de la Vara	Palm Springs, CA	2021-04-17
Irene Lee	Cleveland, OH	2021-04-17
Don Lombardo	Joshua tree, CA	2021-04-17

Name	Location	Date
Cindy Sue Lombard	Johnson Valley, CA	2021-04-17
Noah Maciad	Walnut Creek, CA	2021-04-17
Liz Nea	Daggett, CA	2021-04-17
Linda Bidasio	Glendale, CA	2021-04-17
Tyler Holland	Liberty I'll, US	2021-04-17
Gina Bost	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-17
Cinda Hitchcock	Tampa, FL	2021-04-17
Elle Weatherup	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-17
Crystal Rilley	Colton, CA	2021-04-17
Richard Marin	Deltona, US	2021-04-17
S�everine SORDET	Dijon, France	2021-04-17
Ami Flori	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-17
Silvia Steinbrecher	Germany	2021-04-17
Alicia Muniz	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-17
Valarie Maca	Desert Hot Springs, CA	2021-04-17
Nena Woelk	olmu�e, Chile	2021-04-17
Amy Mamutaj	Palm Harbor, US	2021-04-17
Danelle Threatt	Marin City, CA	2021-04-17
Tiffany Langwell	La Quinta, CA	2021-04-17
Elena Stojkoska	Skopje, Macedonia (FYROM)	2021-04-17
Judson Collins	Danville, US	2021-04-17
Kurt St Amant	D.H.S., CA	2021-04-17

Name	Location	Date
Jay Vora	State College, US	2021-04-17
Sharon Anderson	Glendale, CA	2021-04-17
Deb Hager	Mansfield, OH	2021-04-17
Philip Soanes	Krakow, Poland	2021-04-17
ursula schilg	Mayen, Germany	2021-04-17
Fred Wright	Whittier, CA	2021-04-17
Astrid V.d. Geest	Stadskanaal, Netherlands	2021-04-17
Gary Tufel	Twentynine Palms, CA	2021-04-17
Paula Larson	North Bend, NE	2021-04-17
Jeanna Deivanayagam	Dallas, TX	2021-04-17
John Roberts	Birmingham, England, UK	2021-04-17
Joan Klatt	Oakville, Canada	2021-04-17
Casey Cox	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-17
Merlo Borowska	San Leandro, US	2021-04-17
Arielle Mayer	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-17
Andy Behrendt	Battle Creek, US	2021-04-17
Yesselynn Rodriguez Gomez	Anaheim, CA	2021-04-17
Kelsey Donahue	Sarasota, US	2021-04-17
Jelica Roland	Zagreb, Croatia	2021-04-17
Elizabeth Ann Gomez	Atascadero, CA	2021-04-17
Lauren McGowan	El Cajon, CA	2021-04-17
Jenna Hoover	Pomona, CA	2021-04-17

Name	Location	Date
hur dongkwang	Dalseo-gu, South Korea	2021-04-18
Tessa Kline	Saint Augustine, FL	2021-04-18
Katrina Lerma	Landers, CA	2021-04-18
Tori Owens	Las Vegas, NV	2021-04-18
Joyce Scobey	San Jacinto, CA	2021-04-18
Carol Corbin	Bloomington, CA	2021-04-18
James Patten	Hardwick, US	2021-04-18
Dominique LANG	Vaison-la-Romaine, France	2021-04-18
Billee Larson	US	2021-04-18
Susan Barnes	Colton, CA	2021-04-18
Nina Thurston-Keller	Ventura, CA	2021-04-18
Patricia Wagner	Sayville, NY	2021-04-18
Jennifer Scobey	San Jacinto, CA	2021-04-18
Patrick Kearns	Landers, CA	2021-04-18
Ryan Flores	Folsom, CA	2021-04-18
Tom Barnes	Colton, CA	2021-04-18
Consuelo Velasco	Strambino Fr Cerone, Italy	2021-04-18
Gian wayman	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-18
armer teufel reger	Nürnberg, Germany	2021-04-18
Julie Feiner	Northridge, CA	2021-04-18
Joy Garnett	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-18
Shrek The Ogre	Chicago, US	2021-04-18

Name	Location	Date
Yolanda Brown	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-18
John Harding	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-18
Roxana Moya	Santiago, Chile	2021-04-18
Laurel Harrington	Chesapeake, US	2021-04-18
Ryan Hoffman	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-18
Heidi Mong	Victorville, CA	2021-04-18
cathala corine	Pierrelatte, France	2021-04-18
clara keener	Huntsville, US	2021-04-18
Kelsey Lynch	O Fallon, US	2021-04-18
Jennifer Buchser	Lake Arrowhead, CA	2021-04-18
Robert Martinez	Tucson, AZ	2021-04-18
Anamarija Cvitkovic	Las Vegas, NV	2021-04-18
Barb Blair	Wells, British Columbia, Canada	2021-04-18
Susan Zakin	Twentynine Palms, CA	2021-04-18
Biancarosa Alfieri	Ovada, Italy	2021-04-18
Martina Behla	Berlin, Germany	2021-04-18
Soheila Foruzanfar	Iran	2021-04-18
Kate Chamuris	Hawthorne, CA	2021-04-18
Ji su Lee	로렌스빌, US	2021-04-18
Pam Miller	Tolar, TX	2021-04-18
Rikki Zeller	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-18
Ana Caroline	Greenville, US	2021-04-18

Name	Location	Date
Sandra Arauco	Mississauga, Canada	2021-04-18
Ann-Marie Holman	Sherman Oaks, CA	2021-04-18
Joshua Sanford	San Diego, CA	2021-04-18
Anja Relja	Kumanovo, Macedonia (FYROM)	2021-04-18
C Webb	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-18
Justin Truong	San Francisco, US	2021-04-18
Traci Paraday	Fontana, CA	2021-04-18
Gayle Anderson	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-18
Toby Gramm	Flamingo Hieghts, CA	2021-04-18
katherine Sampson	Devon, UK	2021-04-18
Stephanie Hansen	Lucerne valley, CA	2021-04-18
Liliana Fiorini	Cordoba, Argentina	2021-04-18
Gail Bergland	Seattle, WA	2021-04-18
Everdina Fiebrandt	Netherlands	2021-04-18
Karine Simonart	Châtelet, Belgium	2021-04-18
Sinclair Petra	Erlensee, Germany	2021-04-18
Christiane Ulbrich	Moyeuivre grande, France	2021-04-18
Jasmin Porschen	München, Germany	2021-04-18
Kenneth Ng	Singapore, Singapore	2021-04-18
Josh Banggud	Davao City, Philippines	2021-04-18
Isaiah Childress	Knoxville, US	2021-04-18
hattori takako	Japan	2021-04-18

Name	Location	Date
Theresia Maria	Deutschland, Germany	2021-04-18
Michael Schamel	Riverside, CA	2021-04-18
Tristan Toye	Zurich, Switzerland	2021-04-18
pilar blas	san sebastian, Spain	2021-04-18
laetitia faivre-duboz	Varang�eville, France	2021-04-18
Angela Chureva	Skopje, Macedonia (FYROM)	2021-04-18
Olga Petrova	Orlando, US	2021-04-18
Oli Trog	Saint Johns Wood, UK	2021-04-18
Maja Danilova	Skopje, Macedonia (FYROM)	2021-04-18
Steven Nicholl	Tampa, US	2021-04-18
Jeanne Nangle	Ballwin, MO	2021-04-18
Eriz Morales	Philippines	2021-04-18
Sarah Reeves	West Sacramento, CA	2021-04-18
Emma Panzica	Chicago, US	2021-04-18
Karen Larson	West Palm Beach, FL	2021-04-18
Nelly PRESTAT	France	2021-04-18
joyce alexander	Edinburgh, Scotland, UK	2021-04-18
Carol Beaulieu	London, Canada	2021-04-18
Lisa Gourdine	Shelby, US	2021-04-18
Cindy Kazarian	Flamingo Heights, CA	2021-04-18
cristiana de laurentis	Italy	2021-04-18
Francine Sandras	Bauvin, France	2021-04-18

Name	Location	Date
Werner Oehler	Derendingen, Switzerland	2021-04-18
Paul Coate	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-18
LouAnn Pieron	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-18
Heidi Schwegler	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-18
Jesse Supry	Atlanta, US	2021-04-18
Julie Wiebe	Winnipeg, Canada	2021-04-18
Paul Hadley	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-18
Shauna Tucker	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-18
simone maes	Belgium	2021-04-18
Yaravi Schwegler	Livermore, CA	2021-04-18
Samantha Rodríguez	Albuquerque, US	2021-04-18
Danii F. Paolucci	Perugia, Italy	2021-04-18
Lisa Strand	Carbondale, US	2021-04-18
Lacey Finnell	Cheyenne, US	2021-04-18
Nick Garcia	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-18
Tiffany Evans	Twentynine Palms, CA	2021-04-18
Salvador del Viento	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-18
Fatima Lopez	Makati, Philippines	2021-04-18
Mary Major	Portland, OR	2021-04-18
Helen Billings	Yucca valley, CA	2021-04-18
Hanneke Mol	Poortvliet, NE	2021-04-18
laurence vanham	5310 leuze, Belgium	2021-04-18

Name	Location	Date
Tharindu Muthukumarana	Nugegoda, Sri Lanka	2021-04-18
Tree Care by Design	Hesperia, CA	2021-04-18
Jeannine DeAngelis	Chandler, US	2021-04-18
Vanessa Scott	Hemet, CA	2021-04-18
Jeff Crawford	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-18
Yolanda Campbell	Moreno Valley, CA	2021-04-18
Emma Hurley	Irvington, US	2021-04-18
William Horrell	Northridge, US	2021-04-18
Valérie RAYNAUD	Sainte-clotilde, France	2021-04-18
sherri hodes	Phoenix, US	2021-04-18
Chardonnens Sonja	Mannens, Switzerland	2021-04-18
Debbie Brent	Columbia, MD	2021-04-18
Edel Vernon	Lichfield, UK	2021-04-18
Muzette Ung	Portland, US	2021-04-18
Michael Fricano	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-18
jade ALF	Blois, France	2021-04-18
Thomas Watkins	Bloomington, CA	2021-04-18
stan nicollette	Bucharest, Romania	2021-04-18
Tom Klein	Riverside, CA	2021-04-18
Marga Gili	Palma, Spain	2021-04-18
Jennifer Post	Rochester, US	2021-04-18
Melissa Rousseau	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-18

Name	Location	Date
Briana Sosa	Landolakes, US	2021-04-18
James Henckel	Rochester, US	2021-04-18
Gretchen Dervin	Overland Park, KS	2021-04-18
Sasha Goclowski	Kapaau, HI	2021-04-18
Hannah Smith	Joshua tree, CA	2021-04-18
Myra Cargo	Franklin, TN	2021-04-18
Juan Liu	Jersey City, US	2021-04-18
Gregg Stevens	Florida	2021-04-18
Michael Garcia	Landers, CA	2021-04-18
Ann Roewe	Olathe, US	2021-04-18
Karen Flores	Houston, US	2021-04-18
Rachelle Henriquez	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-18
priscella gomez	Las Vegas, NV	2021-04-18
Nancy Nelson	Winfield, IL	2021-04-18
James Hammons	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-19
K e	Orange, US	2021-04-19
Peter Spurr	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-19
juliantika dewi	Gianyar, Indonesia	2021-04-19
Charly Sarah Pormes	Ambon, Indonesia	2021-04-19
lucas harfer	New York, US	2021-04-19
Felicia Harun	Tangerang, Indonesia	2021-04-19
Gianfranco Torres	Saint Petersburg, US	2021-04-19

Name	Location	Date
esa jatnika	garut, Indonesia	2021-04-19
Dwi Astri Andriani	Indonesia	2021-04-19
Maryrose Crook	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-19
Wanda Kownacki	Los Gatos, CA	2021-04-19
Lyndie Greenwood	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-19
sarika arora	Alpharetta, GA	2021-04-19
Louise Goffin	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-19
Fei Fei Zhao	Indonesia	2021-04-19
James Vopat	Palm Springs, CA	2021-04-19
Miroslava Mraz	Garden Grove, CA	2021-04-19
Becca Cardenas	Anaheim, CA	2021-04-19
Shadow Reign	Houston, US	2021-04-19
Kevin Voegtly	Yucca valley, CA	2021-04-19
Стас Аксёнов	Tyumen, Russia	2021-04-19
Emily Archer	Spring Hill, US	2021-04-19
Sarah Swartz	Uniontown, US	2021-04-19
Katherine Hutchins	Phoenix, US	2021-04-19
Tori Sasso	Orange, CA	2021-04-19
Carla David	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-19
Melinda Looper	Seneca, SC	2021-04-19
Victor Schoenheit	Lake Forest, CA	2021-04-19
Dave Eastman	Santa Ana, CA	2021-04-19

Name	Location	Date
Jack Flynn	Springfield, US	2021-04-19
Ryan Paulu	Cumberland Center, US	2021-04-19
Buildingone 409	Philippines	2021-04-19
Taresa Simmons	Lancaster, US	2021-04-19
Fred Chandler	Boynton Beach, US	2021-04-19
muhtar uthay	Indonesia	2021-04-19
Gina Barrera	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-19
Elaine Becker	Roanoke, VA	2021-04-19
Richard Barrera	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-19
brittaney harvey	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-19
Jennifer Valencia	Riverside, CA	2021-04-19
Gina Barrera	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-19
Anwar Musadad	Tangerang, Indonesia	2021-04-19
Mclean Mcgown	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-19
Gary Halvorson	Pine Mountain Club, CA	2021-04-19
Nicole Roussou	Piraeus, Greece	2021-04-19
Taufiq Hidayatullah	Jakarta, Indonesia	2021-04-19
Anna Liza Tecson	Quezon City, Philippines	2021-04-19
Nicole Bicho	San Francisco, CA	2021-04-19
Josef Kohout	Garden Grove, CA	2021-04-19
Agim Demirovski	Staten island, US	2021-04-19
Louis Ryan	Dublin, Ireland	2021-04-19

Name	Location	Date
cara skube	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-19
Robert Konuch	Woodland Hills, CA	2021-04-19
Debbie Hoffman	Landers, CA	2021-04-19
Georgia Lindsey	Prescott, AZ	2021-04-19
Daisy Graham	Apple Valley, CA	2021-04-19
Wendy Gadzuk	Morongo Valley, CA	2021-04-19
Saenong ypup	Makassar, Indonesia	2021-04-19
Burhan Udin	Jakarta, Indonesia	2021-04-19
Muhammad Ghazi	Mojokerto, Indonesia	2021-04-19
Swatantra Wibawa	Bekasi, Indonesia	2021-04-19
Sukma Effendi	Jambi, Indonesia	2021-04-19
Renza Math	Surabaya, Indonesia	2021-04-19
asep nurdiansyah	sambas, Indonesia	2021-04-19
harry rochiyadi	Indonesia	2021-04-19
Trian Arjuna	Samarinda, Indonesia	2021-04-19
willy akbar	Cilegon, Indonesia	2021-04-19
Rose Miras	Melbourne, Australia	2021-04-19
Restu Fitria	Tangerang, Indonesia	2021-04-19
Mayang Ninaputri Diswarin	Jakarta, Indonesia	2021-04-19
rini oktaviani	tamngsel, Indonesia	2021-04-19
Adi Widjanarko	Balikpapan, Indonesia	2021-04-19
Helmi Nasution	Jombang, Indonesia	2021-04-19

Name	Location	Date
Novin Halim wijaya	Karawang, Indonesia	2021-04-19
Nabilah Saski	Surabaya, Indonesia	2021-04-19
Nur zalika Izzati	Sukabumi, Indonesia	2021-04-19
Fatimah Azzahra	Medan, Indonesia	2021-04-19
Eko Ariyanto	Yogyakarta, Indonesia	2021-04-19
aliya sabila	Jakarta, Indonesia	2021-04-19
m. husni mubarrok mubarrok	Indonesia	2021-04-19
Debra Leard	Landers, CA	2021-04-19
Kelly Taylor	Warren, US	2021-04-19
ANGELITA DOMINGO	Manila, Philippines	2021-04-19
Sita Ullar	Indonesia	2021-04-19
Muhammad Tamlikha	Palembang, Indonesia	2021-04-19
Nikki Parcon	Koronadal, Philippines	2021-04-19
Dee clements	Chicago, IL	2021-04-19
jane fawke	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-19
timi wood	Ottawa, Canada	2021-04-19
Asriyani Puspitasari	Jakarta timur, Indonesia	2021-04-19
Marina Kotelnikova	Moscow, Russia	2021-04-19
mae reynolds	dacula, US	2021-04-19
Kayla Manning	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-19
Elizabeth Van Zandt	Indio, CA	2021-04-19
Chanel Bautista	Quezon City, Philippines	2021-04-19

Name	Location	Date
A. Saito	US	2021-04-19
Kammie Sands	Cleveland, US	2021-04-19
kellie nelsob	Charlotte, US	2021-04-19
Robin Dale	Johnson Valley, CA	2021-04-19
Siti Patimah	Depok, Indonesia	2021-04-19
Teresa Dominguez	Cembo, Philippines	2021-04-19
Paul Cullum	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-19
MaryHelen Tuttle	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-19
Cardi Mosley	Crawford, US	2021-04-19
HEATHER SOMMERFIELD	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-19
Catherine abston	Twentynine palms, CA	2021-04-19
Roderick Purdy	Windsor, Canada	2021-04-19
Kevin Yoshikawa	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-19
Adityo Muktiwibowo	Indonesia	2021-04-19
Nancy Black	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-19
Jodi Thomas	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-19
michelle alonzi	Lake Forest, IL	2021-04-19
Salsabela shaban	Palos Hills, US	2021-04-19
Eric Simpson	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-19
Suzanna Wood	Sun Valley, Ca 91352-1228, CA	2021-04-19
Yana Fil	Rochester, US	2021-04-19
Luke Itkoff	Fountain Valley, CA	2021-04-19

Name	Location	Date
HolyMolly !	Indonesia	2021-04-19
Juliana Winchester	San Diego, US	2021-04-19
Elena Perez	Valladolid, UK	2021-04-19
Aelyn Rhodes	Columbus, US	2021-04-19
Tim Vigallon	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-19
Juan Jimenez	San Bernardino, US	2021-04-19
James Paine	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-19
John Legnaioli	Twentynine Palms, CA	2021-04-19
cheryl cox	Twentynine Palms, CA	2021-04-19
miranda nowaczyk	Sacramento, US	2021-04-19
Laura Sides	Coatesville, US	2021-04-19
Lillyauna Vachon	Pelham, US	2021-04-19
Steve Lazny	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-19
Dody Roberta Duran	BELITUNG, Indonesia	2021-04-19
Martina Krstevska	Skopje, Macedonia (FYROM)	2021-04-19
Mohamad Azizah	Jakarta Selatan, Indonesia	2021-04-19
Inge Stadler	Hilpoltstein, Germany	2021-04-19
Cordelia Reynolds	Landers, CA	2021-04-19
Carol Martini	Westminster, CA	2021-04-19
Ankie Ellajdel	Tyresö, Sweden	2021-04-19
Leah Rudenick	Morgan, US	2021-04-19
Cheyenne Bonnell	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-19

Name	Location	Date
Kimberly Beard	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-19
WALTER MARIENSCHEK	Johnson valley, CA	2021-04-19
Kristen Gass	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-19
Moch Iskandar	Sidoarjo, Indonesia	2021-04-19
gamma arriva	Indonesia	2021-04-19
Fox McBride	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-19
Tami Ohl	Riverside, CA	2021-04-19
barbara bemis	Manchester, US	2021-04-19
Dorothea Vecchiotti	Dublin, US	2021-04-19
Karin Zimmermann	Hersbruck, Germany	2021-04-19
Miss claudia Miller	Plymouth, UK	2021-04-19
Lori Cabot	US	2021-04-19
Bo Janes	New York, US	2021-04-19
Yewon Kim	Rancho Palos Verdes, US	2021-04-19
Rosalie Tomasto	Norwalk, US	2021-04-19
Kiran Nayak	Fairport, US	2021-04-19
Natalie Medard	Dobbs Ferry, US	2021-04-19
Marianne Povich	Washington, US	2021-04-19
Brigitte Hermanns	Düsseldorf, Germany	2021-04-19
Maurice Samuels	Pittsburgh, US	2021-04-19
Adam Kaluba	Burleson, US	2021-04-19
Anneke v. Brussel Andries	Raamsdonksveer, Netherlands	2021-04-19

Name	Location	Date
David Rossi	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-19
John Wagner	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-19
chris beal	louth, US	2021-04-19
Nat F.	Santa Fe, US	2021-04-19
Ashley Wagner	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-19
sydney lewis	San Rafael, US	2021-04-19
Norm Wilmes	Yuba City, US	2021-04-19
Iris Moy	Oakland, CA	2021-04-19
Rita Thio	Walnut, US	2021-04-19
Tammy Ramberg	Corona, CA	2021-04-19
Elham Keify	Elk Grove Village, US	2021-04-19
Cindy Weinstein	Yucca, CA	2021-04-19
Sobur Yudistira	Subang, Indonesia	2021-04-19
Michele LaPorte	Niles, US	2021-04-19
Christopher Weinstein	Orleans, CA	2021-04-19
Rose Herrmann	Northborough, US	2021-04-19
Raylynn Losey	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-19
dino irwanto	Indonesia	2021-04-19
M. Browning	Chandler, US	2021-04-19
Kristal Hitchcock	Victorville, CA	2021-04-19
Gustavianom Gustavianom	Padang, Indonesia	2021-04-19
Laura Brown	Hanover, MA	2021-04-19

Name	Location	Date
sa. sang	los angeles, CA	2021-04-19
Jeffrey Hubbard	Walkersville, MD	2021-04-19
Amy Thompson	Tehachapi, CA	2021-04-19
Just Ubay	DKI Jakarta, Indonesia	2021-04-19
Keith carr	Torrington, US	2021-04-19
Anthony Calhoun	Valencia, CA	2021-04-19
Joseph Arnold	Pioneertown, CA	2021-04-19
Ane Leopoldina	Greenville, US	2021-04-19
Rosa Cabrerizo	Hospitalet de Llobregat, Spain	2021-04-19
Monica Tulestedt	Sölvesborg, Sweden	2021-04-19
Yayat Ruchiat	Indonesia	2021-04-19
Betsy Novera	Indonesia	2021-04-19
SHIRLEY AUSTIN	London, ENG	2021-04-19
Angelique Hernández	San Jose, US	2021-04-19
Lawrence Fike	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-19
Erin Swoish	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-19
Elka Wilder	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-19
Abby Rivera	Lenoir, US	2021-04-19
Lisa Kiehn	Eugene, OR	2021-04-19
awal Udin	Wakatobi, Indonesia	2021-04-19
C M Bookout	Desert Hot Springs, CA	2021-04-19
Christopher Diaz	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-19

Name	Location	Date
Victoria Palmer	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-19
Kayla Lallier	Tucson, US	2021-04-19
Kelsey Gaarder	TWENTYNINE PALMS, CA	2021-04-20
Marion Schiffers	Brussels, Belgium	2021-04-20
mollie lythgoe	Chicago, US	2021-04-20
Jeanie Fuller	Tulsa, OK	2021-04-20
Dominique Antoniaza	France	2021-04-20
Rainbow Casey	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-20
Hannah Mudge	Elizabeth City, US	2021-04-20
Marilyn Flynn	Cutchogue, NY	2021-04-20
Randy Dowker	East Jordan, US	2021-04-20
Larry May	Brooklyn, NY	2021-04-20
Scott Keyse	Sun City, CA	2021-04-20
Biene Maja	Roma, Italy	2021-04-20
Emily Spacek	Salt Lake City, UT	2021-04-20
t. s.	San Jose, US	2021-04-20
michelle tan	nowhere, Indonesia	2021-04-20
Diya Lailatul Choirun Nisak	Sidoarjo, Indonesia	2021-04-20
Yaritza Gonzalez	Chillan, Chile	2021-04-20
Karen Cleveland	San Jose, CA	2021-04-20
Teri Duchac	Aliso Viejo, CA	2021-04-20
Cindy Janzen	Niagara Falls, Canada	2021-04-20

Name	Location	Date
Penelope Sevier	Hollywood, CA	2021-04-20
Maria Rowena Carino	Tabaco City,Albay, Philippines	2021-04-20
Muhamad Ilham	Bandung Barat, Indonesia	2021-04-20
Bonnie Bilger	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-20
Catalina Campos	Santiago, Chile	2021-04-20
Abdullah Isa Goldstein	Somerset, NJ	2021-04-20
Astrid Tri Wahyuli	Jakarta, Indonesia	2021-04-20
Karin Lux	Kerpen, Germany	2021-04-20
Ilas Korwadi	Bagan Batu, Indonesia	2021-04-20
Patti Webster	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-20
Selms Kessler	Omaha, NE	2021-04-20
Emma Louis	Baltimore, US	2021-04-20
Ale Herberger	Murrieta, US	2021-04-20
Alfan Nasrul	Tangerang, Indonesia	2021-04-20
Cintia Pravatto	Pucon, Chile	2021-04-20
Rangga Permana	Bandung, Indonesia	2021-04-20
Joselane Silveira	Esteio, Brazil	2021-04-20
Philip Bingham	Lynwood, CA	2021-04-20
Meira Zahara	Bekasi, Indonesia	2021-04-20
Britta Hansen	Kobenhavn N, Denmark	2021-04-20
Joyce Patton	Lindon, US	2021-04-20
laode arham	depok, Indonesia	2021-04-20

Name	Location	Date
Martin Stebbins	Felton, US	2021-04-20
Mira Maharani	Indonesia	2021-04-20
Carolina Arellano	Coyhaique, Chile	2021-04-20
Derek O'Brien	Long Beach, CA	2021-04-20
James Schum	Cathedral City, CA	2021-04-20
Patrick W. Mulligan	Mims, US	2021-04-20
Michelle Sower	South Lake Tahoe, CA	2021-04-20
Fitrotin Azizah	Malang, Indonesia	2021-04-20
Juni Arsyah Fendi S	Sidoarjo, Indonesia	2021-04-20
Kate Baumgartner	Denver, CO	2021-04-20
Hilmiya Syahidah	Bogor, Indonesia	2021-04-20
Kyle Svachula	Des Plaines, US	2021-04-20
Kelly Castillo	29 palms, CA	2021-04-20
yvette vernet	Australia	2021-04-20
Jennifer Crosser	Linden, US	2021-04-20
Tom O'Key	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-20
Ni Kadek Arimbi Wirasetia	Denpasar, Indonesia	2021-04-20
Rolf Mense	Puerto Lumbreras, Spain	2021-04-20
Aubrey Koehler	Yucca valley, CA	2021-04-20
Margit Ristimäki	Helsinki, Finland	2021-04-20
Haley Russell	Greenville, US	2021-04-20
Ryan Etter	San Bernardino, CA	2021-04-20

Name	Location	Date
Kevin Wong	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-20
Abby fuson	Dayton, US	2021-04-20
IAN IMANUEL HOMER	Manokwari, Indonesia	2021-04-20
diana bryant	ambleston, Wales; Cymru, UK	2021-04-20
Dimas dwi Prasetyo	Tangerang, Indonesia	2021-04-20
Cichy Lagur	Indonesia	2021-04-20
Sylvia Breuer	Canby, MN	2021-04-20
isabel esteve	Castelloli, Spain	2021-04-20
Kari Perry	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-20
Lelah Simon	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-20
MIL User 21 Boystown	Philippines	2021-04-20
Alfian Surya	Klaten, Indonesia	2021-04-20
Nuria Herrera Escalona	Villarrobledo, Spain	2021-04-20
Vintage Saber	US	2021-04-20
Dr. L. Donaker	Denver, CO	2021-04-20
Monica Graham	Pasadena, CA	2021-04-20
jannie ros	Netherlands	2021-04-20
齋藤 玉恵	Japan	2021-04-20
shirley swan	Birmingham, UK	2021-04-20
Alexis Smart	Twentynine Palms, CA	2021-04-20
Ade Ardiansyah	Karawang, Indonesia	2021-04-20
Catalin Catana	Slatina, Romania	2021-04-20

Name	Location	Date
Eko Ardhiansyah	Jakarta Barat, Indonesia	2021-04-20
Tania Llewellyn	Tonyrefail, UK	2021-04-20
Judy Rees	Glenalta, Australia	2021-04-20
MIL User 19 Boystown	Philippines	2021-04-20
Darron Hemann	Springfield, MO	2021-04-20
Darla Morrow	Farmington, UT	2021-04-20
Avelys Acton Stokes	Vashon, WA	2021-04-20
Luka Žarić	Podgorica, Montenegro	2021-04-20
Susan ..	Katowice, Poland	2021-04-20
Heidi Müller	Giswil, Switzerland	2021-04-20
Muhamad Afdal	Indonesia	2021-04-20
Daniela Dolder	Meldorf, Germany	2021-04-20
Fadhlina Nazly	Medan, Indonesia	2021-04-20
michel/bernadette charlier/gustin	namur, Belgium	2021-04-20
Robert Nowak	Poland	2021-04-20
Kemas Ahmad Zen	Indonesia	2021-04-20
annie van-san	houdeng-goegnien, Belgium	2021-04-20
Caroline Sévilla	Paris, France	2021-04-20
MONTSERRAT guevara	Barcelona, Spain	2021-04-20
Marianne Thorsdatter Måsvær	Mosjøen, Norway	2021-04-20
Sab De Guzman	Philippines	2021-04-20

Name	Location	Date
hoeru anwar	Bogor, Indonesia	2021-04-20
arlette simon	France	2021-04-20
Fabienne Stoudmann	Oulens-Echallens, Switzerland	2021-04-20
Pam Kersey	Cerritos, CA	2021-04-20
Dr_ Yavvas	Greece	2021-04-20
Traci Stinnett	Orlando, US	2021-04-20
Jose Schwenke	Auckland, New Zealand	2021-04-20
Marie Gauley	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-20
Kate Kenner	Guilford, VT	2021-04-20
Daniela Garriga leiva	La Calera, Chile	2021-04-20
Melinda Cazarez	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-20
Geena Memos	Bethpage, US	2021-04-20
erin lindquist	Landers, CA	2021-04-20
kenny lindquist	landers, CA	2021-04-20
Brian Ford	New York, NY	2021-04-20
Francisca González	Santiago, Chile	2021-04-20
Renata Puppini	Milan, Italy	2021-04-20
Valentina Sandoval	Santiago, Chile	2021-04-20
Sandra Farrell	Pittsford, NY	2021-04-20
Christina Sanchez	Morongo Valley, CA	2021-04-20
Daniela Alejandra Gallardo Rosas	Puerto Cisnes, Chile	2021-04-20

Name	Location	Date
Dory Roberts	Manhattan Beach, US	2021-04-20
Alice Spies	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-20
Maria Miranda	Brooklyn, US	2021-04-20
Alexis F	Tampa, US	2021-04-20
Javiera Morales	Casablanca, Chile	2021-04-20
Ria Rizki	Depok, Indonesia	2021-04-20
Alma Hernandez	Denver, US	2021-04-20
Sharon Ellis	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-20
Thomas Alban	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-20
Alyce Herrera	Riverside, CA	2021-04-20
Ilse VandenBalck	Leuven, Belgium	2021-04-20
Thiare Santana	Valdivia, US	2021-04-20
Karen Somoloff	North Hollywood, CA	2021-04-20
Daniela Gorny	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-20
Danielle Block	Detroit, MI	2021-04-20
Fernanda Riquelme Navarrete	Concepcion, Chile	2021-04-20
Syd I Doty	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-20
Carla Jara Carcamo	Santiago, Chile	2021-04-20
Michelle Zaldumbide	Stuart, FL	2021-04-20
Deborah Geer	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-20
Polly Hughes	Austin, TX	2021-04-20
Tamra Speakman	Yucca Valley, US	2021-04-20

Name	Location	Date
Ian L	Landers, CA	2021-04-20
Brandon Ngo	Saint Louis, US	2021-04-20
janis keaveny	Tattershall, England, UK	2021-04-20
Ronald Mundy	Port Saint Lucie, FL	2021-04-20
Maryann Staron	Evergreen Park, IL	2021-04-20
Ainsley Thornburgh	Berkeley, CA	2021-04-20
Wendy Forster	UK	2021-04-20
Leticia Leon	Morongo Valley, CA	2021-04-20
Miguel Ángel García Morante	Sant Jordi de ses Salines, Spain	2021-04-20
Kim DiFederico	Mission Viejo, CA	2021-04-20
Deegan Anible	Rockford, US	2021-04-20
Jami Lovell	Salt Lake City, UT	2021-04-20
Katie Filipas	Knox, US	2021-04-20
Hannah Simonson	San Francisco, CA	2021-04-20
Iqbal Hidayatullah	Sidoarjo, Indonesia	2021-04-20
Nikaiya Sims	Vidalia, US	2021-04-20
Aleksandar Kocic	Serbia	2021-04-20
Kim Garneau	Brooklyn, US	2021-04-20
Dorian Kirk	Yucca valley, CA	2021-04-20
sabrina jensen	US	2021-04-20
S Kern	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-20
Daniel Lawton	New Orleans, US	2021-04-20

Name	Location	Date
Melanie Townsend	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-20
monique correa	Ronkonkoma, NY	2021-04-20
Ayesha Saeed	Alexandria, US	2021-04-20
Alexandria Turner	Saint Paul, US	2021-04-20
Awesome Kids	US	2021-04-20
Ann Murdy	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-20
Ellen McCann	Escondido, US	2021-04-20
Tupu Seppänen	Lappeenranta, Finland	2021-04-20
Katie Harrington	San Antonio, US	2021-04-20
Joshua Cornette	Mineral Bluff, US	2021-04-20
Darko Trajanoski	Skopje, Macedonia (FYROM)	2021-04-20
Sheila Calderon	North Hollywood, CA	2021-04-20
Heather Lawrence	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-20
Peggy Hendershot	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-20
Makayla Burke	Hartford, US	2021-04-20
Sara Hayter	Canton, GA	2021-04-20
Katherine Tom	Brooklyn, US	2021-04-20
Melenie Tull Avent	Pasadena, US	2021-04-20
kris Juhl	Mckinleyville, US	2021-04-20
L Saunders	Masterton, New Zealand	2021-04-20
John Wells	Phoenix, US	2021-04-20
* Vrljić	Cavtat, Croatia	2021-04-20

Name	Location	Date
sadie al	South Jakarta, Indonesia	2021-04-20
Shelby Rutherford	Auckland, New Zealand	2021-04-20
nelle linford	wolseley, South Africa	2021-04-20
Amelia Taber	Kansas City, US	2021-04-20
Kelly Martin	Colorado springs, US	2021-04-20
Jennifer Dean	Milan, TN	2021-04-20
Gabbie Haleria	Paris, US	2021-04-20
Katelyn Bulanda	Oxford, US	2021-04-20
Elisabeth Bechmann	Polten, Austria	2021-04-20
Hamidah Lakhani	Calgary, Canada	2021-04-20
Autumn Durand	Pelham, US	2021-04-20
Frédéric Jaubert	Pont de Chérucy, France	2021-04-20
sue sch.	Florida, FL	2021-04-20
Morgan Vu	Huntington Beach, US	2021-04-20
gilava falah	lahijan, Iran	2021-04-20
Kristina Sedic	Zagreb, Croatia	2021-04-20
Erin Martinez	Hesperia, CA	2021-04-20
Andréa Branco	Sao Paulo, Brazil	2021-04-20
FRANCES LOPEZ	Fontana, CA	2021-04-20
Kerrie Aley	Long Beach, CA	2021-04-20
Jim Ellinger	Santa Monica, CA	2021-04-20
Mallorie Atchison	Tulsa, US	2021-04-20

Name	Location	Date
Ana Prioglio	Lomas De Zamora, Argentina	2021-04-20
Ana María Valencia	Calama, Chile	2021-04-20
Karen Schwartzman	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-20
Alaina Perun	Chino, CA	2021-04-20
Pete Woodring	Park City, US	2021-04-20
Bob Grubbs	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-20
Maribel Marulanda	New York, US	2021-04-20
J Vez	Ottawa, Canada	2021-04-20
Filimena Kuzmanovska	Ohrid, Macedonia (FYROM)	2021-04-20
Kenneth Cajet	Mt. Prospect, US	2021-04-20
Andi Fachrul Patunru	Jakarta, Indonesia	2021-04-20
Lisa Rosa-Re	Alpharetta, US	2021-04-20
Cris Moratonas	Manresa, Spain	2021-04-20
Joanne Padgham	Palm Desert, CA	2021-04-20
reese fishelman	South Orange, US	2021-04-20
Jennifer Williams	Pioneertown, CA	2021-04-20
Sheila Hoffos	Simi Valley, CA	2021-04-20
Khaled Bilal	Riyadh, Saudi Arabia	2021-04-20
Mona Kaur	Tracy, US	2021-04-20
John Calvert	Yucca valley, CA	2021-04-20
Rosemary Redding	Norwich, UK	2021-04-20
Cara Schmidt	Newport News, US	2021-04-20

Name	Location	Date
Lawrence Brody	Simi Valley, US	2021-04-20
Clifford Gianunzio	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-20
Stephanie Horseman	Salisbury, US	2021-04-20
Sarah Hein	Los Angeles, US	2021-04-20
farazdaq yasar	Indonesia	2021-04-20
Stacy Magana	San Bernardino, US	2021-04-20
Estrella Contreras	Chicago, US	2021-04-20
Christa Jenkins	Landers, CA	2021-04-20
Gillian Kime	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-21
Cathy Sheehe	New Washoe City, NV	2021-04-21
Tanton Atherton	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-21
Kaylene Jenkins	Union, KY	2021-04-21
Brittany Cowdry	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-21
Kayla Lakin	Yucca valley, CA	2021-04-21
Lisa Starr	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-21
Angelica Villa	Landers, CA	2021-04-21
Ivy Black cullipher	Yucca valley, CA	2021-04-21
Ivory Mcchristian	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-21
Andy Tamayo	Landers, CA	2021-04-21
Nicholas Solorio	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-21
Matt Kime	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-21
Heather Wright	US	2021-04-21

Name	Location	Date
Isaiah Cruz	Joshua tree, CA	2021-04-21
Meghan Udell	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-21
Richard A. Robles	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-21
Kazumi Mathieu	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-21
Rebecca Sisson	Cerritos, CA	2021-04-21
Betsy Goza	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-21
Yasmine Horton	Bessemer, US	2021-04-21
Anastasia Harris	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-21
Molly Smith	US	2021-04-21
Gabriela Montes	Lees Summit, US	2021-04-21
Brandi Van Nevel	San Francisco, CA	2021-04-21
Chloe Hyuga	Beaver, US	2021-04-21
Elizabeth Berger	Big Bear City, CA	2021-04-21
Alyssa McIntyre	Cortland, NY	2021-04-21
Laurie Perez	Calimesa, CA	2021-04-21
Jessica Higgins	Cerritos, CA	2021-04-21
Sondra McAvoy	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-21
Monona Wali	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-21
Elise T	Dallas, US	2021-04-21
Gwen Jones	Tucson, AZ	2021-04-21
Daniel Miller	Cape May Court House, US	2021-04-21
Kylee Thompson	Yucca valley, CA	2021-04-21

Name	Location	Date
Isabel Sanchez	Rancho Mirage, CA	2021-04-21
E E	London, US	2021-04-21
Ellen Hill	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-21
Christy Hindenlang	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-21
Raihanna Anindya Balqis	Tangerang Selatan, Indonesia	2021-04-21
kaylee musick	Henrico, US	2021-04-21
Derwood Andrews	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-21
Matthew Pynn	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-21
Tyler-james Kelly	Coventry, RI	2021-04-21
Carmen Alvarez	Coachella, CA	2021-04-21
lynn reed reed	Augusta, GA	2021-04-21
Michelle White-juillet	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-21
William Cendejas	Hesperia, CA	2021-04-21
Paula Danemann	San Diego, US	2021-04-21
Kelly Huggins	Nashville, TN	2021-04-21
Joseph Natzel	Yucca valley, CA	2021-04-21
Angel Pontañeles	Manila, Philippines	2021-04-21
Kristen Lalumiere	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-21
Michael Walters	Palm Springs, CA	2021-04-21
oky adisty	jakarta barat, Indonesia	2021-04-21
Adam Lewis	Bloomfield, CT	2021-04-21
Brenda Alvarez	Aguascalientes, Mexico	2021-04-21

Name	Location	Date
Heather Howell	Oceanside, CA	2021-04-21
Theresa Walsh	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-21
Daryl Smith	Clayton, CA	2021-04-21
emily oloughlin	Wheaton, IL	2021-04-21
Ashley McKenzie	Fayetteville, NC	2021-04-21
Leighlani Lowes	San Diego, CA	2021-04-21
Marija K	Thousand Oaks, CA	2021-04-21
Kristen Rivers	Jackson, WY	2021-04-21
Jane Bering	Abilene, TX	2021-04-21
Austin MacNutt	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-21
Rudi Sofian	Indonesia	2021-04-21
enrique brink	ensenada, Mexico	2021-04-21
Salma Jihan	Palembang, Indonesia	2021-04-21
Annas Sulaiman	Bandung, Indonesia	2021-04-21
Susan Angelica Agustine	Indonesia	2021-04-21
Julia Lungan	Ploiesti, Romania	2021-04-21
Edward Bosworth	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-21
kelly mackin	Newburyport, MA	2021-04-21
Martyna Arifovaite	Kaunas, Lithuania	2021-04-21
Steven Pynn	New Haven, CT	2021-04-21
Lidija Ristovska	Skopje, Macedonia (FYROM)	2021-04-21
Samantha Alvarez	Virginia Beach, VA	2021-04-21

Name	Location	Date
Amanda Biggs	Morrow, US	2021-04-21
virginia redman	dover-foxcroft, ME	2021-04-21
Jeff Goodman	Milton, MA	2021-04-21
Annabelle Czimback	West Chester, PA	2021-04-21
Winda Sari	Jakarta, Indonesia	2021-04-21
Shreya Panchal	Thane, India	2021-04-21
Nathaniel Udell	Jeffersonville, NY	2021-04-21
Sarah Bicknell	Apopka, FL	2021-04-21
Anastasja Kostić	Serbia	2021-04-21
Biljana Spasovska	Skopje, Macedonia (FYROM)	2021-04-21
Attilio Laschi	Pittston, US	2021-04-21
Chloe Almutawa	Riyadh, Saudi Arabia	2021-04-21
Brianna Albee	Belchertown, US	2021-04-21
Brian Mayer	Yucca valley, CA	2021-04-21
Maria G	Nashville, US	2021-04-21
Odontsatsral Luvsan	Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia	2021-04-21
bernadette regan	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-21
Kristine Waters	Indian Wells, CA	2021-04-21
Joseph Plante	Boulder, CO	2021-04-21
Cathryn Beeks	Lucerne Valley, CA	2021-04-21
Elizelot Calderon	Orlando, US	2021-04-21
Julia McBee	Decatur, GA	2021-04-21

Name	Location	Date
Ana Gonzalez	San Marino, CA	2021-04-21
Rocio Gonzalez	Mesa, AZ	2021-04-21
Linda Doyle	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-21
Marlana Houston	Peoria, US	2021-04-21
Linda Covert	Farwell, MI	2021-04-21
lucy laun	Minneapolis, US	2021-04-21
Martha Gonzalez	Paramount, CA	2021-04-21
Anthony Scrimenti	Guilderland, US	2021-04-21
Lauren Klimowicz	Yucca valley, CA	2021-04-21
Adrian Tamayo	Landers, CA	2021-04-21
Ron Jackson	Cambridge, MA	2021-04-21
Eunice Gonzalez	Riverside, CA	2021-04-21
robb Torres	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-21
John Lauretig	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-21
Sharifah Farah Debah Syed Mohammad	Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia	2021-04-21
Gina Corona	Riverside, CA	2021-04-21
Isabelle I'm A Minor So I'm Not Sharing This Information	Urbandale, US	2021-04-21
Ines Kapur	Silver Spring, US	2021-04-21
Max Frank	New York, US	2021-04-21
Brian Simpson	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-21

Name	Location	Date
Dwi hadrawati Wati	Kabupaten kukar jelurahan sanga2, kelurahan jawa, Indonesia	2021-04-21
Vivi Rama	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-21
Matthew Moore	Joshu Tree, CA	2021-04-21
Marnie Valley Byrd	Landers, CA	2021-04-21
Brad Byrd	Landers, CA	2021-04-21
Maria Gonzalez	Compton, CA	2021-04-21
Steve Marsel	US	2021-04-21
Barbara Miller	New Glasgow, Canada	2021-04-21
mallory jones	Anaheim, CA	2021-04-21
McKenzie Fidler	Gresham, US	2021-04-21
Emma Hirsch	Levittown, US	2021-04-21
Jacqui Masson	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-21
Diyar Ali Arif	Newcastle Upon Tyne, UK	2021-04-21
Lianne Matsuo	Azusa, CA	2021-04-21
Stacey Noss	Santa Barbara, CA	2021-04-21
Mynor Ivan Cordon	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-21
Carol Hickman	Westerville, US	2021-04-21
Sharon Miller	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-21
Robin s Erickson	US	2021-04-21
Alexis Timmons	Birmingham, US	2021-04-21
Marlee Giglio	New York, US	2021-04-21

Name	Location	Date
Sierra Rogers	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-21
Angelique Williams	Arcata, CA	2021-04-21
Adam Wagner	Pioneertown, CA	2021-04-21
Charletta Hull	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-21
Jacqueline Daren	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-21
Barbara Brown Seiser	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-21
Dawn Pomrinca	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-21
Kalina Walker	Big Bear City, CA	2021-04-21
Anna Schniepp	North Hollywood, CA	2021-04-21
Cheryle Boatman	Flamingo Heights, CA	2021-04-21
Adriana Marroquin	Pico Rivera, US	2021-04-21
Katie Malone	Lafayette, CA	2021-04-21
Vera Topinka	Desert Hot Springs, CA	2021-04-21
jaydee lopez	Beaumont, CA	2021-04-21
Danielle Holtz	Reno, US	2021-04-21
Rigo Plascencia	Indio, US	2021-04-21
Deven Watson	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-21
Rosanna Bruni	Aosta, Italy	2021-04-21
Lia Willams	Kansas City, US	2021-04-21
Jeremy Lubin	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-21
Michelle Miura	Redondo Beach, CA	2021-04-21
Chris Yellowbird	Edmonton, Canada	2021-04-21

Name	Location	Date
Juan Gonzalez	Riverside, CA	2021-04-21
Seth Campbell	Amesbury, MA	2021-04-21
Eric Paquette	Fullerton, CA	2021-04-21
Rachel Arnold	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-21
Christine Carraher	Twentynine Palms, CA	2021-04-21
Joni van Leeuwen	Portugal	2021-04-21
Viet Le	Indianapolis, US	2021-04-21
Nick Olsen	Encinitas, US	2021-04-21
Joyce Arnold	Duxbury, MA	2021-04-21
Greg Petliski	Iselin, NJ	2021-04-21
Charlotte Monroy	DeKalb, US	2021-04-21
Evan Coad	Denver, US	2021-04-21
Samantha Florentine	Chelsea, US	2021-04-21
Montse Rodrigo	La massana, Andorra	2021-04-21
Lawrence Schuessler	Tucson, AZ	2021-04-21
Michael Saviano	Tampa, US	2021-04-21
Amanda Salazar	La Crescenta-Montrose, CA	2021-04-21
Mary Keegan	Severn, MD	2021-04-21
kira siteman	portland, US	2021-04-21
Laura Forsthoffer	South Amboy, US	2021-04-21
Kat Vanderjagt	Truckee, CA	2021-04-21
Gillyan Thorburn	Twentynine Palms, CA	2021-04-21

Name	Location	Date
susan Rippke	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-21
Heather Sherman	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-21
Bryce Recordon	Santa Barbara, CA	2021-04-21
Lorraine Salas	Palm Desert, CA	2021-04-21
Alfi Zakki	Lombok, Indonesia	2021-04-21
Marie Geggis	Punta Gorda, FL	2021-04-21
Cool Beand	Atlanta, US	2021-04-21
Praise Winn	Beaumont, US	2021-04-21
Judy Keil	Ithaca, NY	2021-04-21
Sara Regan	State College, PA	2021-04-21
Arthur Goldfeder	Lancaster, NY	2021-04-21
G. DaPonte	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-21
Lawrence Pasion	Kilauea, HI	2021-04-21
Kelsey Monroe	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-21
Greg Seller	Glendale, CA	2021-04-21
Eric Flanagan	Palm Springs, CA	2021-04-21
Kyle Strobe	Palm Springs, CA	2021-04-21
Raina King	Atlanta, US	2021-04-21
Justin Leineweber	JOHNSON valley, CA	2021-04-21
niber freitas perdomo	Doraville, US	2021-04-21
Philippa MacDuff	Marina del Rey, CA	2021-04-21
Aaliyah Day	Valencia, US	2021-04-21

Name	Location	Date
Legacy Leineweber	Landers, CA	2021-04-21
julia gonzalez	JOSHUA TREE, CA	2021-04-21
Thuy Jacobson	North Hollywood, CA	2021-04-21
Corey Downey	Somerville, US	2021-04-21
patrick moroney	Duxbury, MA	2021-04-21
Sarah Burkett	Sevierville, US	2021-04-21
Itoria Williams	Philadelphia, US	2021-04-21
Shannon Deaton	Kihei, US	2021-04-21
Stephanie Arnold	Intervale, NH	2021-04-21
Sophie Wallace	Calgary, Canada	2021-04-21
Jennifer Buma	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-21
IDolores Harbeson	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-21
Cyber Girl	Ravena, US	2021-04-21
Justin Moore	Polson, US	2021-04-21
Dylan DeLuna	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-21
Stevan Wolter	Desert Hot Springs, CA	2021-04-21
Maile Truong	Brooklyn, US	2021-04-21
Irani Puspita	Tasikmalaya, Indonesia	2021-04-21
Roxanne Arnett	San Marcos, CA	2021-04-21
Armando Chavez	Indio, US	2021-04-22
Evan Pulvere	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-22
Austin Janes	Clanton, US	2021-04-22

Name	Location	Date
Margo Gibert	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-22
Maury Quinn	Santa Clara, UT	2021-04-22
Lupe Stratton	Chino, CA	2021-04-22
Kerstin Neumuller	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-22
mariann adcock	Nashville, TN	2021-04-22
Sam Ford	Anderson, US	2021-04-22
Jamie Bocanegra	Salt Lake City, UT	2021-04-22
Shadee Johnsom	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-22
J-Me Elder	Austin, TX	2021-04-22
faiq Hafni	Kediri, Indonesia	2021-04-22
Angel Quin	Aurora, US	2021-04-22
Maria Brunetti	Twentynine Palms, CA	2021-04-22
Thomas Brophy	Buffalo, NY	2021-04-22
Megan Pfau	Irvine, CA	2021-04-22
Derek Girling	Long Beach, CA	2021-04-22
Mary Price	Landers, CA	2021-04-22
Stephaney Rodriguez	Victoria, US	2021-04-22
sam boatman	fimlango hights, CA	2021-04-22
Agung Priyanto	Tangerang, Indonesia	2021-04-22
Ade Iman Santoso	Indonesia	2021-04-22
Sheri Enochs	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-22
mara moon	chicago, IL	2021-04-22

Name	Location	Date
Brandon Kennedy	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-22
Anne Lorimier	Tampa, US	2021-04-22
Curtis Buma	Monterey, CA	2021-04-22
M K Finster	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-22
Elizabeth Lord	Fairfield, US	2021-04-22
Melanie lopez	Del Valle, US	2021-04-22
Julianne Hassell	Desert Hot Springs, CA	2021-04-22
Brian McMahon	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-22
Ray Pessa	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-22
dvora silberman	yucca valley, CA	2021-04-22
Trevor Whitcomb	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-22
Taylor Simpson	Culver, US	2021-04-22
Natalie Gomez	Modesto, US	2021-04-22
Shauna Oliver	Denver, CO	2021-04-22
Julie Gury	Desert Hot Springs, CA	2021-04-22
MIL User 81 Boystown	Philippines	2021-04-22
MIL User 93 Boystown	Philippines	2021-04-22
MIL User 84 Boystown	Philippines	2021-04-22
Kyle Donahue	Hampton Falls, NH	2021-04-22
Katherine Tate	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-22
John Wagenhals	Boca raton, US	2021-04-22
Gregory Carr	Hawthorne, CA	2021-04-22

Name	Location	Date
Nancy Klepek	Arlington Heights, IL	2021-04-22
Linda Moffitt	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-22
Jerry Mulanax	Richland, US	2021-04-22
Ashley Torres	Azusa, US	2021-04-22
Adella Herawati	Tangerang, Indonesia	2021-04-22
Nica Ramos	Bayside, US	2021-04-22
Katie Sandberg	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-22
Shawna Griffin	Port Orchard, US	2021-04-22
Catherine Grohs	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-22
Christopher Cranston-Stuckey	Wasilla, US	2021-04-22
sumargiyono sumargiyono	Indonesia	2021-04-22
Saiful Anwar	Indonesia	2021-04-22
Reenysha Ramesh	Port Louis, Mauritius	2021-04-22
JIn Godinez	Philippines	2021-04-22
Rolando Torregosa Jr.	Philippines	2021-04-22
Owen Humphries	London, UK	2021-04-22
Виктория Логинова	Russia	2021-04-22
Connie Pottle	Columbus, OH	2021-04-22
hannah Farato	Haarlem, Netherlands	2021-04-22
MIL User 11 Tungkop	Philippines	2021-04-22
LJ Roberts	Brooklyn, NY	2021-04-22
Simone Meentzen	Radebeul, Germany	2021-04-22

Name	Location	Date
Jonathan Harmer	Gardnerville, US	2021-04-22
bellinda rolf-jansen	Wijk Bij Duurstede, US	2021-04-22
Michelle Terry	Plymouth, MA	2021-04-22
Dustin Dis	Brooklyn, NY	2021-04-22
Deborah Hopkins	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-22
Giovanny Betancourt	Lake Worth, US	2021-04-22
Pi Nas	Karanganyar, Indonesia	2021-04-22
Raphaël PONCE	Aucamville, France	2021-04-22
Aida Nobari	Tampere, Finland	2021-04-22
Cindy Hassell	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-22
Sara O'Connor	Austin, TX	2021-04-22
Biljana Jovanovic	wien, Austria	2021-04-22
annabella confora	Havertown, US	2021-04-22
Jack Cook	29 Palms, CA	2021-04-22
Joshua Curphey	Peterborough, UK	2021-04-22
Jo-Anne Watts	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-22
Airin Safastya	Jakarta, Indonesia	2021-04-22
Pamela Hill	High River, Canada	2021-04-22
Quinn Brett	Estes Park, CO	2021-04-22
Jean Whitcomb	Harrisville, NH	2021-04-22
shush plus	Ahwaz, Iran	2021-04-22
Carolina Blair	West Covina, US	2021-04-22

Name	Location	Date
Bonnie Reiss	Anaheim, CA	2021-04-22
Nate Pakula	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-22
Maureen Russell	Attleboro, MA	2021-04-22
Katherine Iussier	Joshua tree, CA	2021-04-22
Rachel Lancaster	North Olmsted, US	2021-04-22
Tammy Freeman	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-22
Denise Yu	Baliuag, Philippines	2021-04-22
Dan Joeright	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-22
Kathy Tobias	Augusta, US	2021-04-22
Karen Singer	Potomac, US	2021-04-22
Paula Rivera	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-22
tori kuzumai	Osaka, US	2021-04-22
Patricia McAuley	Newport Beach, US	2021-04-22
Richard Williams	Philadelphia, US	2021-04-22
Samme Chittum	Pioneertown, CA	2021-04-22
Oscar Westenkirchner	Kansas City, US	2021-04-22
amanda blake	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-22
bh kraft	Drachten, Netherlands	2021-04-22
Keri Coupland	Arlington, US	2021-04-22
Imani S	New York, US	2021-04-22
Heidi Pieper	Flamingo Heights, CA	2021-04-22
brooke smiley	Goleta, CA	2021-04-22

Name	Location	Date
Rachael Davis	Cincinnati, US	2021-04-22
Gabby Mckenna	Houston, US	2021-04-22
Sophie Oril	Lancaster, US	2021-04-22
Jacob Lebron	Kirkland, US	2021-04-22
marissa martinez	US	2021-04-22
Jasmine Wright	Philadelphia, US	2021-04-22
Violeta Johnson	Auburn, US	2021-04-22
Mark spencer Renejane	Orlando, US	2021-04-22
Dean Pieper	Orange, CA	2021-04-22
alexa myers	Las Vegas, US	2021-04-22
Slagjana Jovanova	Kavadarci, Macedonia (FYROM)	2021-04-22
Wilson Freer	Missoula, US	2021-04-22
Sergio Lopez	Daly City, US	2021-04-22
Mariko Soto	Berkeley, US	2021-04-22
Lorraine Barrientos	South Gate, CA	2021-04-22
Barbara Teyke	Henderson, US	2021-04-22
victoria riviere	key west, FL	2021-04-22
Khasiah Paige	San Antonio, US	2021-04-22
Alana Levy	Dundalk, US	2021-04-22
tara wheeler	Oakton, US	2021-04-22
Mary Knuth	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-22
Penny Mesme	London, England, UK	2021-04-22

Name	Location	Date
macy maxwell	US	2021-04-22
Adriana Perez	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-22
Sally Morten	Flintham, UK	2021-04-22
Stefen Vasquez	Corona, CA	2021-04-22
Perry Gx	Tustin, US	2021-04-22
CRISTINA AGUIRRE	Argentina	2021-04-22
Jaxon Baer	Santa Clara, US	2021-04-22
Constance Rector	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-22
Anya Mascarenhas	Mount Dora, US	2021-04-22
Michael Sutton	Waynesville, US	2021-04-22
Stephanie Andrews	Yucca valley, CA	2021-04-22
Samuel Willits	Cedar Rapids, US	2021-04-22
Stephanie Rodriguez	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-22
Salina Kumari	Wolverhampton, UK	2021-04-22
Jackson Lyons	West Des Moines, US	2021-04-22
Robert Harris	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-22
Tatjana Barkovic	Auckland, New Zealand	2021-04-22
Sharla Ensle	Austin, TX	2021-04-22
Erin Africa	Canyon Country, CA	2021-04-22
Ezra Snider	Yorba Linda, US	2021-04-22
Grace Bench	East Brunswick, NJ	2021-04-22
Patrice Wallace	Santa Cruz, CA	2021-04-22

Name	Location	Date
Marta Galicia	Galicia, Spain	2021-04-22
Edward Markushewski	Huntsville, AL	2021-04-22
César Retana-Holguín	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-22
Kelly Cole	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-22
Molly Kearns	Orrington, US	2021-04-22
Kelly Corbin	Twentynine Palms, CA	2021-04-22
Laura Densmore	Riverside, CA	2021-04-22
DONNA Leavitt	Toms River, NJ	2021-04-22
Veva Harguindeguy	Crossville, TN	2021-04-22
Susan Carlough	Easton, PA	2021-04-22
Cheri Kunz	Redmond, WA	2021-04-22
Somer Healey	Glendale, AZ	2021-04-22
trinity ford	Millington, US	2021-04-22
Karen De Haan	Dunedin, New Zealand	2021-04-22
Carlos Caminos	Mérida, Venezuela	2021-04-22
Brandon Ruitter	Pasadena, CA	2021-04-22
Naoh Goldthwaite	Quincy, MA	2021-04-22
Jana Clark	Bronx, US	2021-04-22
Arianna Delgado	Lima, Peru	2021-04-22
bozena fryzowski	old bridge, NJ	2021-04-22
Jodi Igard	Studio City, CA	2021-04-22
Michelle Montemayor	Twenty-nine Palms, CA	2021-04-22

Name	Location	Date
Faris Eskombaji	Fort Lee, US	2021-04-22
Emmanuela Compiani	US	2021-04-22
Heather Muir	Austin, TX	2021-04-22
Sam Chaudhri	Manchester, England, UK	2021-04-22
Farrah Hassen	Sacramento, CA	2021-04-22
Sonia Cornejo	Arequipa, Peru	2021-04-22
Karen Baka	Cass City, MI	2021-04-22
Kari Stringer	Minneapolis, MN	2021-04-22
Franco Villegas-Garin	Tampa, US	2021-04-22
Karver Murphy	Flagstaff, AZ	2021-04-22
Kolton Graves	Crozet, US	2021-04-22
Chris Orozco	Fullerton, US	2021-04-22
Sue King	Springdale, AR	2021-04-22
Stormie Ingram	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-22
Manuel Pena	Los Angeles, US	2021-04-22
Esther Garvett	Miami, FL	2021-04-22
John Thomas	Placentia, CA	2021-04-22
Robert Thomas	Placentia, CA	2021-04-23
Etzar Cisneros	Birmingham, AL	2021-04-23
david catching	joshua tree, CA	2021-04-23
Judy Kushner	Elmont, NY	2021-04-23
Gabi Johnson	Minneapolis, US	2021-04-23

Name	Location	Date
Isabella Verdugo	Portland, US	2021-04-23
Rebeckah Biddick	New Zealand	2021-04-23
Muhammad Nurr Syaifullah	Surabaya, Indonesia	2021-04-23
doria wosk	miami, FL	2021-04-23
Kaitlyn Kunkel	Fargo, US	2021-04-23
Rebekah Hayes	Anaheim, CA	2021-04-23
Jaden Gatzke	New York, US	2021-04-23
vincent cherian	ronkonkoma, NY	2021-04-23
Francis Power	Riverside, CA	2021-04-23
TJ Aflaki	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-23
Kait Robin	Tulare, CA	2021-04-23
Joshua Vogt	Norfolk, VA	2021-04-23
sisi robin	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-23
Nick Garabedian	Trabuco Canyon, US	2021-04-23
Marshall Henley	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-23
Brady Enger	Big Bear City, CA	2021-04-23
Ron Dudley	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-23
Dawn Albanese	Bensenville, IL	2021-04-23
sherrie king	Torrance, US	2021-04-23
Urbiegato Morbidendus	Gilbert, AZ	2021-04-23
Shayna Garcia	San Pedro, CA	2021-04-23
Liz Wyatt	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-23

Name	Location	Date
Jada Tremble	Atlanta, US	2021-04-23
Danna Dearborn	Kittery Point, ME	2021-04-23
natalie peterson	San Diego, US	2021-04-23
Christina Garcia	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-23
Chad Liscinsky	San Francisco, CA	2021-04-23
Gwen Schiff	Palmdale, CA	2021-04-23
Andres Larranaga	Norwalk, CA	2021-04-23
Ina Dow	Ridgway, US	2021-04-23
Richard Reece	Waynesboro, GA	2021-04-23
Ilse Spiegel	Brooklyn, NY	2021-04-23
Erica Hennings	Phoenix, AZ	2021-04-23
ANGANA CLASS NUMBER 06	Philippines	2021-04-23
Victor Romero	Banning, CA	2021-04-23
madi messingschlager	Fort Lauderdale, US	2021-04-23
Kate Harder	Glen Ellyn, IL	2021-04-23
Maggie K	Hawthorne, CA	2021-04-23
Robert Lombardi	Brooklyn, NY	2021-04-23
Heather Davis	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-23
Steven Ramar	Hyannis, MA	2021-04-23
Paul Blackburn	Elizabethtown, KY	2021-04-23
Finley B'Hymer	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-23
Selma Elita	Bogor, Indonesia	2021-04-23

Name	Location	Date
Jesstin Ramos	Bell Gardens, CA	2021-04-23
MIL User 72 Boystown	Philippines	2021-04-23
Iusienne loopstok	savaneta, Aruba	2021-04-23
Lauretta Padgett	Sullivan, IN	2021-04-23
Laurie Cocheo	Miami, FL	2021-04-23
ashle meyer	San Francisco, CA	2021-04-23
Alyssa Lawrence	College Station, US	2021-04-23
Nina Kemppainen	Oxford, UK	2021-04-23
Cade Herman	Oak Ridge, NJ	2021-04-23
MIL User 20 Boystown	Philippines	2021-04-23
Tami Lukachy	Henderson, US	2021-04-23
Clare Lowe	Gabriola, Canada	2021-04-23
Steve O'Donoghue	Twentynine Palms, CA	2021-04-23
Teresa Beason	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-23
Trinity Mecham	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-23
MIL USER 1 BOYSTOWN	Philippines	2021-04-23
Ingrid Eiserbeck	Maxhütte, DE	2021-04-23
cedric cinco Boystown	Philippines	2021-04-23
MIL User 38 Boystown	Philippines	2021-04-23
Alan Hembra	Phoenix, CA	2021-04-23
Sheila Miller	Longmeadow, MA	2021-04-23
Briseida Urena	Morong Valley, CA	2021-04-23

Name	Location	Date
Elaine Fischer	Roanoke, VA	2021-04-23
Jhon Kevin Codoy	Philippines	2021-04-23
David Fehrenbacher	Palm Desert, CA	2021-04-23
MIL User 7 Boystown	Philippines	2021-04-23
Brian Healey	US	2021-04-23
Alvord Skinner	Ridgecrest, CA	2021-04-23
Ioana Truta	Timisoara, Romania	2021-04-23
Dragana R. Spasovski	kumanovo, Macedonia (FYROM)	2021-04-23
Guido Seinoja	Viljandi, Estonia	2021-04-23
Crystal Hart	Leesburgh, US	2021-04-23
Tyler Boudreaux	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-23
pablo bobo	Argentina	2021-04-23
Herbert Staniek	Vienna, Austria	2021-04-23
Kyliemera Nema Kapiri	Vanuatu	2021-04-23
Diane Fearn	Birmingham, UK	2021-04-23
Elaine Cheney	London, England, UK	2021-04-23
Rebecca Smith	Sale, Australia	2021-04-23
Lorna Hill	Houston, TX	2021-04-23
Jewel Rankel	Whippany, US	2021-04-23
tanja israel	Mahwah, US	2021-04-23
Darlene Kennedy	Titusville, FL	2021-04-23
Lukas Capon-Fraga	Whitinsville, MA	2021-04-23

Name	Location	Date
Jim Takahashi	Christchurch, New Zealand	2021-04-23
Mirjam Seinoja	Viljandi, Estonia	2021-04-23
Diana Ramirez	Staten Island, NY	2021-04-23
george bourlotos	belleville, NJ	2021-04-23
Jackelyn Aflaki	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-23
Matthias Dylgat	Harelbeke, Belgium	2021-04-23
Ann Marie Zinowich	Seymour, CT	2021-04-23
Piers Gunter	LOS ANGELES, CA	2021-04-23
Carl Balaoro	Quezon City, Philippines	2021-04-23
Jozko Kukuricny	Novy Oldrichov, Czech Republic	2021-04-23
Ramona Brownson	Elizabeth, CO	2021-04-23
Louise Chicoine	Landers, CA	2021-04-23
Corey Mays	Silver Spring, US	2021-04-23
Omar Tovar	Cicero, US	2021-04-23
David Evans	Tustin, CA	2021-04-23
Lacy Tavzel	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-23
Jeffrey Sanchez	Sacramento, US	2021-04-23
Kristy McKee	San Luis Obispo, CA	2021-04-23
Jamie Ludovise	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-23
Spencer Keizer	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-23
Cameron Sims	Cleveland, US	2021-04-23
Kari Turner	Desert Hot Springs, CA	2021-04-23

Name	Location	Date
carina colombe	Rockland, MA	2021-04-23
Debora Yenny S.R	Tangerang, Indonesia	2021-04-23
Tania Hammidi	Joshua Tree, AZ	2021-04-23
Pearl Charles	Landers, CA	2021-04-23
Albert Clark	Miami, FL	2021-04-23
Michael Rault	Landers, CA	2021-04-23
Lynn Ballen	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-23
Alexander Putnik	Boynton Beach, FL	2021-04-23
Themelina Haralabakis	Brookhaven, US	2021-04-23
Irene Karson	Palos Verdes Peninsula, CA	2021-04-23
Lily Haskell	Fort Lauderdale, CA	2021-04-23
Kathryn Knowlton	Bountiful, UT	2021-04-23
Kevin Graves	Mammoth Lakes, CA	2021-04-23
Pamela Cottrell	Truckee, CA	2021-04-23
Amber Cauble	Vallejo, CA	2021-04-23
Louise Gaul	Big Pine, CA	2021-04-23
Rebecca Tanner	Burbank, CA	2021-04-23
Samantha Turetsky	Ormond Beach, FL	2021-04-23
Carrie Bissmeyer	yucca valley, CA	2021-04-23
Reno Lott	Twentynine Palms, CA	2021-04-23
Bill Gilman	Long Beach, CA	2021-04-23
Emily Vogel-Shea	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-23

Name	Location	Date
Amanda Taylor	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-23
Reine Botros	Tel Aviv, Israel	2021-04-23
John Shippling	Morongo Valley, CA	2021-04-23
sylvie auger	Trois-Rivières, Canada	2021-04-23
Naomi Schut	Bonaire, Caribbean Netherlands	2021-04-23
Dora Novak	Zagreb, Croatia	2021-04-23
Peter Nichols	Landers, CA	2021-04-23
Ugh yourats	Miami, US	2021-04-23
Mya D	Martinsburg, US	2021-04-23
Tony Yeary	Arcadia, CA	2021-04-23
Karen Kupcha	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-23
Hailee Maxfield	Walla Walla, US	2021-04-23
Милена Димишковска	Skopje, Macedonia (FYROM)	2021-04-23
Jessie Southan	Dudley, UK	2021-04-23
James Phelps	St. Louis, MO	2021-04-23
Jackie Samallo	Valley Village, CA	2021-04-23
Cindy Cruz	Tucson, AZ	2021-04-23
Mike Kutchman	Queens, NY	2021-04-23
Carol Hiestand	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-23
Albar Rochmab	Jakarta, Indonesia	2021-04-23
Sandra Hartojo	North Hollywood, CA	2021-04-23
Jack Lindahl	Huntington Beach, CA	2021-04-23

Name	Location	Date
Kathy Miller	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-23
Catherine Bass	West Chicago, US	2021-04-23
Sylvia Mills	Fairfax, VA	2021-04-23
Delijha Arias	Maywood, US	2021-04-23
Melissa Chandra	North Hollywood, CA	2021-04-23
Terrie Phenicie	Silver Cliff, WI	2021-04-23
steve phenicie	silvercliff, WI	2021-04-23
Emily Truman	Brighton, UK	2021-04-23
Grace Silva	North Hollwyood, CA	2021-04-23
Colleen Hake	Philadelphia, PA	2021-04-23
Hannah Shi	Oklahoma City, US	2021-04-24
Edi Sutoyo	Pekanbaru, Indonesia	2021-04-24
Don Wilson	Tucson, AZ	2021-04-24
Charles Hudspeth	Pleasantville, US	2021-04-24
Srinivasa Cheemakurthi	Littleton, US	2021-04-24
Allyson Bills	La Habra, AZ	2021-04-24
yusvina qoriaturrahma	Indonesia	2021-04-24
Elliott Van Fleet	Pioneertown, CA	2021-04-24
Kelly Burns	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-24
Loisa Bartlett	Morongo Valley, CA	2021-04-24
Erfin Hartojo	Valley Village, CA	2021-04-24
Petra Cimbalova	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-24

Name	Location	Date
Kate O'Connell	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-24
Patricia Fetters	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-24
Brian Dibb	Nevada city, CA	2021-04-24
Sallyecker Decker	Oakland, CA	2021-04-24
Fikri Naufal Anwari	Indonesia	2021-04-24
JULIA BALLARD	BROOMFIELD, CO	2021-04-24
Jeena Choi	US	2021-04-24
Janine Vinton	Hastings, Australia	2021-04-24
Mitch Miller	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-24
Alyssa Lovell	Florence, MA	2021-04-24
Birgül Aslan	Istanbul, Turkey	2021-04-24
Germaine Cook	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-24
Caroline Sidi	Oakland, CA	2021-04-24
Andy P	Homestead, US	2021-04-24
Katie Swinburne	Pioneertown, CA	2021-04-24
Janae Taylor	Allston, MA	2021-04-24
Jen Michael	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-24
Efik Mulyati	Kota Bandung - Sukasari, Indonesia	2021-04-24
Kirsten Nantz	Sandy Springs, GA	2021-04-24
Marla McFadin	EUGENE, OR	2021-04-24
Kathleen Kilpatrick	Warren, US	2021-04-24
Magdalena Walfridsson	Svalöv, Sweden	2021-04-24

Name	Location	Date
Hanna Tsirikidis	Amsterdam, Netherlands	2021-04-24
Carl Schoeneman	Twentynine Palms, CA	2021-04-24
Annalee Osborn	Oceanside, CA	2021-04-24
Frances Mackiewicz	Beachwood, NJ	2021-04-24
Roger Kulp	Albuquerque, NM	2021-04-24
Tracy Robert	Newport Beach, CA	2021-04-24
Tracy Wright	Arvada, CO	2021-04-24
Pat Horwath	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-24
Kashmira Kadam	Westlake, US	2021-04-24
Dale Barrett	Reno, NV	2021-04-24
S R	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-24
Kim Perrin	Santa Ysabel, CA	2021-04-24
Sue Lengvarsky	Vanderbilt, US	2021-04-24
Taylor Leffler	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-24
Rhonda Hylton	Charlotte, NC	2021-04-24
Edgar Rodriguez	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-24
karen roseme	poway, CA	2021-04-24
Holly Newton	Murrieta, US	2021-04-24
Julianne Koza	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-24
Theresa Donaghy	Chicago, IL	2021-04-24
Terrie Williams	Vidor, India	2021-04-24
Russell Christiano	Hickory, US	2021-04-24

Name	Location	Date
Amanda BHymer	JT, CA	2021-04-24
Andre Ferro	Helmond, Netherlands	2021-04-24
Shena Allen	Pleasant Hill, CA	2021-04-24
Tracey Hansen	Providence, RI	2021-04-24
Savannah Sterling	Schuylerville, US	2021-04-24
Cynthia Pellettiere	Seattle, WA	2021-04-24
Darcy Castillo	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-24
Bartosz Dembowski	Leeds, UK	2021-04-24
Owen Balduf	Granite Bay, CA	2021-04-24
Lisa Garibaldi	Long Beach, CA	2021-04-24
Melanie Izquierdo	US	2021-04-24
Janice Lobo	Madikeri, India	2021-04-24
Loretta Coleman	Orlando, FL	2021-04-24
Chris Bowden	Seattle, WA	2021-04-24
Ramon Viso	Tucson, AZ	2021-04-24
Allison Gilbraith	Las Vegas, US	2021-04-24
Samantha Basham	Kalispell, US	2021-04-24
Zoey Sengadara	US	2021-04-24
Leyzar Ferran	Bronx, US	2021-04-24
Evan Lutz	Oakland, CA	2021-04-24
Angela Cunningham	Covtree, US	2021-04-24
Marths Baker	Twentynine Palms, CA	2021-04-24

Name	Location	Date
Teena Blakley	Phoenix, AZ	2021-04-24
Joshua Walker	Colorado Springs, CO	2021-04-24
marie cartier	lakewood, CA	2021-04-24
Jocelyn Bock	Nashville, US	2021-04-24
Amy Campbell	Knoxville, US	2021-04-24
Kevin Walsh	Silver Spring, MD	2021-04-24
Cak Saifudin	Mojokerto, Indonesia	2021-04-24
Dawn Scott	San Francisco, CA	2021-04-24
Ojulu Cham	Lancaster, US	2021-04-24
Esmeralda Freydont	Van Nuys, US	2021-04-24
Judith Wold	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-24
Juliann Emmons Allison	Riverside, CA	2021-04-24
E. N. Anderson	Riverside, CA	2021-04-24
Maman Sumantri	Jakarta, Indonesia	2021-04-24
Julie Scott	Artesia, CA	2021-04-25
Melinda Tambunan	Pangaribuan, Indonesia	2021-04-25
Mary Becraft	Colton, CA	2021-04-25
Zoe Blasingame	Cincinnati, US	2021-04-25
Peter Berggren	Fullerton, CA	2021-04-25
Adrian Adrian	Medan, Indonesia	2021-04-25
Sue Henley	Glendale, AZ	2021-04-25
Sayuri Doi	Seattle, WA	2021-04-25

Name	Location	Date
Perry Hoffman	Santa Barbara, CA	2021-04-25
Michael J. Potter	Ann Arbor, MI	2021-04-25
Charlotte Keyes	Anaheim, CA	2021-04-25
Lim Hock an An	Malaysia	2021-04-25
Meredith Kuchon	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-25
Sara Adams	Madisonville, KY	2021-04-25
Olivia Beall	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-25
Manar Hijaz	Rancho Cucamonga, CA	2021-04-25
Josh Lattanzi	Brooklyn, NY	2021-04-25
Peggy Lee Kennedy	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-25
theo de wert	Best, Netherlands	2021-04-25
Steven Belford	Bishop, CA	2021-04-25
Irene Waldron	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-25
Susan Bradley	Desert Hot Springs, CA	2021-04-25
Doug Harder	Glen Ellyn, IL	2021-04-25
McKenna Webster	Mount Vernon, US	2021-04-25
Suzanne Dovi	Tucson, AZ	2021-04-25
Kelly Graham	Twentynine Palms, CA	2021-04-25
Matthew Miller	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-25
Marietta Terrill	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-25
Carolyn Rice	Santa Barbara, CA	2021-04-25
Teresa Barbee	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-25

Name	Location	Date
Maria Montanez	Rancho Cucamonga, CA	2021-04-25
Gail Southard	Inverness, FL	2021-04-25
Brina Hernandez	Bakersfield, US	2021-04-25
Ann Rieser	Seattle, WA	2021-04-25
Allan Songer	Pipes Canyon, CA	2021-04-25
7layers ofsky	Indonesia	2021-04-25
Ismani Cepeda	Boston, US	2021-04-25
Nolan Wyatt	Freehold, NJ	2021-04-25
Ava Munoz	Boise, US	2021-04-25
Jill Brown	Joshua tree, CA	2021-04-25
Beauchamp Fontaine Hansen	Glendale, CA	2021-04-25
Maria Nay	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-25
Sara Gardner Gail	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-25
Brian Bailey	Riverside, CA	2021-04-25
Isadora Fernandes	Millbrae, CA	2021-04-25
Ava Young	Williamsburg, US	2021-04-25
Kristen Gassner	Los Angeles, US	2021-04-25
Will Hyde	Spring, TX	2021-04-25
L Ablan	Vineyard Haven, MA	2021-04-25
Alanna Patch	Tuscaloosa, US	2021-04-25
Staci Hall	Palm Springs, CA	2021-04-25
Ellen Brill	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-25

Name	Location	Date
Kevin Pujol	Visalia, US	2021-04-25
Camille Powell	Miami, US	2021-04-25
Doran Shelley	San Francisco, CA	2021-04-25
Ribin Mayer	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-25
Joshua Larkin	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-25
Sharon Lindgren	Loma Rica, CA	2021-04-25
Yana Nirvana	Stevenville, MT	2021-04-25
Gail Anne-Wilderson	Desert Hot Springs, CA	2021-04-25
Lina Gasca	Palmdale, CA	2021-04-26
Jessy Ware	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-26
Aläya Cormier	Eunice, US	2021-04-26
isabella galovich	Sacramento, US	2021-04-26
ROSE CEFALU	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-26
Wyatt Meli	Yucca valley, CA	2021-04-26
Weston Capela	Artesia, CA	2021-04-26
Aspen Albright	Millersville, US	2021-04-26
beth dolos	ashland, OR	2021-04-26
Elizabeth Burns	Landers, CA	2021-04-26
Kandice Adams	Lucerne Valley, CA	2021-04-26
halley slack	San Bernardino, CA	2021-04-26
brianna b	Brooklyn, US	2021-04-26
Matthew Adams	Landers, CA	2021-04-26

Name	Location	Date
Ramona Arechiga	Yucca valley, CA	2021-04-26
Reiko Roberts	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-26
Gary Alan Hall	Squaw Valley, CA	2021-04-26
elisabeth stewart	venice, CA	2021-04-26
Michael Stoner	Rockport, US	2021-04-26
Carla Repsold	Austin, US	2021-04-26
Tawnja Pflueger	Chico, CA	2021-04-26
Alejandro Penalva Martinez	US	2021-04-26
Carolina Mendiguren	kew gardens, NY	2021-04-26
Maike Both	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-26
Sterling Wilson	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-26
Jerie Kelter	Southampton, NY	2021-04-26
Sharlay Mamoe	Petersburg, AK	2021-04-26
Steve Egerton	Fallbrook, US	2021-04-26
Lenore Black	Markham, Canada	2021-04-26
James Yopez	Klamath Falls, OR	2021-04-26
Jordan Lightner	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-26
Russel Waldron	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-26
Anne Miettinen	Tampere, Finland	2021-04-26
Karla Sanchez	El Paso, US	2021-04-26
Ollin Trujillo	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-26
JOHN NOBLE	Newbury Park, CA	2021-04-26

Name	Location	Date
LeeAnn Leeper	San Francisco, US	2021-04-26
Jeremy Dauphinais	Tustin, CA	2021-04-26
Karina Aragon	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-26
Tina Heringer	Oakland, CA	2021-04-26
Anneliese Thomas	Wales north, UK	2021-04-26
scanlon gail	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-26
Heidi Siebens	Muizen, Belgium	2021-04-26
Cyndi Anderson	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-26
Kaly Jin	Guelph, Canada	2021-04-26
Thorvald Jacobson	Whitewater, CA	2021-04-26
Eva Palafox	Orlando, US	2021-04-26
Ting Chin	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-26
Secret Wimberly	Champlin, MN	2021-04-26
Lynda Coulter	Grass Valley, CA	2021-04-26
Shawn Smith	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-26
Jennifer Brannon	Central Point, OR	2021-04-26
Conrad Evans	Eveleth, MN	2021-04-26
Grant Kee	Cerritos, CA	2021-04-26
Save the Earth	US	2021-04-26
Angelina Capela	Yucca Valley, CA	2021-04-26
Steve Coover	Los Angeles, CA	2021-04-26
Glenn Ware	Joshua Tree, CA	2021-04-26

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: caroline partamian <caroline.partamian@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 22, 2021 9:37 PM
To: Morrissey , Jim
Subject: PROJ-2020-00191 Parcel no. 0629-181-01

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Jim Morrissey,

My name is Caroline Partamian and I live in Flamingo Heights directly on the south end of the proposed project in question. Currently [our petition #saveflamingoheights](#) has nearly 2,300 signatures and this number is growing every day. I hope that, in addition to the comments you are receiving daily in your inbox, you consider the weight of opposition against this project and decide to abandon moving forward with a project that would be absolutely detrimental to our community. I am, as a community member, deeply saddened and frustrated with the lack of SB County listening to their community. I am sure you are aware from the emails and calls you have been receiving, there are serious ecological and safety concerns associated with this development as proposed, as well as a tremendous impact on our lifestyle as homeowners who intentionally bought land in an area zoned as Rural Living. This project would only provide short term benefits for the wealthy few, but the water table at a record low, fire scares at an all time high, and our natural resources pulled to the end of their limbs, our currently beautiful desert will have nothing to offer in a few years. If we do not preserve it now, there will be nothing for us to admire in the future. Below, I will include some of the most serious areas of concern relating to this project in a hope to illustrate just how much negative impact this project (as proposed so far) would have on our community:

Destruction of wildlife and habitat: This is one of the most important and serious issues, especially after getting the impression that these developers are ignoring the fact that there are a myriad of protected and vulnerable species of wildlife in this area as well as a major fault line. Again, this is important habitat for many species, including desert tortoise which I have encountered on this site this year (state endangered), western Joshua tree (state threatened), western burrowing owl (California Species of Special Concern), migratory birds (protected under the migratory bird treaty act) and other wildlife, including desert kit fox, mountain lion. Creosote rings and Mojave yucca clonal rings are present as well, some of them potentially thousands of years old. In fact, That whole area sits in a BLM Area of Critical Environmental Control, and therefore all the plants, all the animals, everything are under ACEC protection. In the letter of intent for the project dated March 29, 2021, it states "Some of the existing Joshua Trees may be removed to accommodate the project." This is deeply troubling and concerning and would need clarification and a require a new and independent inspection carried out by an organization not associated with the developers. The 2006 biological survey of the project area found the majority of threatened desert tortoise signs were found in the area of the project that will be developed. A total of 86% of the tortoises, 96% of the burrows, 95% of fresh scat and 98% of older scat, and 100% of tortoise tracks, were found in the area planned for development. The desert tortoise is not the only threatened species found on the site if that report is to be believed. However, a 2020 biological survey reported no tortoise occurrences on the site or adjacent to it (according to the 2020 report, nothing evidently lives on the site). According to the SB County's Department of Public Works "it is unlawful to touch, harm, harass or collect a wild desert tortoise." This contradiction requires further investigation prior to approval. A significant number of special-status bird species were also identified on-site, which is home as well to migratory birds. As few Joshua trees should be removed or relocated as is possible. With glamping sites, one should be able to move them a few feet in any direction to avoid destroying Joshua trees. However, plans reveal many Joshua trees will be removed or destroyed if the resort is built according to plan.

Fire Safety and Air Quality: Living on the edge of this wash, I have to be constantly vigilant about the wind, which has recently reached upwards of 80mph with gusts coming up through the wash. Having tourists from out of town who don't have the same knowledge or sensitivity to the area would pose serious risk to my home, my neighbors homes, as well as the natural area with access to fire pits. California's most recent fire season was one of the worst we've had yet - not only was the AQI at a record high but there was ash falling from the sky covering our outdoor furniture - and this was from fires more than 20 miles away. We can only imagine what a fire next door to our home will do. How would this be controlled and supervised with over 70 guests making fires nightly? How will we be able to control what people are burning (ie trash/chemically treated wood)?

Parcel Zoned as RL: This project in its proposed vastness would undoubtedly add tremendous noise and light pollution to a serene rural area. Also, the language used in the proposal makes it clear that this would not be a "campsite," but rather a private resort with amenities such as art barns, restaurant services, and even a helipad. These things clearly do not belong in any area unless they are zoned as commercial. A deeper concern to residents who do not live directly adjacent to this project area are the implications that will arise if this development succeeds as planned, and we begin to see other RL areas being developed by tourism enterprises which in no way serve the community.

Water Supply: Who will be supplying the site with water? Can we afford a swimming pool and service for hundreds of visitors daily as the water table has hit record low levels?

Wastewater: Plans suggest that wastewater will be directed to a leach field on the property, north of the resort. A stormwater retention basin will be sited immediately east of the sewage disposal leach field. What volume of waste water is projected to be disposed of via this leach field (considering perhaps 200-300 guests in a typical weekend in season)? Are hydrology studies available that ensure this large addition of wastewater won't infiltrate into neighbors' wells (there are at least 61 domestic wells within a few miles downstream) or the aquifer serving Bighorn Desert View Water Agency? Would stormwater capture from the project's hardened surfaces accentuate this percolation of sewage?

Noise and light: Will the entire facility be available for rent to one party for special events? Will concerts or festivals be planned here? How many helicopter landings/departures are envisioned per month? Studies of potential noise levels should be undertaken. Lighting sufficient for hundreds of guests, plus ambient light from lodging units, fires, visitor headlights, party lights, and other sources of illumination are likely to pose a substantial addition to light trespass in this relatively dark area, posing threats to safety, wildlife, and quiet enjoyment by neighbors.

Traffic safety: Another huge personal concern because I use this road daily and from personal experience know that the turn across the highway on La Brisa is already a dangerous turn through traffic moving at high speeds. Old Woman Springs Road is a dangerous highway, more so when crowded. In the last five years there have been more than a dozen accidents in the stretch of highway between Aberdeen and Reche that were serious enough to have had first responder involvement. Adding more than 100 cars exiting and entering the highway here during busy hours will prove dangerous, especially given drivers who pick up speed once they emerge from Pipes Canyon south of the project. A thorough traffic study, dedicated turn lanes, and perhaps a stop light should be considered if the project goes forward. The likelihood of extra costs to the county for first responders should be assessed.

I demand you do a traffic report.

As a Rural Living community, we do not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel" in a rural zoned area that would do nothing to our community except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park. I also know that MDLT has designated this area a top priority to buy at Fair Market Value because of its ecological importance - This should be strongly considered and would be the most ideal option for myself and others who live in the immediate area.

We demand:

- [a CEQA report.](#)
- *an Environmental Impact Report*

We would like to see:

- Tax dollars going back to this community.
- Restaurants developed and funded in Downtown Yucca Valley for various economic statuses. The high desert is a food desert. All we have are fast food chains. Give more money back into the community by funding small business owners and talented chefs with the funds to start their own restaurants in town. Downtown Yucca Valley is a great potential area for new businesses and young business owners as tourists have to drive through it on the way to Joshua Tree National Park.
- Build this in an area zoned for commercial use.

Jim, I urge you to truly and thoughtfully listen to the members of our community as well as the data and evidence that shows how careless and dangerous this project would be for us call this stretch of the desert our home and love it. I can't fully express in this email how truly devastating this would be and how disappointed i feel that the county and town of Yucca Valley is considering this as an option. I hope you can stand with us in opposition to this project.

Best,
Caroline Partamian



Via email

April 26, 2021

Jim Morrissey
Contract Planner
San Bernardino County
jim.morrissey@lus.sbcounty.gov

Re: Flamingo 640, Project # PROJ-2020-00191, Assessor Parcel Number (APN) 0629-181-01

Dear Mr. Morrissey,

The Center for Biological Diversity submits the following comments on the above referenced Project. The Center has reviewed available documents associated with the Project and is concerned about the Project's significant impacts to biological resources, air quality, traffic and seismic safety, greenhouse gas emissions and other significant impacts. The Center believes the project can only lawfully be approved - if at all - following preparation of an Environmental Impact Report (EIR), the project proponent's mitigation of all significant impacts, and the receipt of required authorizations under the state and federal Endangered Species Acts for the desert tortoise and western Joshua tree. However, given the highly sensitive resources on site, as well as the Project's location in a recognized and regionally significant wildlife corridor, we have difficulty seeing how a Project of this scale can proceed in a manner that meets all legal requirements. We therefore recommend the County reject the request for a conditional use permit (CUP) for the project and steer the proponent to a less sensitive site if they wish to develop a similar project to that proposed in their application materials.

According to a March 29, 2021 letter of intent, the Project proponent describes the proposed development as follows:

Development of a new destination resort consisting of clustered tent camping (glamping) with support facilities including restaurant/bar, reception area/store, trails/paths, recreation buildings/area. The proposal also includes a helipad, wet and dry utilities (including an on-site package treatment plant with leach field). All uses proposed in this project will be for the private use of guests staying at the site. No public access will be allowed. In addition, some of the existing Joshua Trees may be removed to accommodate the project.

The letter of intent further states that development would consist of 75 sites, house more than 200 guests when fully booked, have 50 employees on site along with a 350-space parking area.

Given the scale of the proposed development in relation to existing zoning and land uses, as well as the resources that will be impacted by it, we would expect the County to analyze the impacts of Project in an EIR rather than a Negative Declaration. Not only is this a prudent path for the County to take; it is required by law.

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) was enacted to "[e]nsure that the long-term protection of the environment shall be the guiding criterion in public decisions." (*No Oil, Inc. v. City of Los Angeles* (1974) 13 Cal. 3d 68, 74.) CEQA also serves "to demonstrate to an apprehensive citizenry that the agency has, in fact, analyzed and considered the ecological implications of its action." (*Laurel Heights Improvement Ass'n v. Regents of Univ. of Cal.*, 47 Cal. 3d 376, 392 (1988) ("*Laurel Heights I*").) A public

agency is required to prepare a detailed EIR when a project *may* have a significant impact on the environment. (Pub. Resources Code §§ 21100, 21151; CEQA Guidelines § 15064 subds. (a)(1), (f)(1); *Communities for a Better Env't v. South Coast Air Quality Mgmt. Dist.* (2010) 48 Cal. 4th 310, 319.) Only where the record shows *no* substantial evidence of a significant impact may an agency prepare a Negative Declaration or Mitigated Negative Declaration. (Pub. Resources Code §§ 21064.5, 21080(c); Guidelines §§ 15006 subd. (h), 15064 subd. (f)(2), 15070 subd. (b), 15369.5.) Where the record contains conflicting evidence regarding the significance of the environmental impacts of a project, an EIR must be prepared. (*Architectural Heritage Assn. v. County of Monterey* (2004) 122 Cal. App. 4th at 1109-1110.) Consequently, where, as here, a project would have significant environmental impacts, CEQA requires the preparation of a detailed EIR.

Impacts from the Project on traffic, public safety, noise, the night sky, hydrology and flood risk, fire risk, air quality and greenhouse emissions, and numerous other factors are readily apparent given the type, location and scale of the project. Any one of these factors alone is sufficient to warrant preparation of an EIR. If and when the County releases a draft EIR (or unwisely proceeds with a Negative Declaration, mitigated or otherwise), the Center will provide detailed comments on these issues. However, as a biodiversity protection organization, we will focus these initial comments primarily on biological resources.

Impacts to biological resources, including habitat, natural communities, and plant and animal species, are some of the most important effects of a project which must be analyzed and mitigated. This is apparent in the CEQA Guidelines, which mandate preparation of an EIR for any project that “has the potential to substantially degrade the quality of the environment; substantially reduce the habitat of fish or wildlife species . . . [or] substantially reduce the number or restrict the range of an endangered, rare or threatened species.” (Guidelines § 15065.) Furthermore, an agency must analyze the impacts of projects that would “have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as candidate, sensitive, or special status species,” or “interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species.” (Guidelines, App. G, § IV.) This Project has the potential to have all of these types of impacts,

Importantly, the California Supreme Court has found that a “potential substantial impact to endangered, rare or threatened species is *per se* significant.” (*Vineyard Area Citizens for Responsible Growth, Inc. v. City of Rancho Cordova* (2007) 40 Cal. 4th 412, 449 (“*Vineyard*”), citing CEQA Guidelines § 15065(a)(1).) This project would have such impacts on at least two listed species, the desert tortoise and the western Joshua tree.

The western Joshua tree is currently a candidate species under the California Endangered Species Act (CESA). That the western Joshua tree occurs on the Project site and would be harmed by development cannot reasonably be disputed. In fact, the proponent’s letter of intent acknowledges that “some of the existing Joshua Trees may be removed to accommodate the project.” The Project site is high quality Joshua tree woodland habitat with, at a minimum, hundreds of western Joshua trees on site. Based on a document prepared by Fomotor Engineering for the proponent which overlays the development footprint on a map of Joshua tree locations on the parcel, it appears that approximately 200 western Joshua trees will need to be removed to make way for the various roads, pathways, parking lots, buildings, tent sites and other elements of the development proposal. Given the California Fish and Game Commission previously found that grading within 40 feet of a large western Joshua tree required mitigation, and the fact that Project grading will likely not be limited to just the precise footprint of these structures, dozens, perhaps hundreds, of additional Joshua trees are likely to be harmed as well. We do not see how this impact could possibly be deemed less than significant.

The desert tortoise also occurs on the Project site. This species is protected as threatened under both the federal and state endangered species acts. It is currently a candidate for uplisting to endangered status under CESA. A survey of the Project site was carried out in 2006 in relation to a previous development proposal that ultimately failed due, at least in part, to unmitigable environmental impacts. That survey found multiple live tortoise on the parcel. Critically, the area where the footprint of the current Project is proposed *is in the very area* determined to have the highest density tortoise occurrence on the overall parcel. As noted in the survey report, “a majority of the tortoise sign was observed in Area 1, which comprises the upland, plateau area between Highway 247 and Pipes Wash. Eighty-six percent (86%) of the tortoises, 96% of the burrows, 96% of the fresh scat, 98% of the older scat, and 100% of the tracks found occurred within Area 1.” The report correctly notes that development in this occupied habitat “would be considered significant under CEQA.”

In addition to the western Joshua tree and the desert tortoise, several other species status species have been found on the project site, including Cooper’s hawk, golden eagle, burrowing owl, short-eared owl, Vaux's swift, LeConte's thrasher, and loggerhead shrike. Impacts to any of these species could rise to significant levels. Given the Project includes, among other things, a heliport, impacts would not just be from the loss of eggs, nests and habitat from construction of the project, but from operations of the project itself. Notably, the burrowing owl, a species of increasing conservation concern, is relatively rare in the Morongo Basin, but was found to occur on the Project site. Harm to even a small number of burrowing owls by the Project would still represent a significant impact to the regional population. Moreover, all owl species receive special protection under the Fish and Game Code, with destruction of their nests explicitly prohibited. (F&G Code § 3503.5)

While direct harm to Joshua trees, desert tortoise, burrowing owls and other special status species would be significant wherever they occur, the Project is located in a particularly sensitive area that serves as a regionally significant wildlife corridor. This significance, both broadly and specific to the parcel at issue, has long been widely recognized, including in the South Coast Missing Linkages report¹ and the subsequent Morongo Basin Conservation Priorities Report². The habitat linkages documented in these reports have been recognized and utilized by state and federal agencies in their planning activities, including by Caltrans and the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW).

More recently, a CDFW-approved Conceptual Area Protection Plan (CAPP) prioritized the parcel for acquisition given its ecological importance and its proximity to protected federal and private conservation lands. Moreover, as noted in the 2006 biological report, “Pipes Wash is an extremely significant resource to both plants and animals occurring in the region.” The report noted the Project site’s importance given the “proximity of this portion of Pipes Wash to the San Bernardino Mountains provides a travel corridor to birds and mammals, alike,” and emphasized that the “regional significance of this wash to plants and animals cannot be over-emphasized.” Under CEQA, an EIR is required if a project might “interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species.” (Guidelines, App. G, § IV.) The Project as proposed, undoubtedly would have such impacts.

As the above example demonstrate, given the presence of multiple significance factors, the County cannot lawfully issue a CUP for the Project absent the preparation of an EIR. We are confident that a reviewing court would find approval of the Project as proposed absent an EIR to be an abuse of discretion and not supported by substantial evidence.

¹ <http://96.31.45.204/reports/SCMLRegionalReport.pdf>

² <https://sonoraninstitute.org/files/pdf/morongo-basin-conservation-priorities-report-a-strategy-for-preserving-conservation-values-07112012.pdf>

In addition to the CEQA issues noted above, the Project also needs to comply with the federal ESA, CESA, various other provisions of the Fish and Game Code, the Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Act, and other federal, state and local requirements. Among the issues related to such laws are the following:

- No killing, trimming or removal of western Joshua trees may occur absent authorization from CDFW pursuant to CESA. Given the lack of a regional NCCP, the Project proponent must seek an individual 2081 permit from CDFW. Such permits require take to be “fully mitigated”. This should be in the form of protection and preservation of western Joshua trees in other areas at a suitable mitigation ratio. Given the Joshua tree woodland habitat onsite is a recognized Sensitive Natural Community, and such high-quality woodland comprises only a small portion of the larger range of the western Joshua tree, a higher level of mitigation is warranted to offset the Project’s significant impacts. A 5:1 mitigation ratio is likely appropriate here and is consistent with mitigation required by CDFW for projects impacting important desert tortoise habitat. With over 200 Joshua trees likely targeted for removal or otherwise impacted by the Project, and based upon mitigation costs set by CDFW and the California Fish and Game Commission for other projects in western Joshua tree habitat, we would expect mitigation costs for impacts to this species to amount to several million dollars.
- No killing, harming, harassing, or moving of desert tortoises may occur absent authorization from CDFW pursuant to CESA and from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS) pursuant to the federal ESA. Such permits would also require substantial offsite mitigation. Because a take authorization from FWS is itself a federal action, environmental review in the form of an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) or Environmental Assessment (EA) under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) would also be required before such take could be authorized.
- Given 2021 is a drought year, required biological surveys for tortoise, rare plants and other sensitive species performed this spring or summer are unlikely to provide accurate presence/absence or abundance information. Such surveys must be carried out in the appropriate time of year and under suitable climate conditions. As such, we do not see how an adequate draft CEQA document can be prepared prior to late 2022 (assuming abatement of the current drought by winter 2021-2022 allows meaningful surveys in spring 2022).
- Any encroachment of the project into Pipes Wash would require a Streambed Alteration Agreement pursuant to Fish and Game Code §§ 1600 et seq.
- The Project parcel is within a known fault zone, with the western edge of the parcel previously deemed unsuitable for habitable structures. While the building footprint of the current Project appears to be mostly east of the area of surface rupture from the 1992 Landers quake, a 2007 report on the site notes that a full geologic evaluation of the entire site was not conducted and that “slope stability will need to be address for the portion of the site proposed for development along Pipes Wash.” It appears that the bulk of the lodging in the current Project is perched in this area of questionable stability above Pipes Wash. Any efforts to stabilize these slopes would themselves require a Streambed Alteration Agreement.
- Importantly, any geological surveys that involve trenching or drilling would likely require take authorization for western Joshua trees and/or desert tortoise *prior* to occurring. Consequently, since such information is critical to inform a proper EIR, the developer may need to seek limited take authorization from FWS and CDFW before initiating the larger EIR for the whole project so as to be able to carry out studies that would inform the actual EIR. Consequently,

further environmental review of the Project by the County should be put on hold until the proponent acquires the take authorizations required from FWS and CDFW that are a prerequisite to ground-disturbing activities related to necessary geologic evaluation activities.

As the brief discussion above demonstrates, this Project is certain to have significant impacts on Joshua tree woodlands and other natural communities, special status plant and animal species, threatened and endangered species, and a regionally significant habitat corridor. Furthermore, increased traffic and artificial light resulting from the Project would also negatively impact these biological resources. Even if all of the additional non-biological impacts of the Project not discussed in this letter could be successfully avoided or mitigated (a doubtful proposition), given the scale of the biological impacts on the particularly sensitive and significant habitat corridor at issue, we believe this Project is poorly sited and should be rejected by the County as currently proposed.

In the event this Project moves forward, we request to be put on the mailing for this Project and be sent all applicable notices and other relevant information as it becomes available. Please send such information to the address below.

Thank you.



Brendan Cummings
Conservation Director
Center for Biological Diversity
PO Box 549
Joshua Tree, CA 92252
bcummings@biologicaldiversity.org

Cheryl Mathews
3353 Alta Ave
Yucca Valley, California 92284

RECEIVED
2021 APR 22 AM 7:45
LAND USE SERVICES
ADMINISTRATION

April 20, 2021

Re

Glamping and Hip Camps operating in illegal zones
Wanton destruction of endangered and protected species and limited natural
Resources, i.e. water.

Dear Mr. Morrissey:

So many things have changed in the last 4 years and the repercussions of those 4 years and the pandemic have altered our lives and redirected our focus on survival ignoring much of the political changes taking place that govern our homes and lives. I have recently had my second vaccination and am now able to leave my home safely, only to find myself in an Erin Brockovitch movie filled with political greed turning a blind eye to unlawful activities endangering the residents of our community.

After attending a community meeting of concerned citizens and a few local representatives, I realized that while we average residents were trying to stay healthy and do the right thing we were sorely taken advantage of.

We have commercial camping sites popping up in rural residential areas between houses like toadstools in areas zoned for single family homes with no camping allowed.

We have large corporations destroying our fragile desert ecosystem coming in with acres of glamping in our endangered species habitat, where hundreds of tents will have their own spas and community pools, stores and restaurants. Mr. Morrissey we don't have enough water for our own citizens. These are out of town developers who don't have to live here in the mess they are creating.

I have a airbnb next door to me that is putting in a glamping site on the remaining land on their 5 acres. Two lots away from my 5 acres a Hip Camp with various five campsites with fire pits. Sleep is unheard of and raves, open fires, loud music,

drunken fights and roaring ATVs have taken over our neighborhood. ATVs race along my horses causing them to panic and run into fences and any yard/property that isn't fenced the campers think is their playground. Strangers walk all over our properties with no regard for our privacy. Trash carried by the wind litters our properties, and it's impossible for us to tell who belongs and who doesn't.

Since camping in our rural residential area is illegal, we that live here thought the problems would be quickly corrected, but it seem we have been giving too much credit where it was not deserved.

Since the Glamping sites have already broken ground the Jericho Systems is pretty sure of what your decision is going to be, and so are the local citizens that are watching.

Endangered and supposedly protected tortoises, burrowing owls, and even our Joshua trees are going to be destroyed by the projected projects.

We have two sheriffs on duty per shift in an area larger than many large cities. It can take 3 to 4 hours for these sheriffs to answer a call. Our medical and other emergency facilities are limited and most of us live on dirt roads.

We are a high fire area and don't even have a manned fire station in the Landers area. Fireworks from out of town tourists are already a serious problem without the additional tourists.

Thank you for listening. We sincerely hope you change your mind and rethink your decision on these matters.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Mathews
3353 Alta Ave
Yucca Valley, California 92284

RECEIVED
2021 APR 22 AM 7:45
LAND USE SERVICES
ADMINISTRATION

April 20, 2021

Re

Glamping and Hip Camps operating in illegal zones
Wanton destruction of endangered and protected species and limited natural
Resources, i.e. water.

Dear Mr. Morrissey:

So many things have changed in the last 4 years and the repercussions of those 4 years and the pandemic have altered our lives and redirected our focus on survival ignoring much of the political changes taking place that govern our homes and lives. I have recently had my second vaccination and am now able to leave my home safely, only to find myself in an Erin Brockovitch movie filled with political greed turning a blind eye to unlawful activities endangering the residents of our community.

After attending a community meeting of concerned citizens and a few local representatives, I realized that while we average residents were trying to stay healthy and do the right thing we were sorely taken advantage of.

We have commercial camping sites popping up in rural residential areas between houses like toadstools in areas zoned for single family homes with no camping allowed.

We have large corporations destroying our fragile desert ecosystem coming in with acres of glamping in our endangered species habitat, where hundreds of tents will have their own spas and community pools, stores and restaurants. Mr. Morrissey we don't have enough water for our own citizens. These are out of town developers who don't have to live here in the mess they are creating.

I have a airbnb next door to me that is putting in a glamping site on the remaining land on their 5 acres. Two lots away from my 5 acres a Hip Camp with various five campsites with fire pits. Sleep is unheard of and raves, open fires, loud music,

drunken fights and roaring ATVs have taken over our neighborhood. ATVs race along my horses causing them to panic and run into fences and any yard/property that isn't fenced the campers think is their playground. Strangers walk all over our properties with no regard for our privacy. Trash carried by the wind litters our properties, and it's impossible for us to tell who belongs and who doesn't.

Since camping in our rural residential area is illegal, we that live here thought the problems would be quickly corrected, but it seem we have been giving too much credit where it was not deserved.

Since the Glamping sites have already broken ground the Jericho Systems is pretty sure of what your decision is going to be, and so are the local citizens that are watching.

Endangered and supposedly protected tortoises, burrowing owls, and even our Joshua trees are going to be destroyed by the projected projects.

We have two sheriffs on duty per shift in an area larger than many large cities. It can take 3 to 4 hours for these sheriffs to answer a call. Our medical and other emergency facilities are limited and most of us live on dirt roads.

We are a high fire area and don't even have a manned fire station in the Landers area. Fireworks from out of town tourists are already a serious problem without the additional tourists.

Thank you for listening. We sincerely hope you change your mind and rethink your decision on these matters.

Sincerely,

Biggs, Lupe

From: Chris Clarke <cclarke@npca.org>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 3:14 PM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Subject: Comments on Project# PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor Parcel Number: 0629-181-01
Attachments: Flamingo 640 NPCA comments.pdf

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Jim Morrissey, Contract Planner
County of San Bernardino
Jim.Morrissey@lus.sbcounty.gov
Re: Project# PROJ-2020-00191
Assessor Parcel Number: 0629-181-01

Monday, April 26, 2021

Jim;

Please accept these comments, pasted below and attached as a PDF, on the "Flamingo 640" camping proposal in Landers. I am happy to answer any questions you might have.

- Chris



Chris Clarke
Ruth Hammett Associate Director, California Desert Program
National Parks Conservation Association
(760) 600-0038 | cclarke@npca.org | [Twitter](#) | [Instagram](#)
#BlackLivesMatter.

Mr. Morrissey:

Please accept the comments of the National Parks Conservation Association on the so-called “Flamingo 640” resort proposed to be built on APN 0629-181-01, at the southeast corner of Old Woman Springs Road and Luna Vista in Landers. I request that you add me to your contact list for updates and announcements regarding this project at:

Chris Clarke, NPCA
61325 29 Palms Highway, Suite D
Joshua Tree, CA 92252
cclarke@npca.org

NPCA is the only independent, nonpartisan membership organization devoted exclusively to advocacy on behalf of the National Parks System. Its mission is to protect and enhance America's National Park System for present and future generations. NPCA's California Desert Program works to preserve the integrity of the desert's national parks and monuments, as well as adjacent protected lands.

We have serious concerns about the appropriateness of the Flamingo 640 project for the site in question.

National parks do not exist in a vacuum. In order to survive into the next century and beyond, parks require connectivity with lands outside their boundaries to reduce or eliminate the effects of isolation from similar habitat. My colleagues at groups such as Mojave Desert Land Trust (MDLT), the Morongo Basin Conservation Association and others have taken pains to detail important wildlife connectivity corridors for the Morongo Basin area. Attached below is a map of those corridors created in 2018 by MDLT. As you will no doubt recognize, the parcel for which this resort development is proposed not only lies entirely within an important corridor linking Sand To Snow National Monument and San Bernardino National Forest to the Rodman and Ord mountains area, but would substantially constrict that corridor, even with the limited plan of development offered your department.



Figure 1. Wildlife linkages in the western Morongo Basin. Map courtesy MDLT

It is our understanding that MDLT has expressed a willingness to pay fair market value for this land. We wholeheartedly support this resolution as most compatible with long-term land management and sustainable development.

Before launching into the list of issues we have with this development as described, we have two fundamental concerns we wish to express.

First, a Conditional Use Permit for a development of this scope, on land that is this crucial for wildlife habitat connectivity, and which contains habitat for at least two state-listed species, absolutely requires being subjected to a full Environmental Impact Report rather than a Negative Declaration.

Second, while we trust in good faith the assurances by County staff that the proponent no longer intends to pursue the proposed uses of the property that are most incompatible with the current RL (Rural Living) zoning, such as a music festival grounds, and bar and restaurant, and a helipad for non-emergency use, we also recognize that such verbal assurances only go so far. A written record that those uses are no longer on the table would go a long way to restoring trust with the members of the community most likely to be affected by this development.

Our other concerns include:

Habitat destruction: This is important habitat for many species, including desert tortoise (state endangered), western Joshua tree (state threatened), western burrowing owl (California Species of Special Concern), migratory birds (protected under the migratory bird treaty act) and other wildlife, including desert kit fox, mountain lion. Creosote rings and Mojave yucca clonal rings are present as well, some of them potentially thousands of years old. Though proponents' biological resources assessment claims no desert tortoises are to be found on the site, we are skeptical about their reported numbers and look forward to independent verification of the species' presence (or absence.) It is worth noting that the "DanMark survey" of this same property, performed in 2006 by Circle Mountain Biological Consultants, found seven tortoises in precisely the part of the parcel slated for development, with few to none on the remainder of the parcel. It is true that 15 years have elapsed since 2006. It is also true that conditions on site, including proximity to high-speed traffic, prevalence of Upper Respiratory Distress Syndrome, and multi-year droughts are substantially the same in 2021 as they were in 2006.

Parcel is an important connectivity link and in a priority conservation area.

Fire pits included in the proposal prompt the question: will operations include onsite staff who can restrict or prohibit fire pit use during burning bans, high-wind events, or periods of high particulate matter counts? If not, who will be responsible for fire safety, and for environmental and public safety in general?

Wastewater: Plans suggest that wastewater will be directed to a leach field on the property, north of the resort. A stormwater retention basin will be sited immediately east of the sewage disposal leach field. What volume of wastewater is projected to be disposed of via this leach field (considering perhaps 200-250 guests in a typical weekend in season)? Are hydrology studies available that ensure this large addition of wastewater won't infiltrate into neighbors' wells (there are at least 61 domestic wells within a few miles downstream) or the aquifer serving Bighorn Desert View Water Agency? Would stormwater capture from the project's hardened surfaces accentuate this percolation of sewage?

Water supply: We understand that Bighorn Desert View Water Agency is willing in theory to annex the parcel to its service district, pending the LAFCO process. What might be the cumulative development impacts of extending BDV's water service farther to the southeast?

Noise and light: Even assuming there will be no music festivals or other mass participation events on the property: Will the entire facility be available for rent to one party for special events, such as weddings, film shoots, conferences? How many emergency helicopter landings/departures are envisioned per month? Studies of potential noise levels should be undertaken. Lighting sufficient for hundreds of guests, plus ambient light from lodging units, fires, visitor headlights, party lights, and other sources of illumination are likely to pose a substantial addition to light trespass in this relatively dark area, posing threats to safety, wildlife, and quiet enjoyment by neighbors.

Traffic safety: Old Woman Springs Road is a dangerous highway. According to UC Berkeley's Transportation Incident Mapping Systemⁱⁱⁱ, the stretch of 247 nearest the proposed project is among the most dangerous along the entire length of Route 247 between Yucca Valley and Barstow. Again, according to TIMS, the worst times for accidents here are Friday afternoon/evening and to a lesser extent throughout the weekend. Adding more than 100 cars exiting and entering the highway here during busy weekend hours will prove dangerous, especially given drivers who pick up speed once they emerge from Pipes Canyon south of the project. A thorough traffic study, dedicated turn lanes, and perhaps a stop light should be considered if the project goes forward. The likelihood of extra costs to the county for first responders should be assessed.

Other questions:

- Would the proposed project be accessible for those with physical limitations?
- How would the proposed project utilize local labor and contractors to support the local community?
- How would the campground keep their guests from trespassing on adjacent properties?
- What limits might the county have in mind for overall campground saturation in the area, and to what extent will this project displace or prevent potential development of more affordable camping accommodations within reach of the County's underserved populations?

Again, we urge the County's Land Use Services to conduct a full and rigorous Environmental Impact Report process on this proposal.

Thank you for your attention to this set of comments. I am happy to answer any questions you might have.



Chris Clarke

Ruth Hammett Associate Director
California Desert Program
National Parks Conservation Association
(760) 600-0038

<https://tims.berkeley.edu/>



1

Jim Morrissey, Contract Planner
County of San Bernardino

Monday, April 26, 2021

Jim.Morrissey@lus.sbcounty.gov

Re: Project# PROJ-2020-00191

Assessor Parcel Number: 0629-181-01

1 1

Mr. Morrissey:

Please accept the comments of the National Parks Conservation Association on the so-called “Flamingo 640” resort proposed to be built on APN 0629-181-01, at the southeast corner of Old Woman Springs Road and Luna Vista in Landers. I request that you add me to your contact list for updates and announcements regarding this project at:

Chris Clarke, NPCA
61325 29 Palms Highway, Suite D
Joshua Tree, CA 92252
cclarke@npca.org

NPCA is the only independent, nonpartisan membership organization devoted exclusively to advocacy on behalf of the National Parks System. Its mission is to protect and enhance America's National Park System for present and future generations. NPCA's California Desert Program works to preserve the integrity of the desert's national parks and monuments, as well as adjacent protected lands.

We have serious concerns about the appropriateness of the Flamingo 640 project for the site in question.

National parks do not exist in a vacuum. In order to survive into the next century and beyond, parks require connectivity with lands outside their boundaries to reduce or eliminate the effects of isolation from similar habitat. My colleagues at groups such as Mojave Desert Land Trust (MDLT), the Morongo Basin Conservation Association and others have taken pains to detail important wildlife connectivity corridors for the Morongo Basin area. Attached below is a map of those corridors created in 2018 by MDLT. As you will no doubt recognize, the parcel for which this resort development is proposed not only lies entirely within an important corridor linking Sand To Snow National Monument and San Bernardino National Forest to the Rodman and Ord mountains area, but would substantially constrict that corridor, even with the limited plan of development offered your department.




Figure 1. Wildlife linkages in the western Morongo Basin. Map courtesy MDLT

1

It is our understanding that MDLT has expressed a willingness to pay fair market value for this land. We wholeheartedly support this resolution as most compatible with long-term land management and sustainable development.

Before launching into the list of issues we have with this development as described, we have two fundamental concerns we wish to express.

First, a Conditional Use Permit for a development of this scope, on land that is this crucial for wildlife habitat connectivity, and which contains habitat for at least two state-listed species, absolutely requires being subjected to a full Environmental Impact Report rather than a Negative Declaration.

Second, while we trust in good faith the assurances by County staff that the proponent no longer intends to pursue the proposed uses of the property that are most incompatible with the current RL (Rural Living) zoning, such as a music festival grounds, and bar and restaurant, and a helipad for non-emergency use, we also recognize that such verbal assurances only go so far. A written

record that those uses are no longer on the table would go a long way to restoring trust with the members of the community most likely to be affected by this development.

Our other concerns include:

Habitat destruction: This is important habitat for many species, including desert tortoise (state endangered), western Joshua tree (state threatened), western burrowing owl (California Species of Special Concern), migratory birds (protected under the migratory bird treaty act) and other wildlife, including desert kit fox, mountain lion. Creosote rings and Mojave yucca clonal rings are present as well, some of them potentially thousands of years old. Though proponents' biological resources assessment claims no desert tortoises are to be found on the site, we are skeptical about their reported numbers and look forward to independent verification of the species' presence (or absence.) It is worth noting that the "DanMark survey" of this same property, performed in 2006 by Circle Mountain Biological Consultants, found seven tortoises in precisely the part of the parcel slated for development, with few to none on the remainder of the parcel. It is true that 15 years have elapsed since 2006. It is also true that conditions on site, including proximity to high-speed traffic, prevalence of Upper Respiratory Distress Syndrome, and multi-year droughts are substantially the same in 2021 as they were in 2006.

Parcel is an important connectivity link and in a priority conservation area.

Fire pits included in the proposal prompt the question: will operations include onsite staff who can restrict or prohibit fire pit use during burning bans, high-wind events, or periods of high particulate matter counts? If not, who will be responsible for fire safety, and for environmental and public safety in general?

Wastewater: Plans suggest that wastewater will be directed to a leach field on the property, north of the resort. A stormwater retention basin will be sited immediately east of the sewage disposal leach field. What volume of wastewater is projected to be disposed of via this leach field (considering perhaps 200-250 guests in a typical weekend in season)? Are hydrology studies available that ensure this large addition of wastewater won't infiltrate into neighbors' wells (there are at least 61 domestic wells within a few miles downstream) or the aquifer serving Bighorn Desert View Water Agency? Would stormwater capture from the project's hardened surfaces accentuate this percolation of sewage?

Water supply: We understand that Bighorn Desert View Water Agency is willing in theory to annex the parcel to its service district, pending the LAFCO process. What might be the cumulative development impacts of extending BDV's water service farther to the southeast?

Noise and light: Even assuming there will be no music festivals or other mass participation events on the property: Will the entire facility be available for rent to one party for special events, such as weddings, film shoots, conferences? How many emergency helicopter landings/departures are envisioned per month? Studies of potential noise levels should be undertaken. Lighting sufficient for hundreds of guests, plus ambient light from lodging units, fires, visitor headlights, party lights,

and other sources of illumination are likely to pose a substantial addition to light trespass in this relatively dark area, posing threats to safety, wildlife, and quiet enjoyment by neighbors.

Traffic safety: Old Woman Springs Road is a dangerous highway. According to UC Berkeley's Transportation Incident Mapping System¹, the stretch of 247 nearest the proposed project is among the most dangerous along the entire length of Route 247 between Yucca Valley and Barstow. Again, according to TIMS, the worst times for accidents here are Friday afternoon/evening and to a lesser extent throughout the weekend. Adding more than 100 cars exiting and entering the highway here during busy weekend hours will prove dangerous, especially given drivers who pick up speed once they emerge from Pipes Canyon south of the project. A thorough traffic study, dedicated turn lanes, and perhaps a stop light should be considered if the project goes forward. The likelihood of extra costs to the county for first responders should be assessed.

Other questions:

- Would the proposed project be accessible for those with physical limitations?
- How would the proposed project utilize local labor and contractors to support the local community?
- How would the campground keep their guests from trespassing on adjacent properties?
- What limits might the county have in mind for overall campground saturation in the area, and to what extent will this project displace or prevent potential development of more affordable camping accommodations within reach of the County's underserved populations?

Again, we urge the County's Land Use Services to conduct a full and rigorous Environmental Impact Report process on this proposal.

Thank you for your attention to this set of comments. I am happy to answer any questions you might have.



Chris Clarke
Ruth Hammett Associate Director
California Desert Program
National Parks Conservation Association
(760) 600-0038

¹ <https://tims.berkeley.edu/>

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Christopher Pearson <echoranchdesign@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 25, 2021 9:19 PM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Subject: No on PROJ-2020-00191

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Subject: No on PROJ-2020-00191

I am in opposition to project PROJ-2020-00191.

- This proposed site is a priority connectivity corridor between Sand to Snow National Monument, the Bighorn Wilderness, Joshua Tree National Park, and points north. It would disrupt a lot of desert wildlife AND it has a lot of Joshua Trees on the property that are protected. It would be devastating to so many Joshua Trees to make way for roads, structures and parking. Only 10% of moved Joshua Trees ever survive.
- The desert area is not suitable for huge new projects that use a lot of water. California is in a drought and water tables are getting lower. There's also the potential for pollution of groundwater in Pipes Canyon Wash from septic systems, visitor vehicle oil and coolant leaks, etc. This is an ecological disaster in the making. High density of human waste created in such endeavor would surely pollute the water table and have long damaging effects on all wildlife.
- Being so close to a residential area, there would be concern of noise and light pollution. People moved to the middle of the desert to have peace and quiet, not to have a corporation buy land next to them and try to make it into a resort. The property is not zoned for commercial enterprises of this magnitude and should be reconsidered. Noise travels easily in the desert.
- Having 3-each 700sqft fire pits for 75 campsites raises serious concerns about air quality from so much smoke, and fire safety. Morongo Basin is a high drought area that is often very windy so it's dangerous to have fire pits.
- The configuration of Route 247 near the site means adding large amounts of traffic entering the roadway which could be extremely dangerous. The hill south towards Aberdeen is a blind hill that could cause a lot of accidents with some much additional traffic.

Thank you,
Chris Pearson
[58056 Quail Rd. 92284](https://www.google.com/maps/place/58056+Quail+Rd,+92284)

Sent from my iPhone

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Every Leaf Speaks Botanical Studies <everyleafspeaks.cs@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 11:12 AM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Subject: PROJ-2020-00191

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello Mr. Jim Morrissey,

My name is Christina Sanchez, I am a resident of the Morongo Basin and a botanist with years of experience working with desert flora. I am writing today in regard to PROJ-2020-00191, APN 0629-181-01. The project proposed for a glamping resort and included in their plans a bar, restaurant, helipad, store, 75 tent sites accommodations which parking will be required to accommodate guests, swimming pool, firepits and a music venue with a capacity of 25,000 as well as the parking. There are many issues with this proposed plan that are of concern; This area is a Joshua Tree woodland, the habitat of the state level endangered desert tortoise and federally protected burrowing owl under the Migratory Bird Act. The Western Joshua Tree is another species of concern and one that was recently listed as threatened under the California Endangered Species Act (CESA). A concern if an incidental take permit was issued by CDFW for relocating these old growth Joshua Trees would be survival rate. I have observed the death of Joshua Trees after relocation spanning over a 5 year to 10-year period of time. Seeing the decline of Joshua Tree populations due to climate change, habitat loss and the low-success rate after relocating my concerns, is it reasonable to try to relocate these old-growth Joshua Trees? A project of this magnitude would negatively impact the above-mentioned species of concern in addition to the displacement of many native species of fauna. We as humans need to consider our impact on threatened habitats and there needs to be a limit of further destruction to these habitats.

Traffic safety is of concern as it is alongside Hwy 247, a two-way highway which is not built to handle an influx of traffic. It is also an area that sees many traffic accidents. Fire safety is another concern as there is a high amount of dead vegetation which is fuel, if embers were to be blown out of the fire pits during one of our typical high-wind days this would be a threat to the area or if mismanaged and the fire escapes this is another threat to an area that is high in fuel and we are in drought. The potential for pollution in the Pipes Canyon wash from this development is also disconcerting. Light and noise pollution for the residents of this area, as full-time residents we enjoy our dark skies and the quiet of the desert, bringing in a music venue, guest of the resort would dramatically alter what we value greatly. Air quality is another concern.

I am aware that there was a biological survey of the area in 2020 that states no signs of the desert tortoise or burrowing owl dens which I find highly unlikely. I will volunteer with colleagues to do a survey of the area and report our findings.

Thank you

Kind regards,

Christina Sanchez

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Christine Elizabeth Cunha <chrisbean@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 25, 2021 10:35 PM
To: Morrissey , Jim
Subject: Flamingo 640

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

I'm writing as a concerned neighbor in opposition to the "Flamingo 640" glamping (and possible festival) development proposal Project #PROJ-2020-00191, APN [0629-181-01](#).

Thank you for taking the time to read our thoughts. I feel as though the location for the proposed project—being so close to Old roman springs, would be disastrous and dangerous for traffic. the hill coming out of pipes canyon is already blind when you reach the top—but imagine there being a surprise line of cars of people lined up and waiting to enter glampgrounds right there that one fails to see? Old Woman Springs is a truly terrifying road to drive on already—I have been passed by someone who went so far as to drive in the dirt to pass me on the left as they went 80. City people make huge risks as they attempt to pass semi trucks by driving into oncoming traffic. I fear that such a proposed site would take this road from risky to a consistent bloody mess.

There are many camping places throughout thus desert and this area in particular plenty of blm land that attracts many partiers who come out and leave trash and graffiti in their leave, (after taking instagram photos dangling from joshua trees of course.)These people take from the desert but give nothing back. I fear that such a site would attract the same sort of partying person who does not respect the environment, or respect the fact that people do actually live here—it's not just the middle of nowhere. Imagine living on the other side of the hill by proposed project, and having some inspired, psychedelic stoned person stumble into your yard while they are out on their desert "sabbatical".

Also, this is a neighborhood, despite what formal ideas of a neighborhood may be. If there are plans for a stage and music, it will be heard from every doorstep from there to giant rock. It is disrespect to the people who have made this beloved place their home.

Apologies if this note reads as angry and irritated— it's only because I am! I love this land and am sad to see that the way myself, and many of my friends experience it may change.

Thank you again for your time.

-A Concerned Local

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: CD Engle <cdengle49@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 25, 2021 9:35 PM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Subject: Project-2020-00191

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Greetings Jim Morrissey,

As a Yucca Valley resident and homeowner I am concerned about the above referenced project. There are many reasons why this would be minus for our area.

Traffic on Hwy. 247/Old Woman Springs has practically doubled in the past five years with little to no improvements in highway safety on the corridor. The understandable zeal for our desert environment brings visitors in numbers that tax the infrastructure as it is. Besides the endangered species who call the proposed land home, there are many humans out here who came for the slow paced life in a natural setting. Yucca Valley's troubles with a delayed sewer system installation brings to mind issues of effluent disposal and groundwater contamination. With as many as 75 campfires burning simultaneously, air quality would suffer. As for the proposal of a concert venue, most of us (including wildlife) would prefer the desert silence over amplified music. Dark skies, quiet nights and open space...the reason so many come to visit in the first place. Those of us opposed to this project are passionate about keeping the high desert a natural place for future generations to discover anew. Let's hope the hurdles are high enough to discourage this developer.

Thank you for your work,

Christopher Engle
Dianne Bennett
56356 Cobalt Rd
Yucca Valley 92284
(805)630-1909

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Christy Roush <christine.roush@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 20, 2021 4:51 PM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Subject: PROJ-2020-00191, Parcel #0629-181-01

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Mr. Morrissey,

Thank you for all you do for our District 3 in San Bernardino County. I am a homeowner in Flamingo Heights, Yucca Valley. I recently learned that there is a developer requesting approval to build a “glamping” resort on a 640-acre parcel adjacent to Hwy 247. The developer is seeking approval for a “Conditional Use Permit” to be able to rezone this parcel from RL (rural living) which would allow them to develop this land without an EIR (environmental impact report) being required.

There are multiple reasons why a large-scale “glamping” resort on this 640-acre parcel is of serious concern to many homeowners in the immediate area. To list a few:

- 1) Dangerous traffic flow due to being a 2-lane highway.
- 2) Multiple individual camp fires will create air pollution and wildfire hazard.
- 3) A waste water disposal plan would be crucial to require and enforce since this is where many county homeowners receive our drinking water. It comes from the ground locally.
- 4) Noise pollution is a concern if they intend to hold concerts and special events. There are many residential homes adjacent to this 640-acre parcel.
- 5) I understand there is an earthquake fault line which runs through Pipes Canyon Wash.
- 6) Last, but not least, there are many endangered protected wildlife species and plant life that must be protected (e.g., desert tortoise, burrowing owls, desert owls, Joshua Trees, etc.). This area is a “wildlife corridor” which is used by numerous species of animals to travel to and from for seasonal survival and breeding in safety.

Please take a few moments to peruse the following biological survey report which was done in 2006:

<https://drive.google.com/file/d/1MXZbEpvPYr8UEfxzstvOIDi8ZqVWwTR5/view>

Thank you for your attention to this matter of concern. I trust that you’ll support us by denying this development to be approved without an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) at the very least.

Warm Regards,

Christine Roush
(408) 833-3482 Cell

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Claire Wadsworth <clairewadsworth@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2021 10:11 AM
To: Morrissey , Jim
Subject: Flamingo Heights business, La Copine owner comments re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Jim,

Thanks for taking my call the other day. I own the NY times reviewed restaurant just down the road from the proposed "glamping" site and wanted to email you with my comments about hiring in the high desert. In the last six years it has been extremely challenging to find employees for our business.

This year we held a job fair for four days and had only eight candidates show up for positions we were not hiring for. The four new employees we hired this season all needed my help to find them housing. Two of those employees are currently living in trailers on friends properties, and the other two are living in a friends guest house. I am concerned with the scale of the operation in their plans and how many employees it will require to manage.

There is a very small working class in the high desert due to the housing/vacation rental crisis, ask any business owner in town. There are people like Dave McAdams of Homestead Modern who own 100+ homes in this area for vacation rentals. In Palm Springs there are limits in place to regulate people from buying up all the available houses. We don't have anything like this to control what's happening in the high desert right now.

Will they be providing housing for all of their employees? That may be required for the scale they are currently proposing.

Again, I believe they really need to scale it back to something with less impact. All resources are scarce here. From environmental, to utilities, the roads, police and fire presence, employees and even to repair people like plumbers and HVAC. We've often had to close our business due to equipment failure and power outages. Someone once drove over a water picket in an area people are not allowed to drive (we have ropes, posts and signs, and they still drove the wrong way). The property flooded and cut off all the water to our building. It took 3 hours to get someone to come out and shut the water off on a Sunday. I had to beg a neighbor who was sick with a fever to come out and help me. I have a million stories, Jim.

I appreciate you taking the time to read this, and hope you can get this project to scale it back to what the desert can actually support.

Thank you and take care!

Claire
La Copine
Owner
908-656-5931
lacopinekitchen.com

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Claire Walsh <clairevwalsh@me.com>
Sent: Monday, April 19, 2021 12:39 PM
To: Morrissey , Jim
Subject: Flamingo heights glamping development

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Jim Morrison

I am writing with concerns about the planned development. Although I appreciate that the development will bring in revenue to the area its scale and scope is not something I agree with.

The area has unique nature and wildlife that needs protecting... it is what brings people to the area in the first place. The fire risk is also something to be concerned about . Finally the closed off nature of the development with facilities open just for guests (such as a bar and pool etc.) I think creates an us and them scenario in the area. Splitting residents and visitors.

As it stands the plan does not take into consideration the need to protect the environment and build community. It needs to be reconsidered so to not create lasting damage that will hurt the area.

Thank you
Claire Walsh

Sent from my iPhone

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Planning CSU
Sent: Wednesday, May 25, 2022 11:05 AM
To: Morrissey , Jim
Subject: FW: Contact LUS [#6495]

Hi Jim,

Please see the comment below regarding PROJ-2020-00191.

Thank you.

From: Wufoo <no-reply@wufoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 24, 2022 1:42 PM
To: Planning CSU <PlanningCSU@lus.sbcounty.gov>
Subject: Contact LUS [#6495]

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Please select a topic *	Planning
Name *	Chris Clem
Phone Number *	(760) 819-9230
Email *	2chriscllem@gmail.com
Parcel Number (If known)	0692-181-01
Project Number or Name (If Any)	2020-00191
Please describe your request. *	In regards to the Flamingo 640 project. This notion of outside investors buying cheap property that is not zoned for what they want and then trying to change the zoning to fit their needs is just ridiculous. They new full well what the zoning was.This should be rejected out-right.Please do just that..... Regards Chris Clem

▪

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: cm fenton <cmdfenton@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 15, 2021 2:41 PM
To: Morrissey , Jim
Subject: NO, NO, NO FLAMINGO HEIGHTS RESORT

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

WE HAVE WAY TOO MUCH OF THIS CRAP AS IT IS. BAD FOR THE ENVIRONMENT AND THE ACTUAL RESIDENTS.

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Coletta Herbold <coletta43@outlook.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 21, 2021 6:01 PM
To: Morrissey , Jim
Subject: Glamping project on 247

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing in objection to this proposed site. As someone who lives on the 247 I am already concerned about the growing traffic problem....both congestion and noise.

This is a residential area and people moved out here for QUIET....which is slowly being disrupted by the ever growing Airbnb industry...but at least that is, usually, tolerable since it is on a small scale...unlike the glamping site that is being proposed.

Ask yourself if you would want this minutes from your home!

It's a horrible idea and doesn't belong here. The city of Yucca needs to find other ways to generate tax dollars...because if this is approved it will destroy 640 acres of desert habitat, displace wildlife, put a burden on the already dwindling water resources, and cause major noise and traffic disturbances to the surrounding residential areas.

Coletta Herbold
805-856-8337
5262 Old Woman Springs Rd
Yucca Valley, CA 92284

Get [Outlook for Android](#)

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Colin Donohue <colinjamesdonohue@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 8:41 AM
To: Morrissey , Jim; Supervisor Rowe
Subject: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 and APN: 0629-181-01-0000

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Good day,

I am a resident of the unincorporated Yucca Mesa/Flamingo Heights area and I am OPPOSED to the project. First I will present some of my personal opinions and a few rhetorical questions followed by CEQA/NEPA related challenges for legal purposes, so that my objections can be referenced in the future in relation to CEQA/NEPA issues.

Q: Will this benefit the community?

A: Robot Land Company's own documents indicate that, "*all proposed uses of the project will be for the private use of guests staying at the site. No public access will be allowed.*"

It is unlikely that locals will utilize the camping services nor amenities such as "music studio". Will tax revenue benefit the local community or will the tax revenue be a necessity in order to update infrastructure to accommodate out-of-town guests? Thereby not being a net benefit to the community.

Q: RobotLand Company expects to be able to benefit financially from the RL zoned property that they purchased. Myself and my neighbors would also like to benefit financially from the use of our RL zoned properties. If it would benefit the community for an outside agency to profit from their property, would it not benefit the community for members of the community to profit from their own properties?

A: I look forward to your response.

NEPA/CEQA/EIR:

Habitat destruction: This is important habitat for many species, including desert tortoise (state endangered), western Joshua tree (state threatened), western burrowing owl (California Species of Special Concern), migratory birds (protected under the migratory bird treaty act) and other wildlife, including desert kit fox, mountain lion. Creosote rings and Mojave yucca clonal rings are present as well, some of them potentially thousands of years old.

Parcel is also an important connectivity link between San Bernardino Mountains/Sand to Snow National Monument, Bighorn Mountain Wilderness, Marine Corps base, and Joshua Tree National Park. Development would remove that ecological value.

Parcel is in a priority conservation area, and land trusts are ready to offer fair market value for the property, protecting it in perpetuity.

Parcel is zoned RL (Rural Living), and conditional use permit wouldn't mitigate the erosion of neighbors' quiet enjoyment the RL zoning is designed to protect. This is especially true if the described helipad sees significant use. The proposed bar and restaurant add to the concern, as does the possibility that this would become an event venue.

Fire pits included in the proposal prompt the question: will operations include onsite staff who can restrict fire pit use during burning bans, high-wind events, or periods of high particulate matter counts? If not, who will be responsible for fire safety, and for environmental and public safety in general?

Wastewater: Plans (see Mojave Watch) suggest that wastewater will be directed to a leach field on the property, north of the resort. A stormwater retention basin will be sited immediately east of the sewage disposal leach field. What volume of waste water is projected to be disposed of via this leach field (considering perhaps 200-300 guests in a typical weekend in season)? Are hydrology studies available that ensure this large addition of wastewater won't infiltrate into neighbors' wells (there are at least 61 domestic wells within a few miles downstream) or the aquifer serving Bighorn Desert View Water Agency? Would stormwater capture from the project's hardened surfaces accentuate this percolation of sewage?

Noise and light: Will the entire facility be available for rent to one party for special events? Will concerts or festivals be planned here? How many helicopter landings/departures are envisioned per month? Studies of potential noise levels should be undertaken. Lighting sufficient for hundreds of guests, plus ambient light from lodging units, fires, visitor headlights, party lights, and other sources of illumination are likely to pose a substantial addition to light trespass in this relatively dark area, posing threats to safety, wildlife, and quiet enjoyment by neighbors.

Traffic safety: Old Woman Springs Road is a dangerous highway, more so when crowded. In the last five years there have been more than a dozen accidents in the stretch of highway between Aberdeen and Reche that were serious enough to have had first responder involvement. Adding more than 100 cars exiting and entering the highway here during busy hours will prove dangerous, especially given drivers who pick up speed once they emerge from Pipes Canyon south of the project. A thorough traffic study, dedicated turn lanes, and perhaps a stop light should be considered if the project goes forward. The likelihood of extra costs to the county for first responders should be assessed.

Noise and light: Will the entire facility be available for rent to one party for special events? Will concerts or festivals be planned here? How many helicopter landings/departures are envisioned per month? Studies of potential noise levels should be undertaken. Lighting sufficient for hundreds of guests, plus ambient light from lodging units, fires, visitor headlights, party lights, and other sources of illumination are likely to pose a substantial addition to light trespass in this relatively dark area, posing threats to safety, wildlife, and quiet enjoyment by neighbors.

Traffic safety: Old Woman Springs Road is a dangerous highway, more so when crowded. In the last five years there have been more than a dozen accidents in the stretch of highway between Aberdeen and Reche that were serious enough to have had first responder involvement. Adding more than 100 cars exiting and entering the highway here during busy hours will prove dangerous, especially given drivers who pick up speed once they emerge from Pipes Canyon south of the project. A thorough traffic study, dedicated turn lanes, and perhaps a stop light should be considered if the project goes forward. The likelihood of extra costs to the county for first responders should be assessed.

Cultural Sensitivity

This project should immediately remove all references to "teepees" and substitute "A-frame" or "chalet" as terms for their "teepee" accommodations. That reference is incorrect (they are not teepees), and are the cultural appropriation of Native American terminology in an inappropriate setting. In addition, Mojave Desert Native American tribes did not reside in teepees. It may be appropriate for the applicants to consult with Native American tribes of the region on how best to educate the project's clientele about the history and cultures of the tribes, and involve them with on-site programs if feasible.

Construction Concerns

It has become clear upon reviewing various projects for glamping resorts proposed for Flamingo Heights, Yucca Valley, Joshua Tree, and elsewhere, that the designers of these resorts obviously do not live in the desert. Unfortunately for them, and for us residents of the desert, many of those tasked with reviewing and approving these projects also do not live in the desert. This may result in some unforeseen (for them) consequences.

Glamping accommodations, by their very nature, are extremely vulnerable to the wind and sun. This particular project, sited on the immediate side of the Pipes Canyon Wash, is quite exposed to winds that can range up to hurricane force. This inevitability must be addressed, as the safety of guests and employees depends upon it. While the sun will degrade canvas and wood construction over time, the wind can destroy tentlike structures in seconds, and placing glamping tent structures on the edge of a large wash, may make them even more vulnerable to wind shear. One can imagine the utter displeasure of luxury glampers as their safari tent disintegrates about them and their belongings are scattered over the edge of the wash, and beyond.

It is easy for those unfamiliar with this area to discount this possibility, but then they do not have neighbors who have had a 2,500-gallon water storage tank blown four miles downwind, or a friend who lost half his home to a small tornado.

In addition, this resort has a number of large, communal fire pits planned. Any resident downwind for miles, might be justified in thinking this is a direct and present danger to their home. With strong winds being common, and devastating (see the summer of 2006 with the Sawtooth Complex Fire and several others that year, and since then, including the Lake Fire), open fires are an extreme danger to residents and wildlife. This has to be addressed in the strongest terms possible.

I watched in horror one evening as campers on the side of Mt. San Jacinto decided to build a small campfire during an evening with 45+ mph winds. In 20 minutes, the tiny flame in the distance had become an out-of-control wildfire that was burning a significant portion of the side of the mountain. By dawn, it was hundreds of acres in size and required millions of dollars of resources to control. We've seen fires get out of control, and residents are all too aware of the careless approach toward open fires by visitors at vacation rentals and campsites.

This project requires a mandatory fire control plan that addresses the prospect of fires built at the resort, and possibly out of control. Fire safety must be, like traffic safety, at the forefront of design and construction. No resident wants to lose their home because some wealthy out-of-town guest wanted to make s'mores during a raging windstorm.

Impacts on habitat and wildlife

The 2006 biological survey of the project area found the majority of threatened desert tortoise sign was found in the area of the project that will be developed. A total of 86% of the tortoises, 96% of the burrows, 95% of fresh scat and 98% of older scat, and 100% of tortoise tracks, were found in the area planned for development. The desert tortoise is not the only threatened species found on the site if that report is to be believed. However, a 2020 biological survey reported no tortoise occurrences on the site or adjacent to it (according to the 2020 report, nothing evidently lives on the site). This contradiction requires further investigation prior to approval. A significant number of special-status bird species

were also identified on-site, which is home as well to migratory birds. As few Joshua trees should be removed or relocated as is possible. With glamping sites, one should be able to move them a few feet in any direction to avoid destroying Joshua trees. However, plans reveal many Joshua trees will be removed or destroyed if the resort is built according to plan. This is unacceptable.

In addition to the "protected" western Joshua tree, there are a number of other plant species that are supposedly protected by county ordinances, including creosote and yuccas that are hundreds of years old (and sometimes older). Those ordinances, however, are routinely ignored by Land Use Services, the Planning Commission, and the Board of Supervisors, when vetting projects for development, so they should not present an obstacle to development.

That said, there are a lot of us taxpaying county residents who would actually like county government to follow their own rules and regulations, and I would advocate for thorough protection of all supposedly protected species in any development of this property.

The land in question is an important part of a wildlife corridor linking mountain and desert habitats, and how the large portion of the Pipes Canyon Wash will be managed, is an important question. Will the resort's property in the wash be fenced? And if so, how? Fencing could be harmful in preventing wildlife usage of this corridor, however, fencing could be beneficial, if done properly. Appropriate fencing would stop off-roading use of the wash on this parcel, which, if wildlife could still migrate through the wash, would allow for wash habitat to be nearly devoid of vehicular traffic. That could be beneficial. However, locating hundreds of guests on the rim of the wash and setting up the wash property for guest use, would reverse any potential gains.

It is my understanding that the parcel is deemed a priority for conservation, and land trusts are open to purchasing the property for preservation. That option should be explored.

Zoning

The parcel is zoned Rural Living. Residents along the Highway 247 corridor, and along both sides of the Pipes Canyon Wash, should all be notified of this project prior to closure of the public comment period. The county routinely only does the most minimal and legally required notifications for projects, which is grossly irresponsible to their mission, and to the county residents who fund their positions. This is an enormous problem as the rural areas of the county lack thorough media coverage and the means to properly inform the public of projects that will impact them. Any project with 350 parking spaces in this area will have significant impact on local residents and public comment periods should not be closed until they have the opportunity to properly review and comment upon project information. Design can mitigate much of the negative impacts, but the concerns of local residents must be included at the outset of the project, instead of after it is too late to address those concerns.

Water

The parcel must be annexed into a water district to receive service. Does the Bighorn-Desert View Water Agency have appropriate supply to meet the demands of this resort without any possible impacts to existing customers?

Wastewater

There needs to be a hydrological study of the potential impact of the wastewater coming from approximately 300-400 guests and employees daily, upon the groundwater resources nearby, including residential wells. Without such a study, impacts will be realized after the fact. That is not acceptable.

Events

This site should either be a glamping resort or an event site, not both. It is proposed as a glamping resort, but there is information that was produced noting the possibility of hosting large events on site as well. Hosting large events at this location would be a disaster and would create dangerous traffic situations on Highway 247, and would directly contradict the intention of Rural Living zoning designation. Any proposal for 90.5 acres of temporary parking and concerts with up to 25,000 guests, should be nixed immediately. Should they not be, I would recommend the developers be required to pay for expanding Highway 247 to four lanes plus appropriate turn on/off lanes, from the intersection with Route 62 to Landers, prior to approval. It sounds extreme, but if they want to profit by creating disasters for local residents, they need to mitigate for those disasters up front.

Noise and Light Pollution

Reviewing plan materials for this project, it appears the lighting has been designed by urban designers with a romantic notion of desert life. That's fun, to an extent, however, the potential for excessive light pollution is significant if not addressed at this stage of the process. Lights need to be focused downward, with caps on top, to prevent as much light pollution as is possible. This will benefit not only local residents, but guests as well, who will enjoy being able to see the desert night sky. String lights on 5 meter light posts should not be utilized except perhaps in an outdoor dining area.

Loud music and noise should be prevented or mitigated in keeping with the Rural Living zoning of this property and surrounding properties.

Native Plants

The use of non-native plants for the project should be discouraged. There is no need for a saguaro forest in the Mojave Desert. Saguaro do not natively grow here, and it would be obscene to allow the removal of native Mojave Desert plants while non-native plants are substituted to create an artificial landscape. I strongly recommend working with a native plant biologist like Robin Kobaly to craft a landscape utilizing the native desert plants on site and available. Either that, or plant a giant petunia forest to truly baffle guests. Why these folks need an agave farm, is mystifying, but after a few of the guests' children puncture themselves, they may have a change of heart.

Helipad

Perhaps this, coupled with the recording studio, and the proposal for 90.5 acres of parking and the ability to host 25,000 people at periodic concerts, are all part of the allure of this luxury glamping resort. In any event, how can this helipad be designed to be as safe as possible in an area frequently subjected to high winds, dust devils, and severe heat? Is this necessary? Why is this necessary? Could there be potential safety risks from power lines?

Fault Zone

Hi-desert residents who lived here in 1992, remember the Landers Earthquake quite well. Per the Fault Hazard Study conducted for the site, "surface fault rupture occurred within the western margin of the site along the trace of the Johnson Valley fault during the 1992 Landers earthquake. Evidence for the 1992 surface rupture was still apparent during the 2007 field investigation."

The report noted, "Primary faulting is indicated in only three of the ten trenches excavated and is shown with little apparent offset. This is somewhat troubling, especially considering the suspected Pleistocene age of the lower sedimentary units exposed within the trenches and suggests that the materials were too massive to clearly reveal offsets and/or not old enough to reveal repeated displacements... For purposes of established building setbacks, Landmark has included fractures within the overall fault zone and has recommended a conservative 75 foot setback from the fracture zone. This results in a non-buildable zone that varies from approximately 100 to 400 feet in width along the western site boundary."

The potential for significant seismic activity should be included in review of any site plans for this project. With most disruption occurring along the western side of the parcel, potential exists for water and power service to the site to be disrupted, as well as access to and from the site to Highway 247.

Cultural Resources

While a survey found few Native American cultural resources on site, they did find a prehistoric campsite with broken arrowheads and a possible prehistoric rock alignment, along with a rhyolite scraper, multiple cutting tools, and a mano fragment. Construction on this site should require a Native American site monitor.

--

Colin Donohue
Donohue Consultants, LLC
3484 Valley Vista Avenue
Yucca Valley, CA. 92284
(714) 514-9096

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Cordelia Reynolds <reynolds.cordelia@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 24, 2021 9:44 AM
To: Morrissey , Jim
Subject: Comments on Proj-2020-00191 Parcel no 0629-181-01

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Jim,

Thank you for taking the time to read my email and comment regarding the Flamingo Heights Glamping project.

I know you have received many comments as our community is rather dismayed by the size and enormity of the project and in general unregulated "Glamping" is an issue our community currently is struggling to deal with and handle.

I could make many points about the environment or safety concerns but I know you've already heard those points from much more educated and informed people than myself.

I guess the main point I would like to drive home to you would be about the precedent you would be creating by rezoning this land for such a large scale and commercial project. There is a lot of commercially zoned land in our desert that would make for a better campground and "Glamping" event site. Identifying that commercial land and developing it for further campgrounds would be a good idea, I think the community would have an easier time accepting and help with the insane demand the tourism market creates.

These outside developers should be looking and purchasing land that is already zoned for commercial use, ideally off the 62. But this developer has chosen land zoned for residential use for her large scale event and glamping location. If you change that zoning and this project is allowed to move forward you will be sending a very LOUD message to influential developers that are gearing up for this next trend in tourism. We must understand that when land like ours is affordable it will always be vulnerable to large scale projects that are not in balance with our small community. You can send a strong message that a rich developer can not simply buy cheap rural land and rezone for commercial use to then potentially resell for millions of dollars. The current zoning is in place for a reason and you could send the message that it can't be easily rezoned by one over the top development.

I live on 20 acres of raw desert land and I have seen first hand what human consumption does to our innocent desert life. We never litter but we constantly have trash blow over from neighboring parcels. I've literally picked plastic out of a tortoise mouth, it was heartbreaking. Humans are not respectful no matter what regulations and rules we put in place. We don't clean up after ourselves. The BEST way we can protect these endangered animals is to leave them alone. You can have that influence by not allowing a project like this to rezone rural land intended for a home into high traffic commercial use.

Thank you for your service and for your hard work.

Best,
Cordelia.

--

Cordelia Reynolds

reynolds.cordelia@gmail.com | 917-287-8312

"What you seek is seeking you" - Rumi

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Matt C <mattcossey1@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, June 27, 2022 10:26 AM
To: Morrissey , Jim
Subject: STOP GLAMPING IN FLAMINGO HEIGHTS

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

I have lived 1 mile from proposed site for 30 years. I have come across at least a Dozen(12) Desert Tortoises over the years. I have also seen desert fox, coyote, horned lizards, burrowing owls and Endangered plantlife. It would be a HUGE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT... on this area. Traffic and Quality of Life would be impacted. I don't want the traffic, or NOISE the Helicopters, or Live Bands will generate. If approved I will have to sue for over market value to buy me out for Ruining my Quality of Life on my Private Desert Living zoned property.

It's a quiet place and we want to keep it that way ! Also it's not our problem that the fire department cut funding so they can claim poverty to get Billions in tax from off our sweat beaten backs!!!

I oppose the glampsite.

Thanks.

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: courtaney walter <courtaneywalter@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 8:32 PM
To: Supervisor Rowe; Morrissey , Jim; Dawn.Rowe@mail.house.gov
Subject: NO on PROJ: 2020-00191 / Parcel # 0629-181-01

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Mr. Morrissey and Supervisor Rowe,

We are writing with comments on the proposed **Project 2020-00191** in Homestead Valley/Flamingo Heights.

We have a number of concerns with the project, which we will outline below, but first to acknowledge the challenge the county has in determining the proper course of development in the high desert. The Morongo Basin is experiencing a housing shortage and a massive influx of people interested in enjoying the climate, clean air, and natural beauty of the area. The challenge of balancing the need for affordable housing access while preserving the natural beauty that, itself, led many of us to invest in the area, is a serious one that should be met with sustainable development principles focused on: retrofitting/renovation of existing derelict or abandoned houses, smart infill development in zoned areas, and new development only in areas of little ecological or wildlife impact. But we should be perfectly clear that this project has neither the stated goal nor any conceivable outcome of improving housing access or improving the quality of life for the residents of the Morongo Basin.

We respect the rights of property owners to make plans for their investments, but when those plans impact public safety, quality of life, and ecosystems well beyond their property boundaries, then we believe further scrutiny is required. Here are our concerns:

1. **Zoning:** the area is zoned RL (rural residential) and a dense, high impact campsite, entertainment venue, helipad (truly inexplicably), bar and restaurant, are in direct conflict in principle and actuality to the zoning.
2. **Traffic:** Highway 247 is, per capita, one of the most dangerous stretches of highway in California, claiming the life of dozens of people in the last few years. A recent lane-widening and rumble-strip installation has done little to slow this tragic loss of life and this development will necessarily require a high degree of turn-in/turn-out traffic in an otherwise uninterrupted stretch of highway. This will require extensive study and lane-widening and perhaps a new traffic light to preserve public safety.
3. **Ecology/Wildlife:** the area plays host to a number sensitive animal and plant species such as the Western Joshua Tree and desert tortoise, both soon-to-be candidates for endangered species protection. We would demand a significant impact and mitigation study for any development.
4. **Water/Wastewater:** our local imported water supplies via the Mojave Water Agency are under serious stretch and this rapid development deserves serious study. Further, the nearby Ames Aquifer is a sensitive one and a critical source of water storage for both Bighorn Desert View Water District and the Hi Desert Water District. Absent a significant study of the potential aquifer impacts, this project should not proceed.

5. **Fire:** campsites=campfires and this area has already experienced a significant fire on the section catty-corner to this section to the southwest in recent years.
6. **Light/Noise pollution:** any music venue would permanently change the entire vicinity and not just during the events themselves as noise-sensitive animals like bighorn sheep migrate elsewhere. 70 campsites means at least 140 beams of headlights flashing across the section and across Pipes Wash all night for the in-and-out traffic of visitors.

Your consideration is very much appreciated and while not looking to stand in the way of smart, beneficial development that can support the ecosystem and community of the Morongo Basin, we believe there are a number of serious questions raised by this project that will require significant additional analysis and public review before proceeding further. It is our view that **Project 2020-00191** is fundamentally flawed, dangerous, and detrimental to the area.

Thank you very much for your consideration,

Courtaney and Siena Walter

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Courtney Freeman <ms.cpfreeman@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 11:37 AM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Subject: Comment Submission # PROJ-2020-00191

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

To: Jim Morrissey
Email: jim.morrissey@lus.sbcounty.gov
Tel: 909 387-4234

In regards to:
Project # PROJ-2020-00191
Assessor Parcel Number (APN) 0629-181-01
Applicant: Nancy Ferguson, Jericho Systems
Location: 2170 Old Woman Springs Rd

Comment Submission:

I understand making decisions in large rural areas are complicated and we all want to be progressive with growing. I am fully aware the area of Flamingo Heights will have large growing pains, however, this project in particular I believe is a crucial one. This request will set forth a crucial movement. Approving this project sets a course for large corporations to buy lower priced residential/rural living areas out bidding families and first time land owners/ home owners and turning neighborhoods into commercially centered chaos.

Flamingo Heights is a community, to be more specific a residential community. If we don't first take the thought of preserving the quality of life for our neighbors how are we to make the best decisions for our desert environment.

The proposed project has already addressed the use/implementation of dangerous features. From bonfires the same size as the majority of homes in the area. Grading the desert for parking, thus displacing hundreds of small native animals and destruction to native plants like the endangered/protected Joshua Tree. Promoting hiking in the immediate area, the same area that is mostly unfenced private property creating a huge trespassing issue. Then comes the danger that WILL be, not "possibly be", when we have our (almost weekly) High Winds. These high winds combined with the dangerously large bonfires will either get out of control or carry embers to neighboring homes causing death/harm. In addition, the smoke will change our fresh air to poor quality. The graded lands WILL be an issue of high wind sand being blown across hwy 247 causing visibility concerns and poor air quality through the immediate area. With large human traffic comes large trash disposal no matter how much effort we put in to containing trash the High Winds pick up and toss trash across our desert, now magnify that issue with the larger waste accumulated at this proposed business. The area will see a huge negative effect of wind displaced garbage. All of these factors and more we haven't even considered will negatively effect the quality of life our current and future Residential Homeowners/Renters aka our Neighbors, Friends, and fellow Community Members will face.

Please protect our community and help preserve the quality of life for our current and future generations by declining the proposed commercial use project in an already zoned Rural Living area.

Sincerely,
Courtney Freeman

760-220-5051

Ms.CPFreeman@gmail.com

(Flamingo Heights Resident)

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Craig Dicht <craigdicht@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 4:59 PM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Subject: Flamingo Heights 640, Project# PROJ-2020-00191 (parcel # 0629-181-01)

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Mr. Morrissey,

I'm writing to express my current opposition to the Conditional Use Permit for the Flamingo Heights 640, Project# PROJ-2020-00191 (parcel # 0629-181-01).

I have several key concerns. First among these is the impact to traffic safety on Highway 247 (Old Woman Springs Road). This is already a dangerous two lane highway. A Cal-Trans study ought to be conducted to determine current road conditions and forecast what would happen with a new business drawing 75 or more cars per day to this site. Without more road information, and a specific mitigation plan to address driver safety, I can't support this project.

The initial proposal for this site, according to the Notice document sent to nearby residents, included a helicopter landing area and 25,000 seat amphitheater. Through social media it seems the developer has dropped some of these proposals. However, the lack of clarity on what is and what isn't still in the Conditional Use Permit proposal, makes it extremely difficult for me to support it. I would prefer to see the developer resubmit their proposal, with a new round of notices.

A new proposal would be useful to judge whether too much environmental damage will be done that cannot be adequately mitigated. As it stands, it doesn't seem possible for the project to avoid damaging and killing Joshua Trees (yucca brevifolia) and protected animal species such as desert tortoise. A comprehensive biological survey of the area in 2006 determined the project site contains protected species.

The land is currently zoned for Rural Living. The developer has made no significant effort to connect with the local residents to have a conversation about how this project will affect the "neighborhood."

I am a director for the Bighorn Desert View Water Agency, whose sphere of influence this project site falls within. If the project progresses, BDVWA will almost certainly annex the property and serve the project. Land use decisions are not within our purview. That is why I am relying on you and the county decisionmakers to take a holistic view of land and water use on this project. Please move more slowly, gather more information, especially on water use and sensitive species on the land, and make a decision later.

Best,
Craig Dicht
Landers, California
Full-time resident.

--

"In order to survive, a plurality of true communities would require not egalitarianism and tolerance but knowledge, an understanding of the necessity of local differences, and respect. Respect, I think, always implies imagination--the ability to see one another, across our inevitable differences, as living souls." --Wendell Berry

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Cynthia Anderson <cynthia@cynthiaandersonpoet.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 15, 2021 10:05 AM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Subject: comment on PROJ-2020-00191, 0629-181-01

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Jim Morrissey, I am writing to comment on the proposed project at 2107 Old Woman Springs Rd., PROJ-2020-00191, Assessor Parcel Number 0629-181-01.

According to Z107 news on 4.14.21, “no one associated with the project has yet approached the Bighorn-Desert View Water Agency for a “will-serve” letter.” In our desert, afflicted by a serious drought, careful evaluation needs to take place as to whether there is really enough water to handle this development. A hold should be placed on the application for a Conditional Use Permit until this issue is addressed.

I live just a few miles from the proposed development and know that stretch of Hwy. 247 well. Increased traffic and lack of visibility around curves are major concerns. It seems to me that, before this project can move forward, a traffic study should be mandatory including an evaluation of whether a new traffic light, and/or new turnout lanes, etc. would be needed for safety.

Finally, according to the National Parks Conservation Association, the site is a priority wildlife connectivity corridor between Sand to Snow NM, the Bighorn Wilderness, Joshua Tree National Park, and points north. How will this project be designed to minimize impacts on wildlife and on the desert landscape? Is a restaurant and bar really appropriate? The proposal refers to unspecified “recreational facilities”—what will those facilities be, and how much desert vegetation will be disturbed to accommodate them?

Thank you for your time. Any new development needs to take these important environmental and safety concerns into account.

Sincerely, Cynthia Anderson

Cynthia Anderson
5524 Grand Ave.
Yucca Valley, CA 92284

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Dan John Anderson Studio <studio@danjohnanderson.com>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 8:49 PM
To: Morrissey , Jim
Subject: PLEASE BLOCK FLAMINGO 640

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello Supervisor Rowe, I am a 9 year full time resident of the high desert and a 6 year full time resident of Flamingo Heights in Yucca Valley. My children were born here, my wife runs a full time business from our home, we love living here and teaching our children about the wild spaces where we are. We are truly heartsick over projected plans for the Flamingo 640 proposed for our neighborhood. While we understand the greater public's interest in our beautiful area, allowing an exclusive, intrusive festival and campground in this residential area would be such a disservice and disgrace. The area that the grounds are proposed for is a beautiful wildlife sanctuary, it's very wildness is what gives our area it's vibrancy and voice. To turn it into tamed glamp-grounds is a heartbreaking proposal. The people that have chosen to live here did so for the peace and quiet we are afforded, allowing a helipad and encouraging a stream of festival-goers year round would ruin what we hold dear, not to mention it will disturb habitats for native flora and fauna. The 2 lane highway cannot support that much traffic and poses a danger and potential terrible traffic in an area that offers no other routes to our homes. We would encourage the developers of this project to look at areas closer to the commercial zones in Yucca Valley, providing support for the local business district and allowing traffic to stay closer to the main highway.

Thank you for your attention to this matter that we consider so urgent and alarming.

-Dan Anderson

-

Studio Dan John Anderson

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Dana Balicki <dana@danabalicki.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 27, 2021 10:04 AM
To: Morrissey , Jim
Subject: yucca valley resident

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,


I am a resident of Yucca Valley. I am writing to voice my strong opposition to the proposed camp site in Flamingo Heights.

A camp site of this size will cleanly be a threat to protected wild life in the area and Joshua Trees. It will add light and sound pollution to the area. It will add traffic and it will be an immense fire hazard. The heater community will see no benefit from this project.

Please help the people and animals of Flamingo Heights and do not allow the project to proceed.

Thank you very much for your time.

--



transformational coach

DANA BALICKI

202.422.8624 // [book your free consult](#)

[danabalicki.com](#) // ig : @danablix // tw : @danabalicki // fb : /danabalicki

"Your life is calling. Let's get courageous...you ready?"

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Dana Funaro <dana.funaro@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 27, 2021 7:16 AM
To: Morrissey , Jim; Dawn.Rowe@mail.house.gov; Supervisor Rowe
Subject: NO on PROJ: 2020-00191 / Parcel # 0629-181-01

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Mr. Morrissey and Supervisor Rowe,

We are writing with comments on the proposed **Project [2020-00191](#)** in Homestead Valley/Flamingo Heights.

We have a number of concerns with the project, which we will outline below, but first to acknowledge the challenge the county has in determining the proper course of development in the high desert. The Morongo Basin is experiencing a housing shortage and a massive influx of people interested in enjoying the climate, clean air, and natural beauty of the area. The challenge of balancing the need for affordable housing access while preserving the natural beauty that, itself, led many of us to invest in the area, is a serious one that should be met with sustainable development principles focused on: retrofitting/renovation of existing derelict or abandoned houses, smart infill development in zoned areas, and new development only in areas of little ecological or wildlife impact. But we should be perfectly clear that this project has neither the stated goal nor any conceivable outcome of improving housing access or improving the quality of life for the residents of the Morongo Basin.

We respect the rights of property owners to make plans for their investments, but when those plans impact public safety, quality of life, and ecosystems well beyond their property boundaries, then we believe further scrutiny is required. Here are our concerns:

1. **Zoning:** the area is zoned RL (rural residential) and a dense, high impact campsite, entertainment venue, helipad (truly inexplicably), bar and restaurant, are in direct conflict in principle and actuality to the zoning.
2. **Traffic:** Highway 247 is, per capita, one of the most dangerous stretches of highway in California, claiming the life of dozens of people in the last few years. A recent lane-widening and rumble-strip installation has done little to slow this tragic loss of life and this development will necessarily require a high degree of turn-in/turn-out traffic in an otherwise uninterrupted stretch of highway. This will require extensive study and lane-widening and perhaps a new traffic light to preserve public safety.
3. **Ecology/Wildlife:** the area plays host to a number sensitive animal and plant species such as the Western Joshua Tree and desert tortoise, both soon-to-be candidates for endangered species protection. We would demand a significant impact and mitigation study for any development.
4. **Water/Wastewater:** our local imported water supplies via the Mojave Water Agency are under serious stretch and this rapid development deserves serious study. Further, the nearby Ames Aquifer is a sensitive one and a critical source of water storage for both

Bighorn Desert View Water District and the Hi Desert Water District. Absent a significant study of the potential aquifer impacts, this project should not proceed.

5. **Fire:** campsites=campfires and this area has already experienced a significant fire on the section catty-corner to this section to the southwest in recent years.
6. **Light/Noise pollution:** any music venue would permanently change the entire vicinity and not just during the events themselves as noise-sensitive animals like bighorn sheep migrate elsewhere. 70 campsites means at least 140 beams of headlights flashing across the section and across Pipes Wash all night for the in-and-out traffic of visitors.

Your consideration is very much appreciated and while not looking to stand in the way of smart, beneficial development that can support the ecosystem and community of the Morongo Basin, we believe there are a number of serious questions raised by this project that will require significant additional analysis and public review before proceeding further. It is our view that **Project [2020-00191](#)** is fundamentally flawed, dangerous, and detrimental to the area.

Thank you very much for your consideration,

Dana Funaro
She/Her
dana.funaro@gmail.com
www.danafunaro.com

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Daniela Gorny <daniela.gorny@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 8:22 PM
To: Supervisor Rowe; Morrissey, Jim
Subject: Stop Flamingo Heights Festival & Glamping Development aka "Flamingo 640"

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Dawn Rowe and Jim Morrissey,

Please consider blocking development on this parcel, it would be catastrophic. More information below:

Thank you for your time.

1. **This development will further harm the endangered wildlife.**

- This wildlife area is a tortoise habitat - under the state law this creature is a threatened species under the US Endangered Species Act.
- Thousands of Western Joshua Trees growing on this plot of land are also candidates for threatened species under the California Endangered Species Act & cannot be legally removed.
- This plot of land is also home to burrowing owls and many other native animals and plants that rely on this pristine desert area as a wildlife corridor.
- Xujhknfqr Amj Unjx\ fmxaxf hwnfcl mj kj atwitwntssjhysl Xfsi at% Xst | % fyt sfcl tszr jsyf si Amj Enlmtws\ mj wsjxx at Dtxmzf Wwj % fyt sfcl Ufw3
- Ymj awtutxji a j {j qur j syf shqz insl % : 5 nfwufwpsl q y | m j v z n w h g j f w s l % q f s i % m m m a r j f s x w j r t { n l q s i f s l j w j i D t x m z f W w j x a s h j % D t x m z f W w j j a x % w j r t { j i h y a x z s q p j q a t x z w n j w j u d f s y s l 3

2. **Enormous crowds during music festivals and events pose a huge environmental risk.**

- These enormous events will create noise & light pollution, disturbing the nearby residents and impact residents even miles away.
- In the noise assessment ([here](#)) on page 2, there is mention that the project owner would like to hold music festivals several times a year with as many as **25,000 attendees per event!!!**
- Heavy use of roads and improper use of wildlife areas cause permanent harm to endangered plants and animals' burrows. We already know from past music festivals that attendants will park vehicles and camp in restricted outdoor areas.
- Helipad invites air traffic that is a noise disturbance and is an invasion of privacy to nearby residents.

3. **Unattended, poorly managed & illegal fires contribute to the risk of wildfires.**

- Every year our area already faces wildfire caused by campfires, cigarettes and camping stoves.
- This area is a high wind zone all year round. Wildfires spread quickly and pose a danger to nearby homes.
- Fire Stations are limited and miles away. Often these wildfires need multiple firetank helicopters to efficiently fight these wildfires. Wildfires in nearby areas

such as Flamingo Heights and Joshua Tree have destroyed 100s of acres of pristine wildlife.

- Who will be responsible for monitoring outdoor fire activity when there are regularly 200-300 guests per weekend?
4. **The constant stream of heavy traffic will make our roads more dangerous and increase risk of fatality.**
- The one lane on highway 247 cannot support increased constant traffic. Currently, turning on and off the highway in 55+ mph zone poses a huge risk.
 - Our dirt roads wash out unexpectedly in storms, blocking off road & creating large gaps along the highways that poses a risk to drivers.
 - **Old Woman Springs Road is a dangerous highway, more so when crowded. In the last five years there have been more than a dozen accidents in the stretch of highway between Aberdeen and Reche (cite) that were serious enough to have had first responder involvement.** Adding more than 100 cars exiting and entering the highway here during busy hours will prove dangerous, especially given drivers who pick up speed once they emerge from Pipes Canyon south of the project. A thorough traffic study, dedicated turn lanes, and perhaps a stop light should be considered if the project goes forward. The likelihood of extra costs to the county for first responders should be assessed.
5. **Large crowds strain our limited restaurants and compete with residents for food.**
- With over 3 million tourists annually at JT National Park, the current amount of approx 60 food establishments in the Morongo Basin does not provide nearly enough food access for residents & tourists.
 - Residents need more affordable food options that are walkable or within close distance to their homes. The proposed onsite restaurant/bar are not open for public use without proper zoning change. Which means that it's only for paying registered guests. This does nothing to benefit the community.
6. **Glamping serves tourists. This does nothing to alleviate the current long term housing crisis or camping complaints.**
- Residents lack long term housing options because most all housing has turned into vacation rentals.
 - Regular large scale festivals of 25,000 attendants will require accommodations, further exacerbating our long term housing crisis.
 - Where will the staff for this proposed festival/glamping resort live? Service workers in our region already cannot find housing!
 - Legal or illegal camping on rural lands occurs on a regular basis. The people who are currently camping, simply put, are displaced from traditional housing. They cannot afford traditional housing. Glamping is expensive and does not help these displaced people!
7. **This project will create more low paying jobs and which does nothing to uplift this economically challenged community.**
- Money from this project goes right back in the pocket of out of town individuals. This resort will offer low paying jobs to locals. Locals already are impacted by the seasonal tourist economy that brings a loss of jobs and income during low season. This community deserves meaningful work opportunities, but do we need more low paying, seasonal jobs?
 - This project is billed as "high end" and "luxury." This is out of reach for many locals. Is this resort appropriate for this area where the average income is \$78,982?

- What is this project specifically doing to help our community compared to how much it is exploiting our community's resources?
8. **Residents have the right to Quiet Enjoyment under Rural Living Zoning.**
- This parcel is zoned RL (Rural Living), and conditional use permit harms the neighbors' rights to **quiet enjoyment** the RL zoning is designed to protect.
 - This is especially true if the proposed helipad, large scale festivals and regular noise & light pollution occurs on a regular, permanent basis, disturbing the privacy of nearby residents.
 - The proposed bar and restaurant add to the concern, as does the possibility that this would become an event venue.
 - This project really should be developed in a commercial zone area, not in Rural Living zoning.
9. **Water is a scarce resource in our desert region. There is no water supply to this parcel or any sewage system.**
- Water, waste water disposal and sewage for large scale developments needs proper infrastructure and should not further strain our community's limited resources.
 - **There is no water supply to this property.** The parcel is not in the service area of either Bighorn Desert View or High Desert Water District. In order to develop the property in line with basic building codes, Bighorn Desert View would have to agree to build a pipeline to serve the property, at the land owner's expense. Have owners contacted Bighorn Desert View, and what is the likelihood that the water agency would actually agree to serve this facility?
 - Sewage needs to be fully considered so that it does not leak into the precious water source of current residents. There are at least 61 residential wells and an aquifer that serves BigHorn Water District which supplies water for most all residents in the Flaming Heights area.
10. **What do you envision for the community instead of this proposed festival/glamping development? Pitch your ideas!**
- We want this pristine wildlife area placed into a **land trust for permanent conservation**. Mojave Desert Land Trust (MDLT) and other conservation organizations can help!
 - We want this plot of land turned into **affordable housing units that are long term rentals**. Residents, especially service workers, need affordable housing!
 - Do not develop on this pristine wildlife area. Instead, **create a low-impact motel along Hwy 247 or Hwy 62 in a commercial zone that is walkable to other businesses and does not create more traffic.**
 - Invest in development projects that support **restaurants for the public!**
 - **Designate a commercial zone in the Morongo Basin area into fairgrounds** - that's suitable for large events and music festivals. We do not want our peaceful home & wildlife habitat turned into a party zone for tourists!

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Demerle <danielle.demerle@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 25, 2021 10:20 PM
To: Morrissey , Jim
Subject: Flamingo 640

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

To: Jim Morrissey

I'm writing as a concerned neighbor in opposition to the "Flamingo 640" glamping (and possible festival) development proposal Project #PROJ-2020-00191, APN 0629-181-01. Our family of 4 lives about a mile west of the site. We purchased our property 5 years ago, and cherish the peace, quiet, and natural habitat that the land offers. Both of our children are enrolled at Landers Elementary School, and before Covid they caught the school bus at La Brisa and 247, the South corner of the land proposed for development. I have many concerns, and hope you will take those mentioned here to heart in your considerations for allowing this project to proceed.

First off, the traffic on 247/Old Woman Springs Road has gotten much worse in the last 5 years with the influx of new residents and tourists who are less than respectful. People from the city often follow with about 1 car distance and pass illegally, putting lives in danger. Imagining a site with parking for 350 people who will likely not know the roads, it's bound to cause problems on 247. If traffic were to back up, it could easily cause accidents in the blind curves, with emergency response having no fast way in. I saw in the paperwork that the petitioners were asking to NOT do research on the impact to transportation in the area, and this just seems nuts. On top of that, the idea of any large festival in the area seems so absurd, out of place, and downright dangerous considering the road infrastructure. It's also likely that residents in my area who only have 1 road accessing the neighborhood (La Brisa, West of 247) would find it nearly impossible to enter and/or exit the road.

Next, this is largely a rural residential area, and allowing this development would completely change the fabric of our community. I have no desire for or tolerance of noise pollution due to party or festival music, road and rumble strip noise, or helicopters that would likely be flying low over our home en route to/from Los Angeles. Allowing our neighborhood to be turned into a playground for wealthy city-folk who want to come wear their desert costumes and trip out for an Instagram weekend would be a slap in the face to the working people who moved here for a quiet, affordable place to raise a family and/or retire in peace.

Last but not least, the environmental concerns beyond noise and light pollution are not to be dismissed. Water supply, sewage management, additional trash being blown by the winds that often pick up - I find it hard to believe that these things would be treated properly. Thinking of all of the animals that would be displaced and disturbed, as well as the plants and Joshua Trees - it's not worth it to let developers, who picked up this parcel for the low price of \$350k, exploit the land and people for their profit.

I'd much rather see this area used for affordable long-term rental housing (which we are in dire need of in the area) or, for nothing more than the wilderness.

Please do not hesitate to contact me for more information.

Danielle Demerlé

Biggs, Lupe

From: David Dodge <info@portabledocument.org>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 4:38 PM
To: Morrissey , Jim
Subject: AGAINST Flamingo 640 glamping
Attachments: AGAINST Flamingo 640 glamping

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

From: [David Dodge](#)
To: [Morrissey, Jim](#)
Subject: AGAINST Flamingo 640 glamping
Date: Monday, April 26, 2021 4:38:25 PM

Dear Sir:

I am strongly opposed to the planned large scale glamping site proposed in Flamingo Heights (Proj: 2020-00191, Parcel (0629-181-01). The water needs, waste needs, traffic implications, strain on wildlife, noise issues, light pollution are all in extreme inverse to the way this land should be treated and developed. I am a longtime resident of Joshua Tree and am already highly frustrated by the rampant stress caused by masses of transient visitors, traffic, pollution, noise. This will only add to that in spades. Please count me as a voice against this type of large scale poorly thought out development which in the end will only destroy the delicate beauty of the desert and further stress its native inhabitants.

Sincerely,
David Dodge
Joshua Tree

From: [David Dodge](#)
To: [Morrissey, Jim](#)
Subject: AGAINST Flamingo 640 glamping
Date: Monday, April 26, 2021 4:38:25 PM

Dear Sir:

I am strongly opposed to the planned large scale glamping site proposed in Flamingo Heights (Proj: 2020-00191, Parcel (0629-181-01). The water needs, waste needs, traffic implications, strain on wildlife, noise issues, light pollution are all in extreme inverse to the way this land should be treated and developed. I am a longtime resident of Joshua Tree and am already highly frustrated by the rampant stress caused by masses of transient visitors, traffic, pollution, noise. This will only add to that in spades. Please count me as a voice against this type of large scale poorly thought out development which in the end will only destroy the delicate beauty of the desert and further stress its native inhabitants.

Sincerely,
David Dodge
Joshua Tree

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: idavid <idavid@idavidgraficks.com>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 3:56 PM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Subject: Project# PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor Parcel Number: 0629-181-01

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Jim Morrissey,

These comment are towards the Flamingo Heights 640, Project# PROJ-2020-00191 (parcel # 0629-181-01).

My name's David Fick, 36 year resident of Joshua Tree, VP of the Morongo Basin Conservation Association and President of Desert Protection Society. The comments are by me as individual.

The greater Joshua Tree area (everybody wants to be called "Joshua Tree") does need augmenting private campsites for the Joshua Tree National Park's highly Wilderness restricted campsites. It seems there's several in the 'works' but this FH640 is in a biologically fragile area. As reviewed by the Circle Mountain Group in 2006 (the most credible and respected biological survey group in the greater Southern California Desert region) - there's clearly evidence of the endangered Desert Tortoise and way too many Joshua Trees for the developer AND THE COUNTY to deal respectfully. You should be aware - our County requested a 'take' of 450 Joshua Trees from the California Fish and Wildlife Agency only to become DYSFUNCTIONAL in completing their application. So now - as of April 26th, 2021 - the developer now has to deal directly with the State of California in regards to these vastly numerous 'Threatened Joshua Trees'. How awkward is that?

I understand through my network - the Amphitheater, 25,000 music attendees and their 90 acre parking lot (just where is that ninety acres in placement) has been abandoned for now, but that illustrates the reality and depth of concern by the developer for the rest of the proposed project. Are our County Supervisors going to make us and our environ PLAYTHINGS for the Beverly Hills and/or New York Investors or are they going to represent the locals with our already decades of investments in our communities? It seems the Flash of new money by Developers always gets the attention of County Planning over the mundane tax collected funds of your constituents.

A good number of locals know this is a wildlife corridor sensitive to any activity and this should become conservation land. There's plenty of less sensitive open spaces to accommodate these little desert pipe dreams by Rich City Folks. Heck, there's even the already fenced 110 acre former Joshua Tree Airport in Eastern Joshua Tree that was destroyed by NextEra and the County in 2016.

Besides all the other comment letters you're getting on this project - the simple fact that there's too many Joshua Trees to address properly on this proposed project SHOULD disqualify it from serious consideration for this type of intensive development.

Just a FYI - I was on the Joshua Tree Municipal Advisory Council - Head of LandUse Committee 2006-2012 and have seen a lot more than I'm letting on. I'm two for three in CEQA lawsuits with the County.

Respectfully,

David Fick

Joshua Tree (the real Joshua Tree)

VP of Morongo Basin Conservation Association (www.mbconservation.org)

President of Desert Protection Society

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: David Fields <davidfields606@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 8:59 AM
To: Morrissey , Jim; Supervisor Rowe
Subject: NO on PROJ-2020-00191

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello Mr. Morriseey and Supervisor Rowe,

I hope this email finds you both well.

I am a full-time hi-desert resident, and I am writing to oppose the Highway 247 Landers Glamping Resort in Pipes Canyon Wash (PROJ-2020-00191). Over the last several years, our region has seen more and more investors displacing residents in our hi-desert communities. Now, they want to move forward with displacing protected Joshua Trees, burrowing owls, and endangered desert tortoise.

Simply put, we cannot continue to allow the ROI of absentee landowners to be placed above full-time residents and the importance of preserving the very things that make the hi-desert such a desirable area to visit.

Please vote NO on PROJ-2020-00191.

Thank you for your time, consideration, and understanding. Please have a wonderful week and stay safe, happy, and healthy.

Best,
David Fields

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: djohnmckean@gmail.com
Sent: Sunday, April 18, 2021 3:46 PM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Cc: Supervisor Rowe
Subject: FW: Comment on PROJ-2020-00919 Proposed 75 space glamping project at Luna Vista and OWS RD.

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

From: djohnmckean@gmail.com
Sent: Sunday, April 18, 2021 3:40 PM
To: Jim.morrisscy@lus.sbcounty.gov
Cc: Supervisor.Rowe@bos.sbcounty.gov
Subject: Comment on PROJ-2020-00919 Proposed 75 space glamping project at Luna Vista and OWS RD.

Attn. Mr. Morrisscy;

My name is David McKean and my home is at 833 Wamego Trail in Flamingo Heights. This location is approximately 2-3 miles from the proposed glamping project and in fact would be visible from my property. While the environmental and residential integration issues concerning a project of this size and scope are many and varied, my specific concern here is with the unavoidable effects of the light pollution.

One of the notable and valuable amenities of living in the high desert is that we still have access to dark skies. People come from hundreds, even thousands of miles just to experience the views afforded by the absence of city light. But there is so much more at risk than just the beauty of the night sky, when you consider allowing concentrated sources of light in wilderness areas.

Wildlife, and the wild areas that are their home need the cycles of light and dark to support them. Birds of prey such as owls use the advantage of their superior eyesight to hunt at night. Without that natural opportunity they will either starve or move on from the area.

Coyotes, bobcats and other predators also use the darkness as a hunting advantage. While smaller rodents and prey use the dark as cover from hawks and snakes to gather food. Without the natural light cycles, the balance is upset, the animals are forced to leave, and the wild desert becomes just another sand box.

I have read much of the project notes concerning this issue and find them to be lacking in scope at best and disingenuous at worst. As I am sure you are aware, people rarely go glamping alone, so each one of the proposed 75 sites represents 3-4 campers. This means a minimum of 200 to 300 people on the site at any given time. The idea that that many people can be accommodated with minimal lighting, reflective signs and tape is laughable. The liability alone of hundreds of people, including children, walking about, using bathroom, pool, and other facilities at night will require more than just "minimal" lighting. Not to mention that the first time a camper trips, falls, and sues the owner, the camp area will be made to illuminate the darkness as mediation. KOA sites come to mind.

To be brief, I am not a scientist, but I have spent a significant amount of time in my life fishing and camping and the disruption of the natural environment with the inevitable light and noise that this project would require are totally inappropriate in this neighborhood and should NOT be considered for any type of zoning variance without complete and thorough environmental impact studies, site reviews, and public input. The desert, once destroyed, cannot easily be reclaimed.

Thank you for your careful consideration of this important matter;

David J. McKean

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10



Virus-free. www.avast.com

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: David Moore <pscrafterworks@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 9:45 PM
To: Dawn.Rowe@mail.house.gov; Supervisor Rowe; audrey mandelbaum; Morrissey , Jim
Subject: NO on PROJECT: 2020-00191 / Parcel # 0629-181-01

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Mr. Morrissey and Supervisor Rowe,

I'm writing with comments on the proposed **Project 2020-00191** in Homestead Valley/Flamingo Heights.

I have a number of concerns with the project, which I will outline below, but first to acknowledge the challenge the county has in determining the proper course of development in the high desert. The Morongo Basin is experiencing a housing shortage and a massive influx of people interested in enjoying the climate, clean air, and natural beauty of the area. The challenge of balancing the need for affordable housing access while preserving the natural beauty that, itself, led many to invest in the area, is a serious one that should be met with sustainable development principles focused on: retrofitting/renovation of existing derelict or abandoned houses, smart infill development in zoned areas, and new development only in areas of little ecological or wildlife impact. But I should be perfectly clear that this project has neither the stated goal nor any conceivable outcome of improving housing access or improving the quality of life for the residents of the Morongo Basin.

We respect the rights of property owners to make plans for their investments, but when those plans impact public safety, quality of life, and ecosystems well beyond their property boundaries, then we believe further scrutiny is required. Here are my concerns:

1. **Zoning:** the area is zoned RL (rural residential) and a dense, high impact campsite, entertainment venue, helipad (truly inexplicably), bar and restaurant, are in direct conflict in principle and actuality to the zoning.
2. **Traffic:** Highway 247 is, per capita, one of the most dangerous stretches of highway in California, claiming the life of dozens of people in the last few years. A recent lane-widening and rumble-strip installation has done little to slow this tragic loss of life and this development will necessarily require a high degree of turn-in/turn-out traffic in an otherwise uninterrupted stretch of highway. This will require extensive study and lane-widening and perhaps a new traffic light to preserve public safety.
3. **Ecology/Wildlife:** the area plays host to a number sensitive animal and plant species such as the Western Joshua Tree and desert tortoise, both soon-to-be candidates for endangered species protection. We would demand a significant impact and mitigation study for any development.

4. **Water/Wastewater:** our local imported water supplies via the Mojave Water Agency are under serious stretch and this rapid development deserves serious study. Further, the nearby Ames Aquifer is a sensitive one and a critical source of water storage for both Bighorn Desert View Water District and the Hi Desert Water District. Absent a significant study of the potential aquifer impacts, this project should not proceed.
5. **Fire:** campsites=campfires and this area has already experienced a significant fire on the section catty-corner to this section to the southwest in recent years.
6. **Light/Noise pollution:** any music venue would permanently change the entire vicinity and not just during the events themselves as noise-sensitive animals like bighorn sheep migrate elsewhere. 70 campsites means at least 140 beams of headlights flashing across the section and across Pipes Wash all night for the in-and-out traffic of visitors.

Your consideration is very much appreciated and while not looking to stand in the way of smart, beneficial development that can support the ecosystem and community of the Morongo Basin, we believe there are a number of serious questions raised by this project that will require significant additional analysis and public review before proceeding further. It is my view that **Project 2020-00191** is fundamentally flawed, dangerous, and detrimental to the area.

Thank you very much for your consideration,

Best regards,
David Moore
Landers Homestead Cabin Owner

--

David Moore
323.376.4848

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: David Rivera <3rleather@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 12, 2021 6:53 PM
To: Morrissey , Jim
Subject: CUP on parcel no. 0629-181-01 Project no. PROJ-2020-0091

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

I live on Moonstone Ln. I am against the CUP for the establishment of a glamping resort. This area is zoned rural living and should remain so . A CUP is an action to avoid the rezoning of this property. This is an attempt to back door all regulations in place by the state of Calif.and SB county to develop undeveloped property. Please

keep me informed on the developments and if you have any questions.

--

David Rivera
760-807-6405

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Pieper, Dean <dpieper@cmccd.edu>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 11:31 AM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Subject: No on Flamingo Heights Campground

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Mr. Morrissey,

Please do not support the proposal to develop the 640 acres in Flamingo Heights into a campground. As a resident of Flamingo Heights, I am not against change in our community. We have recently seen a coffee shop open and there is potential for other small businesses and residential development in our area. I welcome development that is respectful of our environment and our community. However, a Disneyesque campground is not consistent with the low impact, desert friendly community that exists just outside Yucca Valley.

The acreage has Joshua Trees and Desert Tortoises, both species are protected and both species are natural symbols of our unique area. There is no way that these species will not be disturbed and more likely be destroyed in the development process. Neither species have a successful history in relocation attempts. Light pollution, noise pollution, dust and air pollution will adversely affect the area. Traffic and fire safety will be an issue with people unfamiliar with the desert glamping in our fragile environment.

Best Regards,
Dean W. Pieper

This message has been scanned for malware by Websense. www.websense.com

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: kil at Home <miafiga3@hotmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 21, 2021 8:59 PM
To: Morrissey , Jim
Subject: Project-2020-00191

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Re: proj-2020-0091
Parcel 0629-181-01

Dear Sir,

I would like to state my concerns and opposition to the Glamping project in Flamingo Heights. I am a resident in the area, one mile from the proposed project, and would like to express my objection to this project.

I have worked hard to get my dream home in the area for retirement and am fearful of certain aspects of this project. Specifically:

- 1) Traffic, accidents and fatalities are at a very high level and will continue to increase. Hwy 247 is currently covered by Ca Highway Patrol, and am concerned about law enforcement coverage for excessive traffic.
- 2) I would like to see Environmental studies by the appropriate channels, not only included in project proposal.
- 3) Why do we need a helicopter heliport?
- 4) Hospital and medical care is limited in this community and there is reason to believe this will add strain for the community.

Thank you for your time,

Deborah Melford
Miafiga3@hotmail.com

Sent from my iPhone

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Deb Douglas <debdesert@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 11:09 AM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Subject: PROJ-2020-00191, assessor parcel 0629-181-01

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Mr. Morrissey

Been working as a social worker for about 20 years, but also a real estate investor and former resident of Flamingo Heights, now living in Joshua Tree, part of the larger community of the Morongo Basin. This project will affect my neighborhood as well, with the potential to reduce the darkness of our night skies and increase the already vastly increased traffic since covid lockdown. We are a driveable destination from multiple congested locations

There are definite community benefits, including revenue to the County. The question is, what are the guiding factors for project approval, beyond meeting the law and policy.

People who own land, zoned for a particular use, should be able to use that land for the use that is allowed. They knew it when they bought it, what it was zoned. We as a community agreed to the General Plan, which includes guidelines on housing. I have read the housing section, which is not being conformed to in general with the vast influx of short term rentals. That aside, an interesting destination could benefit the community if we are enriched by it and can participate with it. For example if it had weekend food trucks, jobs for housecleaners and groundskeepers.

If a piece of land is zoned commercial, the County has the ability to extract development fees, to say, build a park and mitigate some of the increased density, crime, traffic, air pollution etcetera. That is not an option under the law for a residentially zoned property, except when you split it, and are required to pay for a road or environmental studies for turtles or trees around here. I imagine this property is zoned rural residential, which isn't really a conforming use, but in practice the County has allowed bed and breakfasts (air bnb/short term rentals) in residential zoning since no substantial legal challenges have yet been mounted to address commercial hotel/motel activity in residential zoning. Perhaps there needs to be an addition to zoning classifications to manage proactively, for example "Rural Land plus STR" for select parcels. This would be non-discriminatory, versus arguing about zoning after the fact and an individual's rights versus community.

Please consider the community and community needs and mitigate what makes sense for the long term health of the area. When I lived in Flamingo heights, the rural living feel, the neighbors with pygmy goats, horses, roosters crowing in the morning were all comforting. If a development dramatically changes the community without giving back, maybe it is not the right land use.

I would appreciate a response that this public comment was received, in the event I decide I want to testify at a future Board of Supervisor's hearing on the project.

Sincerely,

Debra Douglas

Debdesert@gmail.com

760-668-7024

--

Deb

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Debra <debrareverend@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 25, 2021 12:06 PM
To: Morrissey , Jim
Subject: Glamping project in Landers

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Jim:

I oppose this project for many reasons. First of all, I live off of Hwy. 247 and I am very concerned for unmanageable traffic conditions. Hwy. 247 cannot handle the traffic load right now and I can barely get out of my street on Hwy 247 to go anywhere!

Secondly, the land these Beverly Hills developers want to develop, has high winds, protected Joshua Trees and plant species, protected wild life (tortoises). These developers have no business creating a large project that will kill off more nature and disturb the peace and quiet of the locals. How will sewage and water be managed? Traffic and noise pollution? Fire safety? Will it even bring dollars to our local communities or just line the pockets of Beverly Hills developers?

Many of us moved up here to live close to nature and peace and quiet. Please do not allow this project to go through. Our animals, plants and trees need homes to. When are we going to stop destroying what keeps us alive????
Sincerely,

Dr. Debra Savitt

--

Reverend Debra G Savitt

--

Reverend Debra G Savitt

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: DEREK GIRLING <dcgirling@verizon.net>
Sent: Friday, October 7, 2022 5:05 PM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Subject: PROJ-2020-00191 CDFW Wildlife Incident Report Submission Confirmation

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Wildlife report filed with state documenting recent sighting at my property adjacent to PROJ-2020-00191.

I have been submitting every sighting of desert tortoise, bobcat, and desert fox to the state for documentation of wildlife active on my property adjacent to this project which is seeking a Conditional Use Permit.

Thanks,
Derek Girling

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Do Not Reply" <noreply@wildlife.ca.gov>
Subject: CDFW Wildlife Incident Report Submission Confirmation
Date: June 4, 2022 at 12:32:34 PM PDT
To: "Derek Girling" <dcgirling@verizon.net>

6/4/2022

FROM: California Department of Fish and Wildlife
Wildlife Incident Reporting System

TO: Derek Girling <dcgirling@verizon.net>

Dear Derek,

SUCCESS! Your report has been submitted to a CDFW investigator. If additional information is required, the investigator will contact you. If you would like to check the status of your incident or establish further contact with the Department by email, please create a CDFW Web Account [here](#). If you do not wish to create an account at this time, please copy and save the following incident identifier:

WIR-2022-001928

You will need it to view this incident summary in the future.

For more information on living with wildlife, please visit our [Keep Me Wild](#) website. Thank You for your submission!

California Department of Fish and Wildlife
Wildlife Incident Reporting System

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Derek Monypeny <dmonypeny@hotmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 8:01 AM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Subject: Proposed Flamingo Heights Glamping Resort

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Jim.

As a resident of Yucca Mesa since 2018 I am deeply concerned about the 640 acre Flamingo Heights Glamping Resort from the RoBott Land Company in Beverly Hills, CA.

Thank you for taking the time to consider our community's concerns.

Derek

Assessor Parcel Number (APN) 0629-181-01
Project # PROJ-2020-00191

My concerns and questions:

Habitat destruction: This is important habitat for many species, including desert tortoise (state endangered), western Joshua tree (state threatened), western burrowing owl (California Species of Special Concern), migratory birds (protected under the migratory bird treaty act) and other wildlife, including desert kit fox, mountain lion. Creosote rings and Mojave yucca clonal rings are present as well, some of them potentially thousands of years old. The 2006 biological survey report is [here](#):

Parcel is also an important connectivity link between San Bernardino Mountains/Sand to Snow National Monument, Bighorn Mountain Wilderness, Marine Corps base, and Joshua Tree National Park. Development would remove that ecological value.

Parcel is in a priority conservation area, and land trusts are ready to offer fair market value for the property, protecting it in perpetuity.

Parcel is zoned RL (Rural Living), and conditional use permit wouldn't mitigate the erosion of neighbors' quiet enjoyment the RL zoning is designed to protect. This is especially true if the described helipad sees significant use. The proposed bar and restaurant add to the concern, as does the possibility that this would become an event venue.

Fire pits included in the proposal prompt the question: will operations include onsite staff who can restrict fire pit use during burning bans, high-wind events, or periods of high particulate matter counts? If not, who will be responsible for fire safety, and for environmental and public safety in general?

Wastewater: Plans suggest that wastewater will be directed to a leach field on the property, north of the resort. A stormwater retention basin will be sited immediately east of the sewage disposal leach field. What volume of waste water is projected to be disposed of via this leach field (considering perhaps 200-300 guests in a typical weekend in season)? Are hydrology studies available that ensure this large addition of wastewater won't infiltrate into neighbors' wells (there are at least 61 domestic wells within a few miles downstream) or the aquifer serving Bighorn Desert View Water Agency? Would stormwater capture from the project's hardened surfaces accentuate this percolation of sewage?

Water supply: there is no water supply to this property. The parcel is not in the service area of either Bighorn Desert View or High Desert Water District. In order to develop the property in line with basic building codes, Bighorn Desert View would have to agree to build a pipeline to serve the property, at the land owner's expense. Have owners contacted Bighorn Desert View, and what is the likelihood that the water agency would actually agree to serve this facility?

Noise and light: Will the entire facility be available for rent to one party for special events? Will concerts or festivals be planned here? How many helicopter landings/departures are envisioned per month? Studies of potential noise levels should be undertaken. Lighting sufficient for hundreds of guests, plus ambient light from lodging units, fires, visitor headlights, party lights, and other sources of illumination are likely to pose a substantial addition to light trespass in this relatively dark area, posing threats to safety, wildlife, and quiet enjoyment by neighbors.

Traffic safety: Old Woman Springs Road is a dangerous highway, more so when crowded. In the last five years there have been more than a dozen accidents in the stretch of highway between Aberdeen and Reche that were serious enough to have had first responder involvement. Adding more than 100 cars exiting and entering the highway here during busy hours will prove dangerous, especially given drivers who pick up speed once they emerge from Pipes Canyon south of the project. A thorough traffic study, dedicated turn lanes, and perhaps a stop light should be considered if the project goes forward. The likelihood of extra costs to the county for first responders should be assessed.

Would the proposed project be accessible for those with physical limitations?

How would the proposed project utilize local labor and contractors to support the local community?

How would the campground keep their guests from trespassing on adjacent properties?

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Desiree Klein <odesireekleino@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 8:54 PM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Cc: Dawn.Rowe@mail.house.gov; Supervisor Rowe
Subject: NO on PROJ: 2020-00191 / Parcel # 0629-181-01

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Mr. Morrissey and Supervisor Rowe,

I am writing with comments on the proposed **Project [2020-00191](#)** in Homestead Valley/Flamingo Heights.

We have a number of concerns with the project, which we will outline below, but first to acknowledge the challenge the county has in determining the proper course of development in the high desert. The Morongo Basin is experiencing a housing shortage and a massive influx of people interested in enjoying the climate, clean air, and natural beauty of the area. The challenge of balancing the need for affordable housing access while preserving the natural beauty that, itself, led many of us to invest in the area, is a serious one that should be met with sustainable development principles focused on: retrofitting/renovation of existing derelict or abandoned houses, smart infill development in zoned areas, and new development only in areas of little ecological or wildlife impact. But we should be perfectly clear that this project has neither the stated goal nor any conceivable outcome of improving housing access or improving the quality of life for the residents of the Morongo Basin.

We respect the rights of property owners to make plans for their investments, but when those plans impact public safety, quality of life, and ecosystems well beyond their property boundaries, then we believe further scrutiny is required. Here are our concerns:

1. **Zoning:** the area is zoned RL (rural residential) and a dense, high impact campsite, entertainment venue, helipad (truly inexplicably), bar and restaurant, are in direct conflict in principle and actuality to the zoning.
2. **Traffic:** Highway 247 is, per capita, one of the most dangerous stretches of highway in California, claiming the life of dozens of people in the last few years. A recent lane-widening and rumble-strip installation has done little to slow this tragic loss of life and this development will necessarily require a high degree of turn-in/turn-out traffic in an otherwise uninterrupted stretch of highway. This will require extensive study and lane-widening and perhaps a new traffic light to preserve public safety.
3. **Ecology/Wildlife:** the area plays host to a number sensitive animal and plant species such as the Western Joshua Tree and desert tortoise, both soon-to-be candidates for endangered species protection. We would demand a significant impact and mitigation study for any development.
4. **Water/Wastewater:** our local imported water supplies via the Mojave Water Agency are under serious stretch and this rapid development deserves serious study. Further, the nearby Ames Aquifer is a sensitive one and a critical source of water storage for both

Bighorn Desert View Water District and the Hi Desert Water District. Absent a significant study of the potential aquifer impacts, this project should not proceed.

5. **Fire:** campsites=campfires and this area has already experienced a significant fire on the section catty-corner to this section to the southwest in recent years.
6. **Light/Noise pollution:** any music venue would permanently change the entire vicinity and not just during the events themselves as noise-sensitive animals like bighorn sheep migrate elsewhere. 70 campsites means at least 140 beams of headlights flashing across the section and across Pipes Wash all night for the in-and-out traffic of visitors.

Your consideration is very much appreciated and while not looking to stand in the way of smart, beneficial development that can support the ecosystem and community of the Morongo Basin, we believe there are a number of serious questions raised by this project that will require significant additional analysis and public review before proceeding further. It is our view that **Project [2020-00191](#)** is fundamentally flawed, dangerous, and detrimental to the area.

Thank you very much for your consideration,

Désirée Salole

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Devin Sinski <devin_sinski@icloud.com>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 8:26 PM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Cc: Supervisor Rowe
Subject: Concerning PROJ-2020-00191 (Flamingo 640)

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

The following are my comments as a resident of Flamingo Heights, living within a stone's throw of this project site, and also as an individual of the service industry workforce in Flamingo Heights.

This proposed glamping and festival site is extremely out of touch on many levels for Flamingo Heights, and it would become a fundamental ground zero for the Morongo Basin, an area being increasingly agitated by outside investments that has little to no consideration for the people that live there. Noise and traffic would greatly effect the people of this very residential neighborhood. I've talked to a few of my neighbors about this and they are against it for these reasons alone, which leads me to believe this is the sentiment of most other residents here. The only advantage of a project like this is for them to gain value in their own property so they can sell it and move out and not have to deal with a Coachella Festival across the street. But not everyone has that luxury. The manual labor and blue collar workforce, which is what keeps places functioning, is quickly being squeezed out of the rental market after already being squeezed out of the buying market. My employers had to locate housing for two new employees last month by begging people to turn their pricey Airbnbs into affordable long term rentals. That's how unstable housing is here. Soon we will be sleeping in our Honda civics and going to work serving glampers who drive Teslas. Or maybe we will all commute from an hour away to work low wage jobs, creating more traffic (and smog) on the 10, 62, or 247. I know several business owners currently needing employees, so this area doesn't need jobs right now, it needs to take care of the people already living and working here. Overall, this area is tapped out. We don't need more fire hazards, or cars, or cellular usage, or trash, or water, gas, and electric use. But I get it, Desert Disneyland will be lucrative for a chosen few and annihilate the rest.

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Diana R <dianarelth@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 6:23 PM
To: Morrissey , Jim
Subject: Protect the desert from development

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Jim Morrissey,

I'm writing to voice opposition to the proposed "Flamingo 640" project (Project # PROJ-2020-00191, Assessor Parcel Number (APN) 0629-181-01).

The delicate ecosystem of Yucca Valley cannot handle the scope of this proposal. The desert and the species that inhabit it must be protected against these kinds of developments.

Thank you for your consideration,
Diana Relth

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: DIANE KUNTZ DESIGN <d.kuntz@verizon.net>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 1:48 PM
To: Morrissey , Jim
Subject: # PROJ-2020-00191

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Mr. Morrissey,

There are so many things that are upsetting to me about allowing this massive project here.

First off is just the massive size.

Next is the location on 247 which already is an extremely dangerous road that continues to have more and more carnage of humans and animals.

Campfires will be dangerous in this area as heavy winds seem to be the new normal. When the windmills were built, they did not need brakes bc there were only a few wind event days per year. That has changed drastically.

Smoke from a large glamping area with campfires would also greatly add to air pollution.

There are also the obvious wildlife issues such as endangered species like desert tortoise which DO live in this area and the threatened Joshua Tree. And even for non-threatened species, such as snakes, bunnies, wildflowers, bees, the damage in this area would be lasting and devastating.

I could go on, (water table, sewage, etc.) but I will just hope that this project does not get the green light.

Thank you for your time.

Diane Kuntz
Joshua Tree 92252

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: dianne bennett <diannebennettblue@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 25, 2021 9:38 PM
To: Morrissey , Jim
Subject: #PROJ-2020-00191

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Mr. Morrissey,

My husband and I own our home in Yucca Valley on Cobalt Road. Highway 247 is our only access out of this neighborhood. Increasingly it is more dangerous to turn onto or drive on 247, especially with events such as the Hammerfest occurring.

I now understand that there is large music venue/glamping resort being proposed in flamingo Heights! We are opposed to the proposed development in an area that should be used as a wildlife preserve!

This out of the area developer is attempting to exploit our natural resources for profit ! This will impact the traffic, health and safety, air quality, quality of life for the wildlife including desert tortoise and big horn sheep and other residents of the desert here.

Some of the reasons this proposed development should not go forward:

This area is a priority connectivity Corredor between San to snow national monument, the Big Horn wilderness, Joshua tree national pointe Park and points north.

The configuration of Route 247 near the site means adding large amounts of traffic entering the roadway which would be extremely dangerous on an already dangerous highway and cause and then order it in ordinate amount of high-speed collisions

Air quality concerns fire pits in campsites hi drought area fire safety.

Uprooting of state threatened western Joshua tree to make way for roads.

Potential for pollution to ground water of ground water in pipes canyon wash from septic systems.

Noise and light pollution have a negative impact on residents and wildlife we there should be Caltrans studies and traffic impact studies environmental impact this delicate area shit would be better suited for a desert nature preserve

Helicopters weather emergency or a VIP will create dust and just a wild life as well as create on going nuisance for neighboring communities

Please stop this from destroying this pristine area.

Sincerely

Dianne Bennett and Christopher Engle

--



*Hope is the thing with feathers
That perches in the soul,
And sings the tune without the words,
And never stops at all*

Emily Dickinson

Dianne Bennett
diannebennett.net
beforeourveryeyes.com

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Don Wind <2dlwind@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 23, 2021 1:03 PM
To: Supervisor Rowe; Morrissey, Jim; CC'
Subject: Opposition to proposed Glamping Project #PROJ-2020-00191

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Supervisor Rowe, Mr. Jim Morrissey,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the establishment of a 640-acre, 76 glamping-site, "destination resort" at the southeast corner of Luna Vista Lane off of S.R. 247 in Yucca Valley. Glamping Project #PROJ-2020-00191, Assessor Parcel# 0629-181-01.

As a resident of the Rancho Mesa Estates at the NE corner of Hwy 247 and Buena Vista/Skyline Ranch Road, the impact of this development, if permitted, on our environment, traffic, quality of life and air quality would be devastating.

The demand on scarce water supplies, disposal of sewage and associated water table contamination, and impractical noise pollution enforcement calls for this type of project to be located well away from residential housing.

The traffic on Hwy247 would have such an impact that there would have to be (smart) traffic lights established at the corners of Paxton Ave and Sunnyslope Dr. Although close to Hwy 62 these corners are dangerous now due to the impact of increased tourism and this project would make it much more dangerous.

We have witnessed the exponential growth of visitors to Joshua Tree National Park in the last 5 years. Retail services might profit, tax revenue might increase, but affordable local housing has been drastically reduced by absentee landlords cashing in on Airbnb-type opportunities and the crowds from the cities we thought were left behind have found us.

I strongly urge that your respective offices, apply the strictest analysis and enforcement of regulations dictated by the Bureau of Land management's "Area of Critical Environmental Control" to the use of the land in question.

Thank You, Donald and Holly Wind

5216 Wallaby St

Yucca Valley, Ca. 92284

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Donna Tomlinson <d2011dmt@hotmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 24, 2021 10:59 PM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Cc: Donna Tomlinson
Subject: Proj. # PROJ - 2020 - 00191

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

To: Mr. Jim Morrissey

I have concerns regarding the above referenced project - proposed on 640 acres in the Yucca Valley/Pioneertown/Flamingo Heights area of the Morongo Valley.

The access road to this site would have to be hwy 247 (Old Woman Springs Rd). It is currently a one-lane (each way) highway (it becomes two lanes for short time periods, but for the most part it is one lane each way) that winds around dangerous curves. There are very few stop signs/stop lights. It is already a busy highway, used daily by big rigs, commuters, and locals. The added traffic would be an instance traffic nightmare which would effect anyone needing to use either hwy 247 or hwy 62.

The 640 acres of the proposed site has hundreds of Joshua trees and tortoises (both protected), as well as other desert plants and animals (all of which are important in maintaining our desert ecosystem). Most of the land would have to be cleared. Taking away such a large section of natural habitat would be a major intrusion that our desert would not be able to absorb and bounce back from.

I also have concerns about water, sewage, and trash. Where is the water coming from? Where are the waste products going to?

Also, noise concerns. Vacationers, especially concert goers, are traditionally noisy, partying long into the night. This will cause further disruption to the surrounding desert ecosystem, way beyond the proposed 640 acres. Noise in the desert carries far over long distances and so many who have lived in the desert for a long time, and many who moved here for the peace and quiet will be greatly bothered by the noise created by this proposed project.

And why do you need a 90 acre parking lot and a place for helicopters to land?

Sincerely,

Donna Tomlinson

Get [Outlook for Android](#)

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Doria Greenland <doriagreenland@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 20, 2021 11:08 AM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Subject: Project Notice Input PROJ-2020-00191

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Jim,

I am writing to express my opposition and concern about the following project proposal in Flamingo Heights on 640 acres off Highway 247
Project # PROJ-2020-00191 regarding Parcel # 0629-181-01 in Flamingo Heights.

The lack of camping in the national park and surrounding areas has caused many investors to look at the possibility of creating glamorous "camping" known as Glamping sites. While it may look like a viable option to provide more camping areas, this would not decrease visitors from partaking in illegal camping on private land and BLM for the following reasons:

1. Catering to guests who are affluent. To facilitate costs, and be profitable the Glamping propositions that are appearing all over our high desert are going to charge high fees, with high-end amenities such as helicopter pads, hot tubs, & restaurants. This is not a place that visitors can just come to "camp" therefore the problem of illegal camping will still be present because people that can't afford an Airbnb will also not be able to afford this option.
2. Town Revenue. The granting of a conditional use permit would be a detriment to the values of this town and infuriate vacation rental owners who use single-family residences to house visitors that are necessary for the economy of our town. Property owners who pay property taxes are not allowed to have guests sleep outside in Teepees and tents as proposed by this developer. This proposal from an appraisal standpoint would be almost impossible to properly tax and value as there are no permanent structures to value and tax leading to more revenue for the developers.
3. Safety: The town has had enough issues with illegal pot farms, meth labs, and the ability to reach police. This proposed project would bring hundreds of people into private open land off a proposed scenic highway route. Calling the police to investigate campsite 65 seems impractical. Due to lack of staffing and large geographical area police are challenged enough with private residences. This would add to their burden. Families with children live in this area and purchased homes for the rural scenic community. This development would change the area with dramatic impacts on noise, traffic patterns, and be detrimental to wildlife and safety. Our water table should also be considered. Airbnb has a vetting process, where a state-issued identification is needed in order to book. Essentially this would be a hotel where anyone with the funds can book a "tent". This could start to attract a different crowd into our high desert that would be essentially unmonitored.

We stick together when our high desert is suggested as a relocation area for violent sex offenders- we have opposed it and won. We stand up for our neighbors even though this person may not be living next door to us. If we allow such things to happen to our neighbors it is only a matter of time before it impacts us. We are all connected as a community. As a local homeowner and a friend to many who own homes for their families in Flamingo Heights, I felt compelled to reach out. The proposed project would not be in accordance with the current zoning of R/L.

Mojave desert land trust would like to purchase this property and I hope that with the opposition of this conditional use permit they will be able to. Many desert tortoises, Joshua Trees, and burrowing owls live on this land that had an ecological survey done by Circle Mountain Biological Consultants in 2006. We are going through rapid change, but we must use decrement. In the same way that Minerva Hoyt voiced her concerns to preserve the desert back in 1930s, I hope that you will take into consideration that if we allow big corporations who are out of touch with the local community, and its nature, people, and traffic patterns it could take away from the charm that us locals, value so much about our high- desert. The choices we make today will impact future generations for years to come. Thanks for your time.

In Gratitude for all that you do,

Doria Greenland
Yucca Valley Resident

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Christina Bjenning <christinabjenning@icloud.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 25, 2021 5:23 PM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Subject: Resident input re # PROJ-2020-00191 - Assessor Parcel Number (APN) 0629-181-01

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Mr. Morrissey,

I'm a local permanent resident and owner of a land that almost borders the proposed project. I am also a biologist with in a PhD in zoology and in-depth knowledge of southern Californian habitats.

Living in this fragile habitat and seeing the effects of development and human impact I have been very impressed with the stewardship of the land provided by my local community.

I therefore was very surprised to learn of the advanced plans for a huge glamping concert venue - We have many species needing protection in the area - humans are certainly not one of them.

For instance

*a 2006 study found several desert tortoises in the area and burrows suggesting an active population- they seem to have been less easy to document in 2020, or even chased away by ATV activities. A clear sign of that the area is already too heavily exploited.

*This area is a priority connectivity corridor between Sand to Snow National Monument, the Bighorn Wilderness, Joshua Tree National Park, and points north.

*The configuration of Route 247 near the site means adding large amounts of traffic entering the roadway could be extremely dangerous on a highway with an inordinate amount of high speed collisions.

*3-each 700sqft fire pits for 75 campsites, raising serious concerns about intentions, air quality and fire safety. Morongo Basin is a high drought area.

*The uprooting of State Threatened Western Joshua Trees to make way for roads, structures and parking *The potential for pollution of groundwater in Pipes Canyon Wash from septic systems, visitor vehicle oil and coolant leaks, or campers carelessly discarding dishwater and similar items into the soil or wash is grounds for further investigation.

*Glampsite will allow significant noise and light pollution and have a negative impact on residents and wildlife The effect on the immediate vicinity from increased and unplanned tourism development will negatively impact the residents of Landers and Flamingo Heights.

*This is a High wind-event area and structures must be able to sustain 70mph winds.

*This, in conjunction with several other proposed camp sites, will lead to an influx of traffic, especially on already crowded weekends, limits must be imposed or our neighborhoods will be inundated.

*New Environmental Impact Studies are requested *Cal-Trans Studies & Traffic Impact Studies are requested *I strongly urge developer to meet with the community and Planning Department together.

*A complete Project Designation Study for new construction be set in motion *Property is not zoned for commercial enterprises of this magnitude and should be reconsidered *This delicate area would be better suited for a Desert Nature Preserve *Helicopters (whether emergency or VIP) will create dust and disturb wildlife as well as create ongoing nuisance for neighboring communities.

Etc etc

It is my hope that based on the above and the strong community reaction this project is not being considered for approval. In any sort or shape.

Respectfully yours,

Dr. Anna Christina Bjenning
(516) 353 -2388
1663 Warren Vista Avenue

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Dr. Catherine Svehla <drcsvehla@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 24, 2021 5:11 PM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Subject: "Flamingo 640" glamping; PROJ 2020-00191 parcel#0629-181-01

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Mr. Morrissey,

This project is proposed for an area with many Joshua Trees and desert tortoise habitat. It's an important wildlife corridor.

Given the size of the proposed glamping site and the amenities they want to offer, water usage and infrastructure, the possibility of noise and light pollution, and increased traffic hazards are all a concern.

Given all of the variable involved, this proposal should go through the CEQA review process as mandated by law. This would provide for thorough review. I request that you require a CEQA review and do not give a project of this magnitude a pass via the negative declaration.

Thank you for your consideration.

Catherine Svehla

72939 Homestead Dr, Twentynine Palms, CA 92277 Dr. Catherine Svehla Keep the mystery in your life alive.

Mythic Mojo and the Myth Matters podcast:

<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fmythicmojo.com%2F&data=04%7C01%7Cjim.morrissey%40lus.sbcounty.gov%7C51a5ddfa23d24af706b508d9077eacf6%7C31399e536a9349aa8caec929f9d4a91d%7C1%7C0%7C637549062931516082%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWlloiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzliLCJBTiI6Ikl1haWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C1000&data=%2FozwWdmxDU%2Bbc7yVYIBj5zweQq4qwrWEsxfG8pYQICY%3D∓reserved=0>

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: H. Cindy Ko <h.cindyko@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 27, 2021 9:14 AM
To: Morrissey , Jim
Subject: I oppose PROJ: 2020-00191/Parcel # 0629-181-01

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear County Contract Planner Morrissey,

I am writing to oppose PROJ: 2020-00191/Parcel # 0629-181-01, which would lead to irreversible impact on the environment and natural habitat in Yucca Valley. A luxury resort is not what future generations will remember us for. They will not thank us for making a big dumb place for affluent people to be loud and destructive. We will only be remembered for having the foresight to preserve the remaining pieces of natural habitat and its species before they disappear. This, above profit, should be the goal.

Thank you for reading,
--Dr. Huaising C. Ko

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Dustin Tuttle <dustinjtuttle@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 12:06 AM
To: Morrissey , Jim; Supervisor Rowe
Subject: opposition to Flamingo 640

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello, this letter is regarding the proposed glamping resort in Flamingo Heights, project #PROJ-2020-00191. The “flamingo 640” in which I oppose to the fullest degree. The fact that there is this much land in jeopardy is disturbing. The 640 acres that will be occupied by 75 “Glamping” sites, a bar/restaurant, music venue, heli pad, roads to get around and water, sewer and power underground etc. will be disrupted in such a way that anything left after completion will struggle to survive. An environment as fragile as the high desert here relies on every insect, plant and animal. Cherry picking certain plants and ruining some animals homes is almost as bad as bulldozing the whole lot. It will have a greater impact than anyone can imagine. It is far too much for this environment. The project planners and builders ought to have knowledge of the area they plan to build, which I’m sure as of now they do not. Unbiased reports should be done on all ecological impacts as well.

As you know by now, there are threatened species that live in this very piece of property. The desert tortoise for one, the burrowing owl, another, and the mighty Joshua Tree. I have been to the site and photographed the hundreds of Joshua trees, multiple coyote dens, as well as the many birds, small mammals and reptiles that call this place home. Just about every species of plant native to the Mojave Desert can be found here also. To have this resort here would be a disgrace to not only the plants and animals but also the Serrano, Cahuilla, Chemehuevi, and Mojave tribes that inhabited the area in the mid to late 1800’s, both as a long term home and seasonally. These are people who understood the importance of balance and respect for the land that provides for us.

I see little to no benefit for residence living here currently. Every single person I’ve spoken to or seen a post online feels more or less similarly. I’m sure you are seeing the emails come in. This is a peaceful part of the Morongo basin: just outside of town, little light pollution, and not too noisy, all the reasons they and myself live here to begin with. I have no problem with tourism, I was a tourist to this area before I moved here, I’ll be a tourist to another area soon. I do have a problem with lack of consideration for the people who live here and the desert life that has no say. In fact I would oppose a project of this capacity anywhere regardless if I lived there or not. There should be a moratorium on developing on undeveloped land.

This is politics in a capitalist country unfortunately, which leaves residents of the unincorporated part of Yucca Valley and nature with a never ending uphill battle against commercialism and greed. Tourism and change are good things but when it is

**done on this scale and opposed by the residents and the very land itself (it told me) it should be reconsidered. At the very least downsized considerably.
A project of this scale does not NEED to happen. What does need to happen is our voice to be heard and acknowledged.**

**Dustin J Tuttle
55925 Perris ave
Yucca Valley Ca, 92284**

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: elizabeth ann <yonders@hotmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 25, 2021 9:32 PM
To: Morrissey , Jim
Subject: PROJ.2020-00191

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Re.:
PROJ.2020-00191
APN 0629-181-01

Dear Mr. Morrissey,

As a long time local area resident, property owner, and registered voter, I strongly object to the above named development project proposed for our small rural community. I have many concerns including added light and noise pollution, water usage and traffic, which would overburden the area and exploit and harm the local residents' quality of life. Furthermore, this proposed project is located in an identified wildlife corridor of environmental importance and is inappropriate for our residential community. I believe that there are other better suited locations for a project of this scale.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Burns
PO Box 3376
Landers, CA 92285

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Elizabeth Ulsh <elizabethccrab@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 23, 2021 10:11 AM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Subject: PROJ-2020-00191

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Mr. Morrissey,

My name is Elizabeth Ulsh and I am a resident of Yucca Valley. I am concerned about and oppose the project that has been proposed in Flamingo Heights area for a number of reasons.

First and foremost, though I am not a resident in Flamingo Heights specifically, the impact on the surrounding areas of the Morongo Basin will undoubtedly be felt by the increase in visitors to the area. Since the beginning of the stay-at-home orders caused by Covid-19 and the state response to stalling the virus, the Morongo Basin area has become a hotspot for tourism. In a rural area with limited resources, limited roadways, and limited infrastructure, people who reside in this area have felt the negative effects of the increased tourism. From not being able to utilize local restaurants due to the long lines to traffic jams on Highway 62 caused by either accidents or increased vehicles on the road, there has been a huge impact on local residents.

I am not opposed to growth in the area. It is crucial for the economic stability of the area, but this proposed project goes above and beyond what the Morongo Basin and those who live and work in the area have the ability to handle.

While I appreciate that sites like the one proposed in Flamingo Heights would have less negative impact in some regards than short term rentals do, such as this project not taking away housing opportunities for local residents, a project of this size carries its own big concerns.

For instance, the area that this project has been proposed is currently zoned as Rural Living. A glamping site and perhaps concert venue to hold 25,000 people does not fit into the zoning requirements at all. Allowing a helipad to be built to helicopter in certain guests is certainly disruptive to the neighbors in that area and seems to go against the spirit of Rural Living zoning.

Additionally, I am concerned in particular about the firepits. In a dry environment like the Morongo Basin, there is always concern of large fires. 75 campsites with potentially 75 firepits seems ripe for an increase in unsupervised fires or fires that not have been adequately extinguished, causing concern for surrounding habitat and structures. Animals such as the burrowing owl, the desert fox, and the mountain lion, as well as flora including creosote rings and the Mojave yucca clonal rings are at risk due to fires as well as the increased people in the area.

My final big concern is the traffic. As I mentioned, the area has already seen an increase in traffic since the stay-at-home orders were put in place that have jammed the one main throughway through the basin. However, Highway 247 carries even greater risks of traffic and car accidents which could be potentially fatal. Due to the 2-lane nature of Highway 247 there is already an increase of traffic accidents. Just this week, an off-duty San Bernardino County Sheriff Deputy was involved in a head on collision on Highway 247. Without a thorough traffic study, dedicated turn lanes, widening of the highway, and traffic lines should be considered before this project is allowed to go forward due to the increase of vehicles that this site would draw.

I appreciate your time in this matter. Please consider this comment as public record.

- Elizabeth Ulsh

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Ellen Parry <ellenfehr@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 18, 2021 10:23 PM
To: Supervisor Rowe
Cc: Morrissey , Jim
Subject: Flamingo 640

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Supervisor Rowe and Mr. Morrissey,

I am writing to express my concern regarding the Flamingo 640 project. As a long time Joshua Tree resident, I do not feel that this project contributed to our long term community development goals.

Joshua Tree's greatest resource is its wildlife and delicate ecosystems. While I recognize the need for and support sustainable economic development in our community, this project will do far more harm than good. This would not be an appropriate use of a conditional use permit and it should not be granted.

There are many alternate uses that would be more appropriate here, including a small and **sustainably developed** roadside hotel or housing project, or a permanent conservation site with the Mojave Desert Land Trust.

Please stand up for our community and natural resources and reject this application!!!

Best,

Ellen Parry

--

- Ellen Parry

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Emily Endo <enachison@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 7:14 PM
To: Supervisor Rowe; Morrissey, Jim
Subject: Flamingo Heights Festival & Glamping Development aka "Flamingo 640"

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Jim Morrissey and Dawn Rowe,

I am writing to petition against the proposed Flamingo Heights Festival & Glamping Development aka "Flamingo 640".

As a community member, I am concerned that this development will further harm the endangered wildlife, contribute to the risk of wild fires, dangerously increase traffic and strain our water, waste water and sewage resources.

The proposed development is a tortoise habitat - under the state law this creature is a threatened species under the US Endangered Species Act.

Thousands of Western Joshua Trees growing on this plot of land are also candidates for threatened species under the California Endangered Species Act & cannot be legally removed. This plot of land is also home to burrowing owls and many other native animals and plants that rely on this pristine desert area as a wildlife corridor.

The proposed development, including a 350 car parking lot will require clearing land which means removing endangered Joshua Trees. Once a Joshua Tree is removed, it is unlikely to survive replanting.

Additionally, this parcel is zoned RL (Rural Living), and conditional use permit harms the neighbors' rights to quiet enjoyment the RL zoning is designed to protect. These enormous events will create noise & light pollution, disturbing the nearby residents and impact residents even miles away. Unattended, poorly managed & illegal fires contribute to the risk of wildfires. The constant stream of heavy traffic will make our roads more dangerous and increase risk of fatality. Water is a scarce resource in our desert region. There is no water supply to this parcel or any sewage system. Water, waste water disposal and sewage for large scale developments needs proper infrastructure and should not further strain our community's limited resources.

It is for these reasons that I am firmly against the proposed Flamingo Heights Festival & Glamping Development.

Thank you for your time.
Emily Endo

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Emily Koehler <emilyskoehler@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 10:28 PM
To: Dawn.Rowe@mail.house.gov; Supervisor Rowe; Morrissey , Jim
Subject: NO on PROJ: 2020-00191 / Parcel # 0629-181-01

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Mr. Morrissey and Supervisor Rowe,

We are writing with comments on the proposed **Project 2020-00191** in Homestead Valley/Flamingo Heights.

We have a number of concerns with the project, which we will outline below, but first to acknowledge the challenge the county has in determining the proper course of development in the high desert. The Morongo Basin is experiencing a housing shortage and a massive influx of people interested in enjoying the climate, clean air, and natural beauty of the area. The challenge of balancing the need for affordable housing access while preserving the natural beauty that, itself, led many of us to invest in the area, is a serious one that should be met with sustainable development principles focused on: retrofitting/renovation of existing derelict or abandoned houses, smart infill development in zoned areas, and new development only in areas of little ecological or wildlife impact. But we should be perfectly clear that this project has neither the stated goal nor any conceivable outcome of improving housing access or improving the quality of life for the residents of the Morongo Basin.

We respect the rights of property owners to make plans for their investments, but when those plans impact public safety, quality of life, and ecosystems well beyond their property boundaries, then we believe further scrutiny is required. Here are our concerns:

1. **Zoning:** the area is zoned RL (rural residential) and a dense, high impact campsite, entertainment venue, helipad (truly inexplicably), bar and restaurant, are in direct conflict in principle and actuality to the zoning.
2. **Traffic:** Highway 247 is, per capita, one of the most dangerous stretches of highway in California, claiming the life of dozens of people in the last few years. A recent lane-widening and rumble-strip installation has done little to slow this tragic loss of life and this development will necessarily require a high degree of turn-in/turn-out traffic in an otherwise uninterrupted stretch of highway. This will require extensive study and lane-widening and perhaps a new traffic light to preserve public safety.
3. **Ecology/Wildlife:** the area plays host to a number sensitive animal and plant species such as the Western Joshua Tree and desert tortoise, both soon-to-be candidates for endangered species protection. We would demand a significant impact and mitigation study for any development.
4. **Water/Wastewater:** our local imported water supplies via the Mojave Water Agency are under serious stretch and this rapid development deserves serious study. Further, the nearby Ames Aquifer is a sensitive one and a critical source of water storage for both Bighorn Desert View Water District and the Hi Desert Water District. Absent a significant study of the potential aquifer impacts, this project should not proceed.

5. **Fire:** campsites=campfires and this area has already experienced a significant fire on the section catty-corner to this section to the southwest in recent years.
6. **Light/Noise pollution:** any music venue would permanently change the entire vicinity and not just during the events themselves as noise-sensitive animals like bighorn sheep migrate elsewhere. 70 campsites means at least 140 beams of headlights flashing across the section and across Pipes Wash all night for the in-and-out traffic of visitors.

Your consideration is very much appreciated and while not looking to stand in the way of smart, beneficial development that can support the ecosystem and community of the Morongo Basin, we believe there are a number of serious questions raised by this project that will require significant additional analysis and public review before proceeding further. It is our view that **Project 2020-00191** is fundamentally flawed, dangerous, and detrimental to the area.

Thank you for your thoughtful consideration,
Emily Koehler

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Erica Boonstra <boonstra.eric@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 8:43 PM
To: Morrissey , Jim; Dawn.Rowe@mail.house.gov; Supervisor Rowe
Subject: NO on PROJ: 2020-00191 / Parcel # 0629-181-01

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Mr. Morrissey and Supervisor Rowe,

I am writing with comments on the proposed **Project [2020-00191](#)** in Homestead Valley/Flamingo Heights.

I respect the rights of property owners to make plans for their investments, but when those plans impact public safety, quality of life, and ecosystems well beyond their property boundaries, then further scrutiny is required. Here are my concerns:

1. **Zoning:** the area is zoned RL (rural residential) and a dense, high impact campsite, entertainment venue, helipad (truly inexplicably), bar and restaurant, are in direct conflict in principle and actuality to the zoning.
2. **Ecology/Wildlife:** the area plays host to a number sensitive animal and plant species such as the Western Joshua Tree and desert tortoise, both soon-to-be candidates for endangered species protection. We would demand a significant impact and mitigation study for any development.
3. **Light/Noise pollution:** any music venue would permanently change the entire vicinity and not just during the events themselves as noise-sensitive animals like bighorn sheep migrate elsewhere.

As someone who has spent in this beautiful area, I believe this development plan is flawed and dangerous to the local ecology and community. Your consideration is very much appreciated.

Thank you very much for your consideration,
Erica Boonstra

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Erin Shelley <elshell@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 3:26 PM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Subject: Public Comment Opposing Proj-2020-00191, APN 0629-181-01 or similar for future

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Contract Planner Jim Morrissey,

I want to start this email first by saying that my husband and I are not against the whole idea of glamping resorts or other similar accommodations. Unlike some comments I'm sure you have received, we aren't automatically against progress or things that are new or different....in fact we feel that both JTNP and the surrounding towns are behind on courting and establishing more lodging accommodations for tourists/visitors IN MORE APPROPRIATE areas. We are, however, against the project in ANY form proposed for the 640 acres in Flamingo Heights. Proj-2020-00191 Parcel No. 0629-181-01. This is not the appropriate place for anything like this. We understand there have been various proposed aspects that may have been tabled for now (concerts, high capacity parking, bar, etc) however we also understand that often conditional use permits are approved for a lesser impact use and then aspects originally intended get added back in later. This similar scenario just occurred outside of Saguaro National Park East and the residents who were told aspects of the project were off the table then felt extremely betrayed when those aspects were added back in after the fact. They are now experiencing the exact effects they feared. Even in its simplest form, however, we do reiterate that we do not support a commercial glamping development on this property. As opposed to sending you another form letter of opposition I urge you to please read and consider our specific following thoughts on the matter and please reach out to me with any questions or to chat further.

-My husband and I are homeowners on the west end of Scandia Lane just north of Aberdeen and our ranch property directly overlooks the Pipes Canyon Wash at the large almost 90 degree bend in the canyon so we have a view in both directions into and along it. We also can see the property in question directly from our house. Our comments below pertain to both the upper flat areas as well as in the slopes and bottom of the wash...commercial development nearly anywhere on this 640 acreage will still have nearly all of these effects.

-This is in the middle of a Rural Residential area. The awkwardness in location and the disrespect to those currently living there is immense. All of the residents of this area value their space and privacy. Furthermore, I and many others living in this general area are VERY concerned about our property values dropping due to increased noise that travels across and along this canyon amplified by it, view eyesores, traffic, wildlife disruption, strangers wandering into our properties, potential crime, etc. Our properties' biggest value feature is the open quiet space, low light pollution at night, and low profile quiet safe residential living with excellent views...all of these would be compromised by this project or any other similar commercial development on this land in the MIDDLE of this rural living zone. In addition to property value, we do feel this development will have a significant affect on our quality of life at home.

-This property both in the wash AND on the western slope and flatlands above it, serve as an incredibly important wildlife corridor, habitat, feeding grounds and/or nesting/den sites to a number of protected or important species. My husband and I are both avid naturalists. We have PERSONALLY seen use of this property and/or the areas immediately around it by these noteworthy animals: California Desert Tortoise, Mountain Lion, Bobcat, Coyote, Burrowing Owl, American Badger, Golden Eagle, Prairie Falcon, Desert Iguana, Southwestern Speckled Rattlesnake, Mojave Rattlesnake, as well as MANY other species equally important to the area. There is also, our course, the presence of the very obvious, protected and fragile Joshua Tree (which even if the trees themselves are left untouched, the other bushes and

shrubs around Joshua Trees must also remain untouched as they served as vital nursery protection from the sun for newly germinated young Joshua Trees during their first few years of life and must not be cleared/disturbed also). This area is also valuable habitat for the Joshua Tree Poppy, Pioneertown Linanthus and the San Bernardino Milkvetch, a few additional rare plants worth mentioning amongst countless other fragile desert plants. A commercial development of any size, tents or otherwise, that sees a large influx and turnover of visitors on a daily basis will absolutely disrupt these plant and animal species irreparably. Individual, separated homes on acreage with owners who have lived with and/or researched these animals and plants in their environment tend to take better pro-action and make better choices to have less impact. A daily turnover of fresh people pose a huge realistic risk.

-Traffic, road safety and road condition in this area is a GREAT concern. There are already quite a few violent and deadly accidents along Hwy 247, often in close vicinity to that specific portion of the highway adjacent to this parcel due to the dips and curves. Visitors searching for a particular turn along a busy 2 lane highway will increase accidents. Also any campground areas....(especially those with a proposed market or bar selling alcohol) would likely increase drunk drivers in the area as well. The adjacent dirt roads in the area can barely handle the little residential traffic they currently get and would surely be destroyed by an uptick in visitor traffic exploring around or heading to the facility. Many of the local residents already maintain these roads on their own dime and I assure you individuals lost or exploring will end up on this poor roads. We already help tow a handful of wayward tourists out of the wash or eroded ditches when they get stuck each year.

-We are incredibly concerned about the resource and sanitation issue with this proposed facility (water supply, sewage/septic, trash, electricity lines which are already challenged, and very importantly, the already strained emergency and law enforcement services). I also have very little faith that an outdoor-centric facility of this type will have sufficient means and careful policing of the guests to control the large amount of trash/litter that often accompanies disposable or single serving camping foods, beverages and supplies. This area is notorious for very strong winds and people not accustomed to managing trash in this area often accidentally or neglectfully or deliberately lose trash which blows for miles. This will be scattered across both the rural residential areas and pristine desert. Blowing trash is also a huge danger for ingestion or entanglement by both wild animals and the many captive livestock and pets in the area as well as an eyesore and a danger to fragile plants.

-The fire danger in this area ranges from high to extreme as evidenced by past devastating fire near and in the area...it is something all the homeowners in the area fear immensely. This would be a concern from construction equipment, vehicles parking in or near the dry brush, smokers of any kind, campfires/fire pits, BBQs and campstoves, propane heaters, and even discarded glass or bottles which can refract light and start fires "spontaneously." On a resort that large I have no doubt there will be "sneaky" fires, grills or similar even if rules are in place.

-My husband and I both have been guests at similar glamping resorts or campground resorts both in the course of our work outdoors and vacationing with friends/colleagues. Though I consider us as both having received good education in low-impact outdoor recreation, common sense and respect for both public and private property, I can absolutely say that we are an exception. The VAST majority of people seeking out this type of accommodation (where the housing/sleeping is already set up) aren't necessarily nature savvy...they may be nature lovers but there is often a difference. The skills of how to experience nature low-impact and stay safe in nature it is often severely lacking. Private properties boundaries adjacent will most certainly not be respected (this isn't speculation...I guarantee it.) Additionally, these facilities are often used by people wanting to party or celebrate (which they should have a place to do so!) but I have never witnessed the "quiet hours" actually be followed or enforced anytime I have stayed at these locations which again is a huge disrespect to the residents and their qualities of life in these rural neighborhood and all along the canyon as the sound travels. The campground/glampground business model specifically is known to involve very minimal staffing with little to no oversight, enforcement, or camper aide. Glampers/campers are often at their liberty to behave however they'd like. Additionally this speaks to how this facility is not likely going to be a big job provider in the community.

-For the many reasons listed above as well as many more, this property should remain undeveloped (perhaps allow it to be purchased and transferred to a land trust due to its ecological importance?) or developed ONLY as zoned, for rural

living with large acreage, spaced out plots and homes where much of the land is left undeveloped and STRICT building codes and environmental impact codes must be followed for construction of the homes.

-Please take these comments into strong considerations. As you are probably well aware, MANY individuals and residents in the community are very concerned about the inappropriateness of this business venture on this plot of land. I have actually never seen everyone from all over the political spectrum who are otherwise pretty easy going in the community and about what residents choose to do on their private lands be so passionate and united about something threatening their homes, community, environment and way of life.

-IF, for some reason, despite a large and adamant opposition, the approval is made to allow ANY type of commercial development on this property for this particular applicant or any future ones, I firmly ask that it NEVER be approved or reconsidered for any concerts, large gatherings, festivals, bars/restaurants or significant land clearance or structure construction. I would appreciate this assurance in writing as well.

Please don't hesitate to contact me with any questions or for further discussion. Thank you in advance for your careful consideration of this project and I hope you and your team will make the correct decision to deny the permit to develop this property for this or future similar commercial projects.

Sincerely,
Erin Briggs (Shelley) and Erick Briggs
310-210-5098
Elshell@gmail.com

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Erin Wilson <erin.el.wilson@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 21, 2021 7:59 PM
To: Supervisor Rowe; Morrissey , Jim
Subject: Opposition to Flamingo 640

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,

I'm writing in opposition of the project Flamingo 640, as this resort and festival grounds will harm endangered wildlife and is a cause of concern for the community.

--

Erin Wilson

Ernesto Nevarez
52041 Panorama Dr.
Morongo Valley, CA 92256

County of San Bernardino
Planning Department

Jim.Morrissey@lus.sbcounty.gov

Re: Project number: PROJ-2020-00191
Assessor Parcel No: 0629-181-01

Seems that there have been a few significant changes to this proposed project which concerns me that it might be a "bait and switch" in the making. It is very difficult to evaluate a project and submit public comment in which the developer is unsure of what it wants, but yet is submitting plans.

The written project location description in the Project Notice is for the entire parcel which includes all 640 acres while the picture highlights 1/4 of the property. Which is it? If the project is approved for the 1/4 parcel, will it be expressly limited to only the section highlighted? Will there be a streamline process circumventing public scrutiny and participation to expand the project outside the 1/4 parcel area after initial approval?

How many tents will be allowed for each individual site? How many vehicles? Will motor recreational vehicles and sleeping trailers be allowed? What if they come in with an RV or a sleeping trailer and put up a tent? Will those vehicles be allowed and will there be hook-ups?

The County has a very strict policy that prohibits AirBnB operators from allowing tent camping. What distinguishes this site and operation from the AirBnB operators in regards to the tents? Will the reasoning used in prohibiting tent camping by AirBnB operators be considered in this project? AirBnB operators cannot charge a separate fee for a client to park their RVs or trailers so what distinguishes this project as any different?

Will the facility store rent out tents and/or trailers/RVs? Will either be included in the rental of a campsite? If so, this would be a hotel or hotel on wheels operation and should be held to the same standards.

Will there be any rules on duration of continuous stay? If not expressed in the permit, then this will be a residential rental operation and must comply with such laws and ordinances governing residential rental operations.

What about interrupted stay but with a long-term presence? This will occur if an alter-ego third party owns trailers, pays campsite fees, and then rents out the trailers parked in the site. The

glamp operator is only renting out the location to either the trailer owner or the renter of the trailer, in such situations the trailer owners would be operating a hotel on wheels. They could move the trailers out before 30 days to avoid a landlord-tenant situation, replace them with another trailer, and keep switching them while operating their hotel on wheels. There needs to be stated conditions which would prohibit the practice in which an outside entity or alter-ego of the operator buys 75 sleeping trailers and places them for continuous permanent rental activity without meeting hotel standards.

If and when this occurs, it will be an unfair business practice to both the AirBnB operators and stationary hotels. Don't get me wrong, I have nothing against competition nor ingenuity, but I believe that labeling and promoting a project as a camping facility on a quarter parcel to get approved and then later extending it to the entire parcel of 640 acres while also providing the sleeping facilities is a hotel operation, nothing less. Due to the double standard in which both AirBnB and hotel operators must meet strict and costly regulations while the proposed project will be a hotel incognito, it will create a unfair cost burden for the AirBnB and hotel industry.


Another serious concern I have is about the source of the water. The parcel is in the County water service area and would have to apply to the County to tap into the water line. The parcel is NOT in the Bighorn Water District. Why is the Bighorn Water District attempting to annex that property so it could be the water provider? What is the process to annex a major piece of property and will it require permission from the State agency responsible for setting up public entities and their areas? There should be a study conducted by the Bighorn Water District as to how annexing a new square mile of high water use will affect it's current and future needs. I'm very curious as to why the operator isn't applying for water rights from the current provider, the County of San Bernardino and even more curious as to why Bighorn is going out of their way to get involved.

On a side note, this weekend I visited some of the neighbors, Spanish language speaking residents, and they had heard that an apartment unit was going to be built and had no clue as to the real nature of the pending project. They should had been notified and informed that they could attain information in their language of preference.

I also have very serious concerns about the wildlife and Joshua Trees, traveling fire ambers, water consumption, septic leachate into the local aquifers and traffic. Pretty sure these concerns will be expressed by other participants.

We need a full-scale public hearing with local access, nothing less. A venture of this size and potential impact must solicit and include public participation. The developer must make up it's mind as to what it wants before submitting plans. Until my concerns can be answered, it would be irresponsible to approve this project.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'E. J. ...', written over a white background.

April 26, 2021

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Ethan Primason <eprimason@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 22, 2021 8:48 PM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Subject: PROJ-2020-00191 Parcel no. 0629-181-01

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Jim Morrissey,

My name is Ethan Primason, and I live in Flamingo Heights off La Brisa - directly on the south end of the proposed project in question. Myself, like many others residents in our rural community here are deeply disturbed and upset by the scale and impact of the proposed development being planned directly in our backyard. I am aware that growth and change are inevitable, and that because of the natural beauty of our area, there is tremendous interest in developing. However, as I am sure you are aware from the emails and calls you have been receiving, there are serious ecological and safety concerns associated with this development as proposed, as well as a tremendous impact on our lifestyle as homeowners who intentionally bought land in an area zoned as Rural Living. Below, I will include some of the most serious areas of concern relating to this project in a hope to illustrate just how much negative impact this project (as proposed so far) would have on our community:

Parcel Zoned as RL: This is of great concern especially to myself, as I live directly next to the lot. This project in its proposed vastness would undoubtedly add tremendous noise and light pollution to a serene rural area. Also, the language used in the proposal makes it clear that this would not be a "campsite," but rather a private resort with amenities such as art barns, restaurant services, and even a helipad. These things clearly do not belong in any area unless they are zoned as commercial. A deeper concern to residents who do not live directly adjacent to this project area are the implications that will arise if this development succeeds as planned, and we begin to see other RL areas being developed by tourism enterprises which in no way serve the community.

Habitat destruction: This is one of the most important and serious issues, especially after getting the impression that these developers are ignoring the fact that there are a myriad of protected and vulnerable species of wildlife in this area as well as a major fault line. Again, this is important habitat for many species, including desert tortoise which I have encountered on this site this year (state endangered), western Joshua tree (state threatened), western burrowing owl (California Species of Special Concern), migratory birds (protected under the migratory bird treaty act) and other wildlife, including desert kit fox, mountain lion. Creosote rings and Mojave yucca clonal rings are present as well, some of them potentially thousands of years old. In fact, That whole area sits in a BLM Area of Critical Environmental Control, and therefore all the plants, all the animals, everything are under ACEC protection. In the letter of intent for the project dated March 29, 2021, it states "Some of the existing Joshua Trees may be removed to accommodate the project." This is deeply troubling and concerning and would need clarification and a require a new and independent inspection carried out by an organization not associated with the developers. The 2006 biological survey of the project area found the majority of threatened desert tortoise signs were found in the area of the project that will be developed. A total of 86% of the tortoises, 96% of the burrows, 95% of fresh scat and 98% of older scat, and 100% of tortoise tracks, were found in the area planned for development. The desert tortoise is not the only threatened species found on the site if that report is to be believed. However, a 2020 biological survey reported no tortoise occurrences on the site or adjacent to it (according to the 2020 report, nothing evidently lives on the site). This contradiction requires further investigation prior to approval. A significant number of special-status bird species were also identified on-site, which is home as well to migratory birds. As few Joshua trees should be removed or relocated as is possible. With glamping sites, one should be able to move them a few feet in

any direction to avoid destroying Joshua trees. However, plans reveal many Joshua trees will be removed or destroyed if the resort is built according to plan. We would need a CEQA process to take place in this area prior to any development.

Fire Safety: Living on the edge of this wash, I have to be constantly vigilant about the wind, which has recently reached upwards of 80mph with gusts coming up through the wash. Having tourists from out of town who don't have the same knowledge or sensitivity to the area would pose serious risk to my home, my neighbors homes, as well as the natural area with access to fire pits. How would this be controlled and supervised with over 70 guests making fires nightly?

Water Supply: Who will be supplying the site with water? Can we afford a swimming pool and service for hundreds of visitors daily as the water table has hit record low levels?

Wastewater: Plans suggest that wastewater will be directed to a leach field on the property, north of the resort. A stormwater retention basin will be sited immediately east of the sewage disposal leach field. What volume of waste water is projected to be disposed of via this leach field (considering perhaps 200-300 guests in a typical weekend in season)? Are hydrology studies available that ensure this large addition of wastewater won't infiltrate into neighbors' wells (there are at least 61 domestic wells within a few miles downstream) or the aquifer serving Bighorn Desert View Water Agency? Would stormwater capture from the project's hardened surfaces accentuate this percolation of sewage?

Noise and light: Will the entire facility be available for rent to one party for special events? Will concerts or festivals be planned here? How many helicopter landings/departures are envisioned per month? Studies of potential noise levels should be undertaken. Lighting sufficient for hundreds of guests, plus ambient light from lodging units, fires, visitor headlights, party lights, and other sources of illumination are likely to pose a substantial addition to light trespass in this relatively dark area, posing threats to safety, wildlife, and quiet enjoyment by neighbors.

Traffic safety: Another huge personal concern because I use this road daily and from personal experience know that the turn across the highway on La Brisa is already a dangerous turn through traffic moving at high speeds. Old Woman Springs Road is a dangerous highway, more so when crowded. In the last five years there have been more than a dozen accidents in the stretch of highway between Aberdeen and Reche that were serious enough to have had first responder involvement. Adding more than 100 cars exiting and entering the highway here during busy hours will prove dangerous, especially given drivers who pick up speed once they emerge from Pipes Canyon south of the project. A thorough traffic study, dedicated turn lanes, and perhaps a stop light should be considered if the project goes forward. The likelihood of extra costs to the county for first responders should be assessed. There should absolutely be a TIS executed although I see that an exemption request was proposed which grossly underestimated the traffic that this project would bring on 247.

As a Rural Living community, we do not need a private "resort" experience in a rural zoned area that would do nothing to our community except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park. I also know that MDLT has

designated this area a top priority to buy at Fair Market Value because of its ecological importance - This should be strongly considered and would be the most ideal option for myself and others who live in the immediate area.

Jim, I urge you to truly and thoughtfully listen to the members of our community as well as the data and evidence that shows how careless and dangerous this project would be for us call this stretch of the desert our home and love it. I can't fully express in this email how truly devastating this would be and how disappointed i feel that the county and town of Yucca Valley is considering this as an option.

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Eva Pererra <evapearl2001@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 22, 2021 10:01 AM
To: Morrissey , Jim
Subject: Flamingo heights

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

There is no reason these hipsters need a “glamping” spot. That’s what the national park is for. This is the desert not some luxury city and that’s what they are trying to turn it into. If this goes through then that will give the okay to other developers to buy up more sections of the desert and do the same thing. Eventually there will be no desert if they keep building on top of it. It’s extremely unnecessary, if they want to camp there are campsites for that.

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Evan Pulvere <epulvere@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 5:13 PM
To: Morrissey , Jim; Supervisor Rowe
Subject: PROJ-2020-00191

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi, I am voicing my Opposition to the above project in flamingo heights. The infrastructure in the area is woefully inadequate for this type of large project, and the area would be quickly overcrowded and under intense pressure from the influx of tourists in the area. The site is also home to endangered flora and fauna including the desert tortoise, burrowing owl, and of course now protected joshua trees. This important ecological area needs to be used in a better fashion, and having such a large venue in the area would really destroy the character of the area and tax the areas very limited resources. Please consider not moving forward with this project.

Thank you,
Evan Pulvere
3235227426

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Frances Lopez <flopezrn@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 22, 2021 8:42 PM
To: Morrissey , Jim
Subject: Project number: PROJ-2020-00191 Parcel number 0629-181-01

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

To whom it may concern:

I am writing this letter in reference to the above project. I am opposed to this project. This is exploiting our beautiful desert! I have been living in Yucca Valley since 1989. At that time there was a waiting list for a water meter due to concerns of our water supply. The majority of the people in Yucca Valley voted to have water brought in. This opened up the ability to build here. Now we have concerns that the waste water is getting into our drinking water so therefore we now have to put in sewers. This area is protected land. What is going to happen to all our protected cherished Joshua trees, plants and animals? Also, we have so much traffic going through our town now. What will it do for the residents trying to go to town or go to work? And not to mention the additional pollution and the additional strain natural resources, fire department and police department. This project is bad news all the way around. We need stop this project!

Thank you,

Frances Lopez
flopezrn@yahoo.com

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Francesca Carpenteri <francesca.carpenteri@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 8:34 PM
To: Morrissey , Jim; Dawn.Rowe@mail.house.gov
Subject: NO on PROJ: 2020-00191 / Parcel # 0629-181-01

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Mr. Morrissey and Supervisor Rowe,

We are writing with comments on the proposed **Project [2020-00191](#)** in Homestead Valley/Flamingo Heights.

We have a number of concerns with the project, which we will outline below, but first to acknowledge the challenge the county has in determining the proper course of development in the high desert. The Morongo Basin is experiencing a housing shortage and a massive influx of people interested in enjoying the climate, clean air, and natural beauty of the area. The challenge of balancing the need for affordable housing access while preserving the natural beauty that, itself, led many of us to invest in the area, is a serious one that should be met with sustainable development principles focused on: retrofitting/renovation of existing derelict or abandoned houses, smart infill development in zoned areas, and new development only in areas of little ecological or wildlife impact. But we should be perfectly clear that this project has neither the stated goal nor any conceivable outcome of improving housing access or improving the quality of life for the residents of the Morongo Basin.

We respect the rights of property owners to make plans for their investments, but when those plans impact public safety, quality of life, and ecosystems well beyond their property boundaries, then we believe further scrutiny is required. Here are our concerns:

1. **Zoning:** the area is zoned RL (rural residential) and a dense, high impact campsite, entertainment venue, helipad (truly inexplicably), bar and restaurant, are in direct conflict in principle and actuality to the zoning.
2. **Traffic:** Highway 247 is, per capita, one of the most dangerous stretches of highway in California, claiming the life of dozens of people in the last few years. A recent lane-widening and rumble-strip installation has done little to slow this tragic loss of life and this development will necessarily require a high degree of turn-in/turn-out traffic in an otherwise uninterrupted stretch of highway. This will require extensive study and lane-widening and perhaps a new traffic light to preserve public safety.
3. **Ecology/Wildlife:** the area plays host to a number sensitive animal and plant species such as the Western Joshua Tree and desert tortoise, both soon-to-be candidates for endangered species protection. We would demand a significant impact and mitigation study for any development.
4. **Water/Wastewater:** our local imported water supplies via the Mojave Water Agency are under serious stretch and this rapid development deserves serious study. Further, the nearby Ames Aquifer is a sensitive one and a critical source of water storage for both

Bighorn Desert View Water District and the Hi Desert Water District. Absent a significant study of the potential aquifer impacts, this project should not proceed.

5. **Fire:** campsites=campfires and this area has already experienced a significant fire on the section catty-corner to this section to the southwest in recent years.
6. **Light/Noise pollution:** any music venue would permanently change the entire vicinity and not just during the events themselves as noise-sensitive animals like bighorn sheep migrate elsewhere. 70 campsites means at least 140 beams of headlights flashing across the section and across Pipes Wash all night for the in-and-out traffic of visitors.

Your consideration is very much appreciated and while not looking to stand in the way of smart, beneficial development that can support the ecosystem and community of the Morongo Basin, we believe there are a number of serious questions raised by this project that will require significant additional analysis and public review before proceeding further. It is our view that **Project [2020-00191](#)** is fundamentally flawed, dangerous, and detrimental to the area.

Thank you very much for your consideration,

--

Peter Brooks & Lily Stockman
[2720 Keeler Ave, Yucca Valley, CA 92284](#)

Francesca Carpenteri

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Frauke Hogue <frauke@hoguemet.com>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 9:57 PM
To: Morrissey , Jim
Subject: #PROJ-2020-00191

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Mr. Morrissey,

Just today I heard about the proposed project in Flamingo Heights. It is inappropriate and not thought out. I completely agree with the sentiment of the letters written by Steve Brown of Mojave Watch and Sherry Rand, a friend and neighbor of ours.

We moved to the desert for the quiet and the clear night skies, not to hear loud concerts and parties. Already the increase in short term vacation rentals has impacted the quality of the neighborhood negatively.

Please consider the concerns of the people living in the surrounding areas who do not benefit from this project.

Thank you for listening.

Frauke & Harlan Hogue

Resident of the Cobalt Neighborhood since 1999

Biggs, Lupe

From: Carla Davis <carlaj1@mac.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 10:26 AM
To: Morrissey , Jim; Supervisor Rowe
Cc: Warrick, Chris - LUS
Subject: Fwd: Amended Comment concerning PROJ 2020-00191, Parcel 0629-181-01, Pipes Wash Glamping Project aka Flamingo Project 640

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

We have discovered through the grapevine that a new report has been issued regarding the above described matter. As residents in Flamingo Heights, we had requested notification of new reports and/or decisions about this project. See email thread below. You did not do this directly. Please forward notifications and timelines for comments as now exist directly to us. Also please provide any new documents concerning this. We are unsure as to what, if any, the legal effect of lack of notice. But it sure makes it look like the County is unconcerned with hearing from residents effected by this project.

Carla Davis
David McKean
760-288-6290
833 Wamego Trail
Yucca Valley 92284

Begin forwarded message:

From: Carla Davis <carlaj1@mac.com>
Subject: Fwd: Amended Comment concerning PROJ 2020-00191, Parcel 0629-181-01, Pipes Wash Glamping Project aka Flamingo Project 640
Date: April 23, 2021 at 10:00:45 AM PDT
To: jim.morrissey@lus.sbcounty.gov
Cc: Supervisor.Rowe@bos.sbcounty.gov

We have studied the documents Mr. Roth submitted with his application for the CUP. They seem to be a product of templates used in the environmental consulting business. This was disappointing considering the huge impact this development would have on zoning, the residents, and indeed, the land itself. We remain convinced more neutral experts in each field would have much more to add to the developer's findings in re environmental impact.

We are very, very concerned about traffic. Mr. Roth's traffic expert indicated no analysis was needed, by simply doing the math. These folks have no idea the amount of semi-track traffic there is on OWS Road, or how many residents live out here using the road, or RV'er's traveling between JT and the Eastern Sierra. A traffic analysis is very necessary prior to any County approvals.

The amount of input with reference to traffic concerns from the community should be enough to inform the County it is on notice as to this important safety issue.

Additionally, we have made calls to the California Regional Water Quality Control Board to ascertain their interest in the project's plan for sewage disposal. We couldn't find much info in the developer's submissions regarding sewage disposal and effect on the groundwater. Maybe this is a matter of course that the project would be required to obtain a permit from the Colorado Basin Water Board. Please let the community know what is required in this regard. We will continue our efforts to contact this agency. It is very important for the community to understand this part of the issue. We do not want to end up like Yucca Valley, unregulated septic causing us all to either drink very bad water or spend a great deal of money for sewers in a rural living area. Water, ground water is our most important resource. Questions must be addressed regarding the project's impact on groundwater.

Finally, please add us to the list of persons to be informed as to any decisions the County comes to in regard to this worrisome project.

Thank you.

Carla Davis
833 Wamego Trail

Begin forwarded message:

From: Carla Davis <carlaj1@mac.com>
Subject: Comment concerning PROJ 2020-00191, Parcel 0629-181-01, Pipes Wash Glamping Project aka Flamingo Project 640
Date: April 18, 2021 at 3:41:30 PM PDT
To: jim.morrissey@lus.sbcounty.gov
Cc: Supervisor.Rowe@bos.sbcounty.gov

This is to let you know that I oppose the granting of any conditional use permit or variance for this project to move forward. This project will negatively impact the landscape, the infrastructure, and community in the surrounding area. The project is the equivalent of a housing subdivision, providing 75 structures, septic, and parking spaces for tourists that may well come and go but remain the total number of approx. and at the very least 150 persons on this land. The plan also includes a private restaurant, bar, store, pool, offices, workshops, and all the attendant infrastructure such facilities/amenities require. I also note that the plan includes an area for a private heliport. I cannot even imagine the impact such a thing would have on the surrounding land and residents.

I am very concerned about traffic on OWS Road (to say nothing about helicopter traffic!). Traffic going to and from the project will be turning on a blind curve with semi trucks going North and South at all times of the day and night. At night, I can see from my house in Flamingo Heights a parade of cars traveling home after work. This traffic will be encumbered with 75 and more persons traveling to and from and making turns into and out of the glamping facility. There would be no amount of changes to this two lane highway to make it safe to accommodate the added vehicles. This does not even speak to the addition of exhaust fumes in the area.

I am also concerned about the impact of a minimum of 150 persons in a concentrated area using septic for sewage removal and the impact on groundwater.

Without environmental studies concerning the impact of this many people living in this close proximity in an area designated as rural living, I fear permanent residents who have chosen to live in a rural area will be left with denigration and not a thing to improve quality of life.

As an interested person and local resident in Flamingo Heights, I am requesting that the County hold a public hearing to review the impact of such a development. Please provide me with notice of any scheduled hearing in this regard.

I am also requesting a copy of the project owner's application for the variance and/or conditional use permit, and any supporting evidence the project submitted in support of this significant change.

Please consider this project and its impact on local resident carefully. It is a very private facility with so much elitist amenities, but its impact on the land and residents will be very public.

Thank you for your kind attention to this matter.

Carla Davis
833 Wamego Trail
92284

Duron, Heidi - LUS


From: G. DaPonte <gldprod@yahoo.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 25, 2021 2:08 PM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Cc: Supervisor Rowe
Subject: Flamingo Heights Glamping - Project # PROJ - 2020 - 00191

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Mr. Morrissey,

With regards This ridiculously inappropriate "247 Glamping Project" - It simply should NOT be approved - In it's current form, or IN ANY FORM - Even it was granted approval with some sort of reduced concept, we both know they'll slowly push the boundaries of non-compliance, additional conditional use permits and the like...


At this point, I'm sure you've been inundated with responses AGAINST this "project" - A project by "carpetbaggers" with zero stake in our community other than using our community as a means for their monetary ends.

 From traffic safety - HWY 247 is already dangerous enough without adding this into the mix - Where are the Cal Trans and Traffic Impact Studies? My understanding is you've decided traffic studies aren't necessary???! Apparently you've not been on this stretch of 247 recently.

Then there's the water & waste water, the building of what (in actual reality) amounts to a LUXURY RESORT HOTEL COMPLEX in a RURAL RESIDENTIAL ZONE, the environmental impacts, increased fire danger, impacts on wildlife and on and on...

EVERY single resident of the Morongo Basin I've encountered is OPPOSED to this so-called "glamping project".

This "project" is bad for our community on every level. It should NOT be approved under ANY circumstances.

G. DaPonte 
Yucca Mesa, Yucca Valley CA.

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Gabriel Quimi <gquimi9@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 9:20 PM
To: Morrissey , Jim
Subject: PROJ—2020-00191

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Jim Morrissey,

Thank you for taking the time to read my email involving my concerns about your project proposal. I feel that this project would endanger many species. All of the acres you wish to build on are currently homes for wildlife and local flora. Right now, we currently have many endangered species in our environment: the desert tortoise, the burrowing owl, and of course the Joshua Tree. I am concerned for the safety and longevity of these species that make this beautiful desert what it is. Other concerns that come to mind would be fire safety, loud noise, and light pollution. Currently, this area is rather serene in comparison to Yucca Valley and Joshua Tree. HWY 247 consists of only two lanes, so this project would bring in a lot of traffic which could result in accidents and more roadkill. There are already so many places for visitors to camp. As for festivals, the campgrounds where Joshua Tree Musical Festival come to mind. I truly believe this project would bring many more problems that outweigh potential success. I kindly and with much respect ask that you please reconsider this project. Please, keep the very delicate ecosystem in mind when moving forward. Let's keep this desert healthy, clean, and livable for all species.

Thank you for your time,

Gabriel

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Gaelon Swift <gaelon@bowmanranchfurniture.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 21, 2021 11:51 AM
To: Morrissey , Jim
Subject: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 - Assessor Parcel Number (APN) 0629-181-01

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello. I just wanted to voice my total opposition to this proposal : Project # PROJ-2020-00191
- Assessor Parcel Number (APN) 0629-181-01

Myself and other members of this community absolutely do NOT want this type of nuisance brought into our community. Would you like this in your backyard???. We moved out here to be away from this type of lifestyle. There are many other areas (located in relative approximation) that do not have populations near it. The noise, traffic, trash, and crowds are just the tip of the iceberg that this will bring. Please respect us and do not allow this to happen. We are already dealing with other problems (like illegal grows/ massive light up greenhouses), we do not need or want any more. Please feel free to contact me if you wish to discuss this further.

Thank you for your time and understanding.

Gaelon Swift

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Genevieve D <g.dellinger@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 19, 2021 6:41 AM
To: Morrissey , Jim
Subject: Please Block Flamingo 640

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Mr Morrissey,

I am a 6 year full time resident of Flamingo Heights in Yucca Valley. My children were born here, my husband runs a full time business here, we love living here and teaching our children about the wild spaces where we are. We are truly heartsick over projected plans for the Flamingo 640 proposed for our neighborhood. While we understand the greater public's interest in our beautiful area, allowing an exclusive, intrusive festival and campground in this residential area would be such a disservice and disgrace. The area that the grounds are proposed for is a beautiful wildlife sanctuary, it's very wildness is what gives our area it's vibrancy and voice. To turn it into tamed glampgrounds is a heartbreaking proposal. The people that have chosen to live here did so for the peace and quiet we are afforded, allowing a helipad and encouraging a stream of festival-goers year round would ruin what we hold dear, not to mention it will disturb habitats for native flora and fauna. The 2 lane highway cannot support that much traffic. We would encourage the developers of this project to look at areas closer to the commercial zones in Yucca Valley, providing support for the local business district and allowing traffic to stay closer to the main highway.

Thank you for your attention to this matter that we consider so urgent and alarming.

Best,
Genevieve Dellinger
Flamingo Heights Resident

GENEVIEVE.DELLINGER
m 503.833.2573
h 760.364.3256
div>

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Germaine Cook <thegermainecook@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 21, 2021 12:54 PM
To: Morrissey , Jim; Supervisor Rowe
Subject: Project # PROJ-2020-00191

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Mr. Morrissey and Ms. Rowe,

I want to voice my opposition to this proposal: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 - Assessor Parcel Number (APN) 0629-181-01. Myself and other members of this community absolutely do NOT want this type of nuisance brought into our community. We moved out here to be away from this type of lifestyle. The noise, traffic, trash, and crowds are just the tip of the iceberg that this will bring. Please respect us and do not allow this to happen. We are already dealing with other problems like illegal marijuana grows and the loss of most of our Dark Night Skies, we do not need or want any more. Please feel free to contact me if you wish to discuss this further.

Sincerely,

Germaine Cook - Registered Voter

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Greg Bryant <gabryant@ucla.edu>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 10:42 AM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Subject: Re: Flamingo height project

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Quick follow-up: I realize that you are not an elected official so disregard my last line about that. But I hope that you stand for the community on this use - the total lack of support says a lot.

I also notice that Jericho Systems, the applicant, claims on their website "We thoroughly understand the regulatory issues associated with impacts and management of sensitive biological resources." If this proposal represents the level of their understanding, that is embarrassing for them.

Best,
Greg

On Mon, Apr 26, 2021 at 10:28 AM Greg Bryant <gabryant@ucla.edu> wrote:

Hi Jim,

I'm writing regarding Project # PROJ-2020-00191, Assessor Parcel Number (APN) 0629-181-01, proposed for Flamingo Heights, on Highway 247.

I am sure you have received more messages regarding this project than you can read carefully. But I want to add another voice to the chorus. I will refrain from summarizing all the points of which you are certainly well aware by now. As a homeowner and part-time resident on Keeler Ave, which is immediately adjacent to the site of the proposal, I would obviously feel the impact of this development quite directly. But no matter where my own house is in relation to this site, I would oppose this project specifically.

The fact is: it's egregious, and frankly, unconscionable.

By virtue of even proposing this project and its many excessive elements (some of which have been withdrawn apparently), they are honestly demonstrating a gross lack of concern for the environmental impact of this facility and all possible negative effects. Perhaps they are just ignorant of how it would affect the area? I'm not sure which is worse.

As you know, there are major risks posed to the ecology of this sensitive area that would be hugely impacted by not only the development process, but then the continual use by so many people, many of whom would also share the developers' lack of concern and/or ignorance. It's a terrible situation compounded by many other inevitable problems. On top of this, the development has minimal benefits for the community itself. It is built by outsiders for outsiders.

This is not a NIMBY situation - these investors need to find a proper location for their project that does not have all the associated costs. This is not the spot.

As you certainly know, the Mojave Desert Land Trust has offered market value to purchase this land and preserve it. It is quite obvious that this is the sort of solution we need to protect this area, and we are lucky to have the MDLT ready to act here. Please take any action you can to make this a reality. Let's cut off this abomination right now and force these developers to actually identify a sustainable site that makes sense, rather than just plopping down their money-making attraction wherever their financial analysts think it makes sense.

I sincerely hope you are with the community on this issue. If so, I'm confident the community will be with you in the next election cycle.

Best,
Greg

Greg Bryant
Professor and Vice Chair
Department of Communication
University of California, Los Angeles
<https://gabryant.scholar.ss.ucla.edu/>

Biggs, Lupe

From: 29 Palms Creative Center & Gallery <29palmscreativecenter@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 19, 2022 3:47 PM
To: Morrissey , Jim; Supervisor Rowe; COB - Internet E-Mail; Planning Commission Comments
Subject: Stop Flamingo 640 Proposed Project

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

- Greetings
- County Planner, Jim Morrissey,
-
- Supervisor Dawn Rowe,
-
- The Clerk for the Board of Supervisors, and
-
- The Planning Commission of San Bernardino County!
-
- The development known as Flamingo 640 that is proposed in the high desert north of Yucca Valley has to be stopped. Not only is it another environmental disaster for endangered Joshua trees and desert tortoises, and all of the surrounding inhabitants; it is also a cultural and safety disaster for the roads, sheriff and fire departments of the Morongo Basin, which are already struggling to keep up with our rapid growth.
-
- Please, consider how your decisions for today effect every aspect of our fragile ecosystem and infrastructure for generations to come.
-
- Thank you for your support to stop the development proposal of Flamingo 640!
-
- Sincerely,
- Gretchen Grunt
- 6847 Adobe Road
- 29 Palms CA 92277

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Hamid Moazzam <hamidmoazzam@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 10:01 AM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Subject: The Conditional Use Permit for PROJ-2020-00191 must be DENIED.

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

April 26, 2021

To: Jim Morrissey

Jim.Morrissey@LUS.SBCounty.gov

Project: PROJ-2020-00191

APN: 0629-181-01

The Conditional Use Permit for PROJ-2020-00191 must be DENIED.

With the application of this Conditional Use Permit (CUP) to establish a destination resort, San Bernardino County IS SETTING PRECEDENT for what every single parcel owner and out-of-the-area investor can do with their properties going forward. So the question needs to be asked: WHY HAVE ZONING???

The scale of Jericho Systems "Flamingo 640" project far exceeds this location's ability to safely and responsibly host a commercial endeavor of this size (the concert venue capacity would have exceeded the entire population of nearby Yucca Valley by 25%!!!). Zoned Rural Living (RL), parcel 0629-181-01 is a poor location choice for a large influx of tourists for several reasons. The out-of-the-area investors need to be held accountable to the RL zoning designation.

This parcel is NOT ZONED for commercial activities. Precedent must be established for every investor who is buying property at this time, with the false sense that any 'ole place can go into the glamping business, with fire rings and bonfires for every camp site. This is a disaster waiting to happen. The county's sheriff's department, fire department and hospital capacity is not currently funded to support an enormous increase in tourists - they are already overwhelmed right now!!!

Highway 62 and State Route 247 are already two of the deadliest roads in the United States. Approval of this project will require CalTrans to conduct traffic studies, address the need for left turn lanes and additional stop lights. The visiting tourist does not appreciate how dangerous State Route 247 is...putting themselves and residents at a very high risk of injury or death. A residential development on this parcel would at least have residential use of this road, neighbors would be familiar with and could take precautions for safety that tourists will never even be aware of.

Approval of this CUP would have devastating biological impacts. The Circle Mountain Biological Consultants, Inc., Edward L. LaRue, completed a biological survey in 2008, which showed where the Desert Tortoise was at that time. This parcel is in a major wildlife corridor. Approval of a CUP will require a new Environmental Impact Study.

The Bureau of Land Management has designated this area to be of Critical Environmental Concern, as it provides habitat connectivity for the Sand to Snow National Monument, the Bighorn Wilderness Area and the Joshua Tree National Park.

The 247 Scenic Route has already been approved. If the CUP is approved, the proposed project would violate this decades-long appreciation for the open space and horizon-to-horizon beauty that is unique to this area. The entire PURPOSE of the 247 Scenic Route would become IRRELEVANT.

The Conditional Use Permit for PROJ-2020-00191 must be DENIED.

Thank you,
Hamid Moazzam
POB 1222
Yucca Valley, CA 92284

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Hannah Simonson <hannahsimonson@utexas.edu>
Sent: Tuesday, April 20, 2021 10:10 AM
To: Supervisor Rowe; Morrissey, Jim
Subject: Objection to Flamingo Heights Festival & Glamping Development aka "Flamingo 640"

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,

I'm writing to express my objection to the proposed Flamingo Heights Festival & Glamping Development aka "Flamingo 640" project (#PROJ-2020-00191, APN 0629-181-01). This project poses clear threats to wildlife and poses environmental risks including light and noise pollution, trash, fire hazards, and traffic hazards. The Joshua Tree and Flamingo Heights areas are already saturated with tourists and this type of event space, by definition, would draw huge crowds during concentrated periods of time, disrupting the natural amenities that residents and other visitors enjoy in the desert. I am not a resident, but I frequently visit the Joshua Tree area (once or twice a year), and I come to enjoy hiking in the desert, look at plants and wildlife, enjoy the quiet night sky, and to patronize local small local businesses, artists, and restaurants--not to have to avoid large, loud parties with crowds of people and cars. This site should be preserved as a wildlife area, but if developed, what the area needs is affordable housing for long term rentals (not more airbnbs and short term rentals). Motels and other tourist-oriented facilities should be developed along HWY 247 or 62, which already has commercial amenities and easy access to the park.

I strongly urge you to deny this project and reconsider better, sustainable uses for this site.

Respectfully,
Hannah Simonson
4724 Mission Street
San Francisco, CA 94112

Hannah Lise Simonson
Architectural Historian / Cultural Resources Planner
Page & Turnbull
portfolio hannahlisesimonson.com

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Hans Hansen <hans@hanshansenphoto.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 25, 2021 11:00 AM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Subject: Wild lands on Pipes Canyon Wash

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Jim,

My name is Hans and I am a resident of Keeler Avenue on West Yucca Mesa.
I am writing to you in regards to the proposed hotel / glamping project (PROJ-2020-00191) at APN# 0629-181-01.

There is no point in dwelling on the obvious negative logistical impacts that development of this scale will bring to the wild lands and neighborhoods of this area.
Life here is rural living, something that project is not.

Instead, I ask for a bit of your time to share of my experiences here on the edge of the regional ecological system known as Pipes Canyon Wash. I live within walking distance to the wash and have over the years (1994-present) experienced much of it throughout the seasons.

Wet, dry, stormy, hot, dusty, windy, I love it all.
What I love about it is the opportunity it affords me and those who choose to explore on foot the many species of plants and animals that are native and thriving in this ecosystem.

It is not uncommon to see Bobcats on their morning hunt. Owls on their evening hunt.
Hawks and eagles roosting in the shade during the hot part of the day.
Tortoise foraging in the spring and early summer. Quail in flocks of hundreds.
Animal life here is quite rich in the absence of human intrusion.

In the plant kingdom the Western Joshua Tree rules the landscape.
No other plant here is so iconic to so many, a graceful indicator species that makes this harsh environment seem easy.
Nothing here is easy though.
It is a struggle beyond measure for most living things to establish themselves.
Another grand example, a great show of nature, is the Nolina that exist here.
With their towering inflorescence they draw wild bees by the hundreds of thousands to themselves, but for the benefit of all plants here.

I can only relay the personal joy and happiness I gain from my ventures into this wild land.
But I know there are many others here, on my street, and in the near communities on both sides of the wash that share these sentiments and hold them dearly to their hearts.

There are those who do not mind if the dwindling and isolated islands of natural landscape become islands of extinction for the species of plants and animals that call this land home.
There are those who do not believe in the rights of wild landscape to be left to live.

There are those who believe that we would not suffer if we were to continue to eat away at the 30 percent of California's original landscapes and even at the 14 percent of California's remaining wilderness.

However, there are also those - and in fact, we are the majority - who do not believe that the wild land resources of San Bernardino county can be extracted into perpetuity.

A strengthening voice among the people of Flamingo Heights and West Yucca Mesa believe plainly, and without doubt, that what we do to the natural world, we do to ourselves.

If we are not careful to adopt immediate wisdom about how the natural ecosystems of San Bernardino should be governed in the 21st century and beyond, the sustainability of the county and its residents may suffer long term the effects of irreversible damage.

There are many in this community and in the greater county who believe in the ethics of conservation and stewardship:

We hold deep respect for all living things.

We believe that living things are not things at all, but neighbors.

We realize that all of nature's work along Pipes Canyon Wash is part of one dynamic organism and that we too, are part of it.

The wealth of biodiversity along this corridor and in adjacent national monuments and scenic byways is quite stunning.

What if we define wealthy as meaning "the most common good", rather than short term monetary gains for a select few?

The aftermaths of short term thinking are evident scars all over the state.

We don't have lifetimes to reverse the intrusions that have already happened in this area, but we do have the agile minds to envision the success of future conservation when poised to act for the common good.

To execute on the idea of "The common good" would be to leave intact, natural systems of biodiverse resources and ecologies for the many generations of San Bernardinians to come.

I ask that you please take this under consideration when you make your decision on this proposed expansion into a rare wildlife corridor in San Bernardino County.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Hans Hansen
310-706-5479

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Heidi Knack <hknack@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 21, 2021 11:57 AM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Subject: Proposed Glamping Development Off Hwy 247

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Mr. Morrissey-

I am writing today to voice my objection to the proposed glamping development in Flamingo Heights off Hwy 247. Although I am cognizant of the importance of tourist revenue to our area, this kind of development would impose incredible harm on not only the area itself, but also on local residents. This area of the high desert is already under considerable strain from the amount of tourists coming here given the lack of infrastructure in place here to support that tourism: one small hospital, two lane highways everywhere, not enough grocery stores, and it should be noted there is no sewer system in Flamingo Heights. The traffic on Hwy 247 is already considerable and making left and right turns off the highway is nothing short of a treacherous task given the traffic and the speed everyone travels at (translation: everyone speeds on this highway and it already sees a fair amount of traffic accidents given there are no stop signs or stop lights anywhere on the highway). Given the development proposal includes plans for multiple music festivals per year, it can't be stressed enough how far sound travels here given the topography. This would be incredibly disruptive not only to the wildlife in this area, but also to residents. A music festival with thousands of attendees just cannot be supported by the limited infrastructure here and the additional traffic on an already overloaded two lane highway would be immense. There are already existing venues down in the Coachella Valley for music festivals in the desert, they can happen there. To sum up, the proposed development would create an undue burden on area residents and strain the local infrastructure even more than it already has been, particularly as this area has been hit hard by an increased amount of tourism to the National Park, as well as people deciding to wait out the Covid pandemic in this area this past year.

I appreciate your time and consideration,

Heidi Knack
Yucca Valley, CA

--

Heidi Knack
hknack@gmail.com / 773.551.4984



Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Heidi Pearson <anderpear@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 1:30 PM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Subject: APN 0629-181-01 Flamingo Heights

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

RE: PROJ-2020-00191
APN 0629-181-01

I am writing to express my opposition to this proposed project in Flamingo Heights. As a homeowner in this neighborhood, I am vehemently opposed to putting this proposed commercial use project in the middle of our zoned rural living community. The impact of this project would be extremely detrimental to our neighborhood and will completely destroy the quality of life for those of us who have chosen Flamingo Heights precisely because it is a community dedicated to rural residential living in the desert.

There are a multitude of reasons Flamingo Heights is not the right location for a project such as this, including:

1. This location is a critical connectivity corridor between the Sand to Snow National Monument, Bighorn Wilderness, and Joshua Tree National Park.
2. Adding large amounts of new traffic to Route 247 will create an even more dangerous situation on a highway that already experiences an inordinate amount of high-speed traffic.
3. The increase in traffic on a community that is already overrun with crowded weekend traffic will negatively impact residents in the community.
4. Uprooting state-threatened and protected Western Joshua Trees to make way for roads, structures and parking.
5. A site like this will create a significant level of noise and light pollution that will have a negative impact on the residents of Flamingo Heights as well as the wildlife.
6. With the State of California's recent studies on increased wildfire danger that idea of having three 700 SF firepits puts the entire community and the overall desert in danger in regard to fire safety as well as air quality.
7. The potential for pollution in Pipes Canyon Wash is a major concern and requires further studies.
8. Tents such as the ones planned here are not designed to be in an area like Flamingo Heights with the extreme wind conditions.

Our community has come together in united opposition of this project because of the impact this will have on our community and our desert environment. Flamingo Heights is not the place for a commercial project of this magnitude. This project, at a minimum, prior to approval should require additional impact studies - including environmental and traffic studies. I urge you to reject this proposed project at this location.

Thank you,

Heidi Pearson

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Heidi Gibbons Pieper <hgpieper16@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 22, 2021 7:41 PM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Subject: Concerns

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 on Parcel # 0629-181-01

Hello Mr. Morrissey,

I've lived in Yucca Valley for 11 years and in Flamingo Heights for 3 years, and I am concerned about the ramifications the above-referenced project will bring to my community.

It is certainly understood that progress and growth are inevitable, but this project is untenable. Highway 247 (Old Woman Springs Road) is a 2-lane road, the only access into or out of this area, and one of the very few paved roads. I'm sure you are aware of that, but it bears mentioning. How - even without the music festival component - can that road possibly accommodate the traffic? Slapping up a few traffic signals and a turn lane or two will not suffice.

This is a quiet area (except when the wind howls...and it does whip and howl) with a magnificent night sky. Please don't ruin that. I know most of my neighbors and community members share my sentiment that this project will ruin our quality of life on so many levels: noise, light, air pollution; traffic; crime will probably increase; and myriad other reasons NOT to disturb the land.

There is plenty of camping, all for free, in the Sand to Snow Monument. Granted, it's true camping - there are no swimming pools, bars, or Starbucks - so the "glampers" won't have the grit for it. Please don't turn my community into a campground/playground/spa.

Respectfully yours,
Heidi Pieper
Flamingo Heights, CA

Biggs, Lupe

From: Heidi Schwegler <hms@heidischwegler.com>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 6:30 AM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Subject: Flamingo Heights Glamping Resort

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Jim.

As a resident of Yucca Mesa since 2018 I am deeply concerned about the 640 acre Flamingo Heights Glamping Resort from the RoBott Land Company in Beverly Hills, CA.

Thank you for taking the time to consider our community's concerns.

Heidi

Assessor Parcel Number (APN) 0629-181-01

Project # PROJ-2020-00191

My concerns and questions:

Habitat destruction: This is important habitat for many species, including desert tortoise (state endangered), western Joshua tree (state threatened), western burrowing owl (California Species of Special Concern), migratory birds (protected under the migratory bird treaty act) and other wildlife, including desert kit fox, mountain lion. Creosote rings and Mojave yucca clonal rings are present as well, some of them potentially thousands of years old. The 2006 biological survey report is [here](#):

Parcel is also an important connectivity link between San Bernardino Mountains/Sand to Snow National Monument, Bighorn Mountain Wilderness, Marine Corps base, and Joshua Tree National Park. Development would remove that ecological value.

Parcel is in a priority conservation area, and land trusts are ready to offer fair market value for the property, protecting it in perpetuity.

Parcel is zoned RL (Rural Living), and conditional use permit wouldn't mitigate the erosion of neighbors' quiet enjoyment the RL zoning is designed to protect. This is especially true if the described helipad sees significant use. The proposed bar and restaurant add to the concern, as does the possibility that this would become an event venue.

Fire pits included in the proposal prompt the question: will operations include onsite staff who can restrict fire pit use during burning bans, high-wind events, or periods of high particulate matter counts? If not, who will be responsible for fire safety, and for environmental and public safety in general?

Wastewater: Plans suggest that wastewater will be directed to a leach field on the property, north of the resort. A stormwater retention basin will be sited immediately east of the sewage disposal leach field. What volume of waste water is projected to be disposed of via this leach field (considering perhaps 200-300 guests in a typical weekend in season)? Are hydrology studies available that ensure this large addition of wastewater won't infiltrate into neighbors' wells (there are at least 61 domestic wells within a few miles downstream) or the

aquifer serving Bighorn Desert View Water Agency? Would stormwater capture from the project's hardened surfaces accentuate this percolation of sewage?

Water supply: there is no water supply to this property. The parcel is not in the service area of either Bighorn Desert View or High Desert Water District. In order to develop the property in line with basic building codes, Bighorn Desert View would have to agree to build a pipeline to serve the property, at the land owner's expense. Have owners contacted Bighorn Desert View, and what is the likelihood that the water agency would actually agree to serve this facility?

Noise and light: Will the entire facility be available for rent to one party for special events? Will concerts or festivals be planned here? How many helicopter landings/departures are envisioned per month? Studies of potential noise levels should be undertaken. Lighting sufficient for hundreds of guests, plus ambient light from lodging units, fires, visitor headlights, party lights, and other sources of illumination are likely to pose a substantial addition to light trespass in this relatively dark area, posing threats to safety, wildlife, and quiet enjoyment by neighbors.

Traffic safety: Old Woman Springs Road is a dangerous highway, more so when crowded. In the last five years there have been more than a dozen accidents in the stretch of highway between Aberdeen and Reche that were serious enough to have had first responder involvement. Adding more than 100 cars exiting and entering the highway here during busy hours will prove dangerous, especially given drivers who pick up speed once they emerge from Pipes Canyon south of the project. A thorough traffic study, dedicated turn lanes, and perhaps a stop light should be considered if the project goes forward. The likelihood of extra costs to the county for first responders should be assessed.

Would the proposed project be accessible for those with physical limitations?

How would the proposed project utilize local labor and contractors to support the local community?

How would the campground keep their guests from trespassing on adjacent properties?

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: hilary nelson <hilarynels@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 8:30 PM
To: Morrissey , Jim; Dawn.Rowe@mail.house.gov
Subject: opposition of the "Flamingo 640"

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,

I am writing to express my opposition to PROJ: 2020-00191 / Parcel # 0629-181-01 and to demand that you use your voice to stop this development from moving forward. To develop this delicate habitat would be catastrophic not just for its ecology but for its people, too. The rural zoning, water needs, waste needs, traffic implications, fire threat, strain on local resources, lack of affordable housing, and environmental impact on an already struggling ecosystem are not being considered.

There are many ways to bring more people to enjoy and explore the splendor of this area that benefit local jobs, housing, and environment; this is not one of them.

Thank you,
Hilary Nelson

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Holly Ellis <Holly.Ellis.London@hotmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 8:24 PM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Subject: Flamingo 640

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Mr. Morrissey,

My name is Holly Ellis and I live in Yucca Valley. I am writing to you today about the "Flamingo 640" glamping project that is currently being considered for a conditional use permit. I wish to submit my comments and questions.

Project # PROJ-2020-00191
Assessor Parcel Number (APN) 0629-181-01

My home is located at 5524 Old Womans Springs Road so this project proposal leaves me with great anxiety for the local habitat, myself and the surrounding community. To say I am opposed to this project is an understatement.

I have been in discussion with my local community members and there are several areas of concern with the plans for the site that were submitted to the county. **My comments, concerns and questions are:**

1. This is essentially a motel and event venue, not a campground. The project should be evaluated and permitted for what it really is.

This developer is trying to make fools of the planning department and skip the proper process. It feels sleaze and corrupt and leads me to think all their future business dealings will be carried out as such. It is not a campground.

There are:

Permanent structures where guests sleep

Multi-use buildings and structures

Parking lots

AHELIPAD - No camp ground needs a helipad

The application details that there would be events & festivals.

Please confirm how this can be justified as a campsite?

2. The proposed project is on a Parcel that is zoned RL (Rural Living) and this zoning should maintain the current & future residents' peaceful enjoyment of their property and homes.

The parcel is zoned as Rural Living and this is not where a project like this should be built. When local key workers are being priced out of the housing market to steal more housing opportunity from them seems a slap in the face. I'm told the conditional use permit would not mitigate the erosion of the lifestyle RL zoning is designed to protect. It would bring large numbers of guests and all that it entails (see comments below re: traffic safety, fire safety, light trespass, noise trespass)

3. This project would cause Desert Habitat destruction that cannot be undone once destroyed.

The parcel has been identified by the Mojave Desert Land Trust as a critical area for conservation. This is an extremely important habitat for many species, including desert tortoise (state endangered), western Joshua tree

(state threatened), western burrowing owl (California Species of Special Concern), migratory birds (protected under the migratory bird treaty act) and other wildlife, including desert kit fox, mountain lion. Creosote rings and Mojave yucca clonal rings are present as well, some of them potentially thousands of years old.

If this development goes forward, the local habitat will suffer and it cannot be undone once set in motion. Our ecosystem is fragile and at risk. This development will destroy the vital wildlife connector corridor between the mountains, the national park and other public lands. People visit the desert to appreciate the beauty of this unusual biosphere and this development is setting out to damage the very thing that people come here. Please explain how you can justify this damage?

****Mojave Desert Land Trust as such.** Land trusts are ready to offer fair market value for the property, protecting it in perpetuity**

4. Public Safety, Physical Trespass, Noise Trespass, Light Trespass:

This development would significantly increase traffic on Old Woman Springs Road. Your stats for accidents on this road do not show the true picture. You are only made aware of actual reported accidents. I have narrowly escaped being rear-ended twice in the last 6 months as I wait to turn on to my property. It is a dangerous highway and I personally feel the speed limit needs to be reduced in populated area from 55 to 45. The additional traffic (excess of 100 cars multiple times a day) this development would add to the road, increases exponentially the danger and health and safety concerns of OWSR.

It poses serious risks for guests and residents, I will remind you this is Rural Living zone so it is very far from emergency services.

Further points my community discussions have raised are as follows:

5. Fire pits are included in the proposal. How will Fire Safety be enforced? This site is vulnerable to fire, as can be seen by the scorched earth and fire scars still visible a short distance from the parcel on the side of Old Woman Springs road just outside Pipes wash. Will food grills, often traditionally part of campgrounds, also be included? Will operations include onsite staff who can restrict fire pit use during burning bans, high-wind events, or periods of high particulate matter counts? If not, who will be responsible for fire safety, and for environmental and public safety in general?

6. Water supply: there is no water supply to this property. How will the project get water? The parcel is not in the service area of either Bighorn Desert View or High Desert Water District. In order to develop the property in line with basic building codes, Bighorn Desert View would have to agree to build a pipeline to serve the property, at the land owner's expense. Have owners contacted Bighorn Desert View, and what is the likelihood that the water agency would actually agree to serve this facility?

7. Wastewater generated by the proposed project will be high in volume. Considering perhaps 200-300 guests in a typical weekend in season, will the leach field included in the proposed plans be able to handle the volume of waste generated? What volume of waste water is projected to be disposed of via this leach field? How will the plans ensure this large addition of wastewater won't infiltrate into neighbors' wells (there are at least 61 domestic wells within a few miles downstream) or the aquifer serving Bighorn Desert View Water Agency? Is there any hydrology studies available for the project?

8. Noise and light trespass: Will the entire facility be available for rent to one party for special events? Will concerts or festivals be planned here? How many helicopter landings/departures are envisioned per month? Studies of potential noise levels should be undertaken. Lighting sufficient for hundreds of guests, plus ambient light from lodging units, fires, visitor headlights, party lights, and other sources of illumination are likely to pose a substantial addition to light trespass in this relatively dark area, posing threats to safety, wildlife, and quiet enjoyment by neighbors.

9. How will the proposed project be accessible for those with physical limitations, as required by the Americans with Disabilities Act? New development in our community should be planned with all community members at its center, and if this proposed project is to move forward it should be ADA Accessible.

10. How would the proposed project utilize local labor and contractors to support the local community? One of the benefits often touted by developers of projects like this is bringing jobs to the high desert community, but how can this proposed development project ensure that local labor and local business see the benefits?

11. How would the campground keep their guests from trespassing on adjacent properties? The proposed project will bring a large volume of guests and tourists, unfamiliar with desert living and neighborhood etiquette. The proposed plans state that they will be for "guest use only" but how can that be guaranteed? How will they control and distinguish between visitors, photographers & "lookie-loos" who come to the property and use it?

12. Environmental Impact Report (EIR): a project of this scale needs assessment of the full impact and requiring an EIR will be very important. Will an EIR be required?

In conclusion, I strongly urge the planning committee to reject this proposal.

I would ask that all questions and comments noted above be considered and answered by the developer and Planning, and the public be allowed to review and comment again before any permit is issued.

This project should be re-evaluated and considered a hospitality motel/hotel resort development project and required to be permitted as such

An Environmental Impact Report be required to proceed and the public be allowed to review and comment on it before any permit is issued

A Hydrology study be required to proceed and the public be allowed to review and comment on it before any permit is issued

Any development be fully ADA accessible

I believe that tourism is a key part of what supports the local community. Residents can work together for responsible community-based development that benefits everyone. This project I don't feel does that. The negatives far outweigh any benefit financially to local residents. Any development should benefit the local community above all else and I ask the county to reject any development/developer that is looking to exploit the desert solely for profit

Thank you for your time and consideration. I look forward to you addressing my concerns.

Yours sincerely,

Holly Ellis

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: IJ Ford <70ijford@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 4:02 PM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Subject: Flamingo Heights - Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor Parcel Number (APN) 0629-181-01

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Mr. Morrissey,

My family and I are relatively new residents to Flamingo Heights, as we purchased our home two years ago. My wife grew up in a small town and has lived in rural areas in the mid-west and the South. I've been a city dweller all of my life and have worked for the city of Los Angeles for 27 years.

I completely concur with all the points Mr. Steve Brown from Mohave Watch detailed in his article. Every topic deserves serious consideration and deliberation.

My limited experience with living in a small town has shown me that it can possess all of the qualities of life so often associated with life at a slower pace, peace and quite, with open spaces and a greater sense of community. My intimate understanding of city life in regards to projects like the Flamingo 640 glamping site is that with abundant financial backing, the big dogs always win.

Even though I'd rather not have a fancy glamping hotspot developed five blocks away from my home, I really don't foresee the County denying the conditional use permits that would allow this project to proceed. My concerns are that whatever the Robott Land Company wants, it will be granted all in the name of "progress" for the area. When the Flamingo 640 site is up and running, most likely no one will be held accountable for the noise and light pollution, increase in high speed traffic going to and from the location, potential fire hazards, drain on local resources, etc. Robott Land Company's only obligation will be to their investors and their profit margin will be the priority and the community will have absolutely no recourse.

What, if anything, does the Robott Land Company plan to do in order to contribute to the local community? If all they are offering is a handful of menial, low paying jobs, then that won't be worth the inconvenience and negative affect on the quality of life for all (humans, wildlife, etc) who live in Flamingo Heights.

In closing, Mr Morrissey, please explain to me what the benefits are to disregarding the Rural Living zoning designation of the parcel on which the Robott Land Company proposes to build the Flamingo Heights glamping site. A site that is purely intended for affluent visitors. Your decision on whether or not to issue a conditional use permit for this project will impact the Flamingo Heights community irreversibly for years to come, it is up to you to decide whether it will to the benefit of the Robott Land Company or the community of Flamingo Heights.

Sincerely,
Ivana Ford

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: J Renshaw <driftersca@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 10:42 PM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Subject: Valley/flamingo heights

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Mr. Morrissey and Supervisor Rowe,

We are writing with comments on the proposed **Project [2020-00191](#)** in Homestead Valley/Flamingo Heights.

We have a number of concerns with the project, which we will outline below, but first to acknowledge the challenge the county has in determining the proper course of development in the high desert. The Morongo Basin is experiencing a housing shortage and a massive influx of people interested in enjoying the climate, clean air, and natural beauty of the area. The challenge of balancing the need for affordable housing access while preserving the natural beauty that, itself, led many of us to invest in the area, is a serious one that should be met with sustainable development principles focused on: retrofitting/renovation of existing derelict or abandoned houses, smart infill development in zoned areas, and new development only in areas of little ecological or wildlife impact. But we should be perfectly clear that this project has neither the stated goal nor any conceivable outcome of improving housing access or improving the quality of life for the residents of the Morongo Basin.

We respect the rights of property owners to make plans for their investments, but when those plans impact public safety, quality of life, and ecosystems well beyond their property boundaries, then we believe further scrutiny is required. Here are our concerns:

1. **Zoning:** the area is zoned RL (rural residential) and a dense, high impact campsite, entertainment venue, helipad (truly inexplicably), bar and restaurant, are in direct conflict in principle and actuality to the zoning.
2. **Traffic:** Highway 247 is, per capita, one of the most dangerous stretches of highway in California, claiming the life of dozens of people in the last few years. A recent lane-widening and rumble-strip installation has done little to slow this tragic loss of life and this development will necessarily require a high degree of turn-in/turn-out traffic in an otherwise uninterrupted stretch of highway. This will require extensive study and lane-widening and perhaps a new traffic light to preserve public safety.
3. **Ecology/Wildlife:** the area plays host to a number sensitive animal and plant species such as the Western Joshua Tree and desert tortoise, both soon-to-be candidates for endangered species protection. We would demand a significant impact and mitigation study for any development.

4. **Water/Wastewater:** our local imported water supplies via the Mojave Water Agency are under serious stretch and this rapid development deserves serious study. Further, the nearby Ames Aquifer is a sensitive one and a critical source of water storage for both Bighorn Desert View Water District and the Hi Desert Water District. Absent a significant study of the potential aquifer impacts, this project should not proceed.
5. **Fire:** campsites=campfires and this area has already experienced a significant fire on the section catty-corner to this section to the southwest in recent years.
6. **Light/Noise pollution:** any music venue would permanently change the entire vicinity and not just during the events themselves as noise-sensitive animals like bighorn sheep migrate elsewhere. 70 campsites means at least 140 beams of headlights flashing across the section and across Pipes Wash all night for the in-and-out traffic of visitors.

Your consideration is very much appreciated and while not looking to stand in the way of smart, beneficial development that can support the ecosystem and community of the Morongo Basin, we believe there are a number of serious questions raised by this project that will require significant additional analysis and public review before proceeding further. It is our view that **Project [2020-00191](#)** is fundamentally flawed, dangerous, and detrimental to the area.

Thank you very much for your consideration,

Biggs, Lupe

From: Jack Fallucca <Jack_Fallucca@hotmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 25, 2021 11:18 AM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Subject: PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor Parcel 0629-181-01

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Mr. Morrissey,

I am writing to you to express my concern regarding the Jericho Systems Glamping development in the Flamingo Heights area of Johnson Valley. This is a quiet, rural, residential area. Families like mine have chosen to live out here because of the privacy, peace, and quiet.

If the zoning is changed and this development is allowed, it will change the nature of our high desert, forever. In addition to increased vehicle traffic, we will now have increased air traffic; a heli-pad? Really? WOW, talk about disturbing the peace. Our highways are already crowded and it is difficult to get from one end of the valley to the other in a decent amount of time on the weekends. We don't need or want additional, wider, or bigger highways. We don't want or need our taxes increased, again, to pay for additional roads and infrastructure that only benefit people from out of town.

There is also the issue of water, as this is the DESERT and water is scarce. We have to ration water in drier years, can longer water our landscaping, and have removed all of our grass in order to conserve our precious resources; and now we should be expected to give up this resource for a bunch of party animals, rich people, and movie stars, to come out here and tear up our towns every weekend? NO.

Now let's talk about the critical habitat where the Joshua Trees and Desert Tortoises have lived for thousands of years, basically undisturbed. I laughed when I read that the developer stated there are no desert tortoises on the 640-acre parcel. Did they do an actual environmental impact study or do you just accept the word of a rich developer in order to pass their project? Did they survey every foot of the parcel, look under the plants and rocks where the tortoises shade themselves during the heat of day? I SERIOUSLY doubt it. These are a protected plants and animals and part of the natural beauty of our area that should remain wild and free.

The amount of light pollution should also be considered, as this area is one of the best places in the entire US to view the wonders of the night sky. These developments will impact the darkness of the night sky, rendering it so bright that no one will be able to enjoy it. Hotels and large glamping developments such as this one and the Auto Camp going in to Joshua Tree are the beginning of the end for the lands we love.

I believe part of your task as a county official is have a balanced approach to the types and sizes of development projects for rural areas and to make decisions that will NOT negatively impact the residents and the land. Please consider the area, the residents, the animals, plants, and our night sky and find it in your heart to look out for the interests of the voters and the land, not to look out for the interests of developers. This development will negatively impact the residents and the land.

Our area has to some, **very modest** development in order to have modest growth, provide jobs, and remain a viable and vibrant community. Large developments such as these should not be allowed to occur in the high desert and I strongly urge you to stop this before the next domino falls and the area that we know and love will be negatively impacted forever.

Sincerely,
Jack and Carole Fallucca

Biggs, Lupe

From: Jacobine Van der Meer <jacobinevandermeer@yahoo.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 25, 2021 10:01 PM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Subject: Flamingo 640

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Jim,

Regarding:

Project # PROJ-2020-00191
Assessor Parcel Number (APN) 0629-181-01

I strongly oppose a conditional use permit for the Flamingo 640 development. As a resident of Landers since 2004 I have seen the area surrounding Joshua Tree National Park change drastically. As we all know tourism has grown tremendously and with that come good things like jobs and bad things like increased traffic, increased water use, natural habitat destruction and pollution/ trash. The rural community of Flamingo Heights, Pipes Canyon and Landers with residents loving their peace and quiet, unobstructed views and night skies, would be negatively impacted by this project. There is a reason this area is zoned RL.

Traffic on Old Woman Springs Road/ Hwy 247 has increased substantially, there are constantly near accidents and sadly an uptick in often very serious accidents (head on collisions). Adding traffic to and from 75 'glamping' spots sounds like a very dangerous disaster in the making, even if extra turning lanes are added.

The construction of a helipad seems absurd. On top of an increase in car traffic there would be air traffic, disturbing fauna and residents in the area.

Governor Newsom just declared that California has entered another period of drought. Adding constant water use by 'glampers' will only exacerbate this very serious issue. The water table in the area is already dropping.

The area is host to a variety of threatened animal and plant species like the desert tortoise and the Joshua Tree, they would be severely disturbed by this development.

Is the developer aware that a very large part of the parcel consist of a wash which carries storm water from the Pipes Canyon Mountain Preserve area all the way to Landers? I have witnessed violent flash floods with raging water and clay through these washes.

The possibility of large events like concerts puts even more pressure on the community and flora and fauna. Most of us live here because we want to be away from city noise and crowds. Even if this does not materialize, the fact that the developer came up with this idea shows that there is no knowledge of and connection to the community and the area.

I hope with all my heart that this project will be shelved in a closet with a big lock on it. It could change the area so much that I might not want to live here anymore. I know many residents feel the same but not everyone might have the option to move somewhere else, especially the animals who are already seeing their habitat diminished at a rapid pace. At one point they don't have anywhere to go.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

All my best,

Jacobine van der Meer

Biggs, Lupe

From: Jane Fawke <laragna.web@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 25, 2021 11:39 AM
To: Morrissey , Jim
Subject: Project # PROJ-2020-00191

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Sir,
I am a retired park ranger, and certified Desert Sands and Sky Islands master naturalist. This huge glamping project will be a disaster for our fragile desert ecosystem. This area is a wildlife corridor from Black top and Hondo over to Goat and Bartlett mountains. This awful project will affect our incredibly fragile Joshua Tree and Yucca forest. Roads will disintegrate, and the noise and dust storms created by 250,000 people descending here for concerts will ruin the quality of our lives for years to come. The idea of using Pipes wash as a campground is utterly stupid, as recent massive rainstorms have proven utterly destructive. Water and power usage, in this time of climate change, on such a huge site, will be incredibly wasteful, we can't rely on strangers to the area to be careful of these resources. This project needs to be shelved in perpetuity. Thank you for your attention.
Jane "Spider" Fawke

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Jane Sheldon <jane.e.sheldon@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 8:29 PM
To: Morrissey , Jim; Dawn.Rower@mail.house.gov
Subject: PROJ: 2020-00191on Parcel#0629-181-01

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Mr Morrissey and Ms Rowe,

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposal to build Flamingo 640, a luxury site for tourists in Flamingo Heights, Yucca Valley, CA.

I myself am a frequent tourist to the towns around Joshua Tree NP (I've been visiting since 2013) and this site would be a deterrent to my visits, not an attraction. In many ways, I'm probably the kind of person the site wishes to attract: I'm a 38 year-old woman of means, I like live music, I like luxury camping. But I would never visit this resort, let alone support its construction, due to the threat it clearly poses to local wildlife, whose habitat has already been dangerously reduced over recent decades. The peace of the local environment is what draws me to your county to spend my tourist dollars. Flamingo 640 represents a grave threat to everything I love about the place.

I urge you to prevent this proposed site from being developed.

Sincerely,

Jane Sheldon

W: janesheldonsoprano.com

E: jane.e.sheldon@gmail.com

PH: +61413027755 (AU), +16174622681 (US)

Biggs, Lupe

From: Janelle Miller <janelledimiller@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 22, 2021 4:11 PM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Subject: Fwd: Project#PROJ2020-00191; Assessor Parcel#0629-181-01

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Mr. Morrissey,
I forgot to add that Highway 247 has a dangerous blind curve right where this proposed site is.
Again, I thank you for your time.
Janelle D. Miller

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Janelle Miller <janelledimiller@gmail.com>
Date: Thu, Apr 22, 2021 at 3:26 PM
Subject: Fwd: Project#PROJ2020-00191; Assessor Parcel#0629-181-01
To: <SupervisorRowe@sbccounty.gov>

Supervisor Dawn Rowe,
Thank you so much for attending our community meeting. I wish I had been able to attend your meeting on Tuesday.
Sincerely, Janelle D. Miller

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Janelle Miller <janelledimiller@gmail.com>
Date: Thu, Apr 22, 2021 at 3:21 PM
Subject: Project#PROJ2020-00191; Assessor Parcel#0629-181-01
To: <Jim.Morrissey@lus.sbccounty.gov>

Mr Morrissey,
As a long time homeowner in Flamingo Heights, I am very concerned regarding the proposed Glamping site here.
1) I am wondering how a glamping site with 75 Airstream campers, a restaurant, a bar, etc. will be able to support our dark skies initiative.
2) Even though the residences here are far apart, this is a residential area. How does this company plan to control noise pollution?
3) Is this company willing to pay for 24/7 security? Due to the fact that our 2 San Bernardino County Sheriffs cover the unincorporated areas of Amboy, Cadiz, Flamingo Heights, Johnson Valley, Joshua Tree, Landers, Morongo Valley, Pioneertown, Wonder Valley and Yucca Mesa, they may not be immediately available for any "issues" at this site.
4) I have concerns regarding the wildlife here. This area is a wildlife corridor. Is this company willing to pay an independent, professional study of the endangered species, i.e. the desert tortoise?
5) There is also the fact that Highway 247 is being considered for a Scenic Highway designation.
6) I also question why this company wants to build here in Flamingo Heights when there are more appropriate commercial sites along Highway 62.

Thank you for your consideration.

Janelle D. Miller
1525 Inca Trail
Yucca Valley, CA 92284
(760)333-1953

Biggs, Lupe

From: Jeanette McCarthy <jeanetteannemccarthy@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 11:50 AM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Subject: Flamingo Glamping Site PROJ-2020-00191

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

To: Jim Morrissey
Date: April 26, 2021
Re: PROJ-2020-00191

I am writing as a concerned resident and home owner in Yucca Valley, CA. My concern is regarding the proposed glamping resort for a 640 acre site in Flamingo Heights PROJ-2020-00191. I am not against responsible development in the area, but want to request that several impact reports are conducted before this site moves forward. I want to be assured that the responsible agencies are not overlooking major concerns with regards to environmental, community and economic impacts.

The area in question is a priority connectivity corridor between Sand to Snow National Monument, the Bighorn Wilderness, Joshua Tree National Park, and points north. Any and all impact to the habitat and wildlife in the area must be studied and reported. As guardians of the desert we must insist that the wildlife and habitat in this corridor be protected, most obviously the desert tortoise, the creosote, the yuccas, the night sky, the peace and quiet, and of course the Joshua trees. The uprooting of State Threatened Western Joshua Trees and basic clearing of desert lands to make way for roads, structures and parking is unacceptable.

The following risks to habitat and wildlife not to mention the local community are only a few of the risks that must be managed:

-The configuration of Route 247 near the site means adding large amounts of traffic entering the roadway could be extremely dangerous on a highway with an inordinate amount of high speed collisions. This, in conjunction with several other proposed camp sites, will lead to an influx of traffic, especially on already crowded weekends, limits must be imposed or our neighborhoods will be inundated.

-Each 700 square foot fire pit for 75 campsites, raises serious concerns about air quality and fire safety. Morongo Basin is a high drought area. Fire safety is of particular concern as this is also a high wind-event area

-The potential for pollution of groundwater in Pipes Canyon Wash from septic systems, visitor vehicle oil and coolant leaks, or campers carelessly discarding dishwater and similar items into the soil or wash is grounds for further investigation.

-Water supply. The question whether there is enough water for such a facility must be answered.

-Noise and light pollution from campsites, restaurants, bars, cars, helicopters, concerts, campers and more will pose an extreme risk to wildlife and will incur a negative impact on residents. Helicopters (whether emergency or VIP) will create dust and disturb wildlife as well as create ongoing nuisance for neighboring communities.

-This parcel is in a Rural Living zone, which must allow for quiet, rural living. If the zoning is changed, it must be approved by residents

-Additional law enforcement must be considered in an area already extremely under policed

-The effect on the immediate vicinity from increased and unplanned tourism development will negatively impact the residents of Landers, Flamingo Heights, Joshua Tree, Yucca Valley, 29 Palms

-This high end glamping resort must not negatively impact the local residents quality of life, but should alternatively offer great benefits to the community. An enclave for the rich in one of the poorest counties in the nation is highly undesirable

The following impact studies should be paid for by the developers in question, and must be done. This will also set a precedent for future developers. All the proposed sites (in addition to the Flamingo PROJ-2020-00191) , need to provide impact reports and be voted for by the whole community in advance of any construction.

I am sure there exist more concerns than I am aware of. What I know is that it would be tragic to sell out this desert paradise to outside corporations. Think Big Bear; it's a nightmare to go there. It is bumper to bumper traffic, pollution, noise, etc. I stopped visiting.

The following studies are requested:

New Environmental Impact Studies

Cal-Trans Studies & Traffic Impact Studies

A complete Project Designation Study for new construction needs to be set in motion

Finally, I strongly urge the developer to meet with the community and Planning Department together and have such meeting be widely advertised. The property in question is not zoned for commercial enterprises of this magnitude and perhaps should be reconsidered. My guess is that the findings of the necessary impact studies will suggest that this delicate area would be better suited for a Desert Nature Preserve. It is my understanding that the parcel in question is a priority for conservation and a land trust is open to purchasing the property for preservation.

Thank you for your consideration. I would like to be informed on any updates to this issue and have included my contact info below.

Sincerely,

Jeanette McCarthy
jeanetteannemccarthy@gmail.com

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Jeff Roush <jeffry.roush@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 20, 2021 2:37 PM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Subject: Proposed glamping off of Old Woman Springs Road

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

There is more than one proposed glamping site and I realize development of the tourist resource is inevitable but the large 640 acre proposal is an attempt to skirt the laws restricting off road vehicle access to the desert near our homes. San Bernardino County has established ordinances and zoning laws in this area to prevent this activity for many reasons in the past and I would suggest, Sir, that those reasons have not vanished. In fact with more people moving to the area all of those reasons are even more relevant than when first established.

The beauty of our neighborhood is very much a part of the value we have spent good money obtaining. If the county is going to diminish the value of our assets by allowing some rich guy to skirt the law to establish off road recreation in our vicinity, then both he and the county should compensate every landowner in the area for their loss. Loss of value, loss of silence, loss of peace, loss of tranquility, loss of clean air, loss of the friends we will lose when they move away, loss of the wild near us that we need to share with our fellow earthlings.

In closing Mr. Morrissey, whether we own or rent, our peace and tranquility in this region is something we must preserve and cherish. If we do not stop harming the old woman we live on her autoimmune system will continue to treat us like the infection we have become. I encourage you and all County personnel to reconsider any approval of large off-road facilities in a growing residential rural community.

Thank You for your time, consideration, and attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,

Jeffry Graham Roush
3066 Hilton Ave.
Yucca Valley, CA 92284-9291

Copy: Governor Newsom

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Jen Hinton <jendevon@protonmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 9:43 PM
To: Morrissey , Jim
Subject: PROJ--2020-00191

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Jim Morrissey,

Thank you for taking the time to read my email involving my concerns for your project proposal. I am a Morongo Basin local and have been learning all about our desert ecosystems for the past few years. I feel that this project would endanger many species. All of the acres you wish to build on are currently homes for wildlife and local flora. Right now, we currently have many endangered species in our environment: the desert tortoise, the burrowing owl, and of course the Joshua Tree. I am concerned for the safety and longevity of these species that make this beautiful desert what it is. Other concerns that come to mind would be fire safety, loud noise, and light pollution. Currently, this area is rather serene in comparison to Yucca Valley and Joshua Tree. HWY 247 consists of only two lanes, so this project would bring in a lot of traffic which could result in accidents and more roadkill. There are already so many places for visitors to camp at. As for festivals, the campgrounds where Joshua Tree Musical Festival is held comes to mind. I truly believe this project would bring many more problems that outweigh potential success. I kindly and with much respect ask that you please reconsider this project. Please, keep the very delicate ecosystem in mind when moving forward. Let's keep this desert healthy, clean, and livable for all species.

Thank you for your time,

Jennifer Hinton

Sent from ProtonMail mobile

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: jennifer <jlfloryan@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 24, 2021 8:08 PM
To: Morrissey , Jim
Subject: NO To Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor Parcel Number (APN) 0629-181-01

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

As a resident of the area I strongly say NO NO NO WAY TO ANY RESORT OR SO CALLED "GLAMPING" RECREATIONAL FACILITY.

The 247 can not handle more traffic as it is now its deadly with speeding and off road vehicles.

Keep the area rural and undeveloped as it should remain now and into the distant future.

I am a home owner and county tax payer and NO WE RESIDENTS DO NOT WANT THE PROPOSED PROJECT TO BE APPROVED IN ANY WAY SHAPE OR FORM.

Do the RIGHT THING and stomp this ludacris project DOWN!

Firmly,
Ms Floryan

Biggs, Lupe

From: jennifer morris <mjennifermorris@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 5:13 PM
To: Morrissey , Jim
Subject: PROJ:2020-00191 / Parcel#0629-181-01

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Mr. Morrissey,

I am writing in opposition to the proposed "Flamingo 640". My family and friends have been visiting that part of Yucca Valley for many years. We have family who has lived very close to the proposed site for decades. We go there to enjoy the quiet, big dark night skies, to get away from traffic and pollution. My aunt and uncle who live in Yucca Valley live there because of the same reasons. It is a special place, a respite, full of delicate wild life that has adapted to such specific and rare environment.

There is so much change and development happening in California, with a rabid interest in the desert. If all of the open spaces are built on and developed, I fear that the things that we all love the desert for, will be lost.

I am sure that hundreds of people have written to you about the tortoises, bobcats, and joshua trees. They are probably pleading more eloquently than I am, but I want to cast my vote and have mine along with my family's voice be heard among those that love, adore and appreciate the lack of sprawl in the desert. I am a firm believer in urban density and hope that development can continue in town where the county will continue to grow its very important tax base.

Thank you for your consideration,
Jennifer Morris
Harland Morris
Thomas Morris
Amy Morris
Stella Morris Greenfield
David Greenfield

Biggs, Lupe

From: seventeen seconds design <seventeensecondsdesign@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 25, 2021 8:21 PM
To: Morrissey , Jim
Subject: In regard (PROJ-2020-00191)

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,

I'm reaching out in regards to PROJ-2020-00191. Myself and many community members/neighbors are opposed to this moving forward

for a variety of concerns. Personally for me it's

1. The impact it will have on the landscape and wild life,
2. The sheer volume of traffic on an already dangerous high way
3. Last but not least how it will effect the serenity of this beautiful community

I'm not against tourism nor the influx of new home and or land owners in the community. However this proposed endeavor seems to be the beginning of the end. Thank You for your time and consideration.

sincerely,
jerome brown

--

SEVENTEEN SECONDS DESIGN

4537 Huntington Dr. South

LA, CA 90032

PHONE: [323.972.2510](tel:323.972.2510)

https://www.instagram.com/seventeenseconds_design/ / seventeensecondsdesign@gmail.com

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Jarrod F <jftrumpets@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 21, 2021 2:19 PM
To: Morrissey , Jim
Subject: Development Opposition - PROJ - 2020 - 00191

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

I strenuously oppose the proposed development PROJ - 2020 - 00191. Massive developments like this damage the community and the precious ecological system of the area. You should not allow such a detrimental proposal to move forward.

Thank you.

Biggs, Lupe

From: Jesse Atkinson <jesse.atkinson78@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 25, 2021 12:56 PM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Cc: Supervisor Rowe
Subject: Comment on Project # PROJ-2020-00191 - If you wouldn't approve this across the street from your house, please don't approve it across the street from ours!

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello Mr. Morrissey and Supervisor Rowe -

My family and I live at 2224 Yellow Knife Rd. in Flamingo Heights, directly across Hwy 247 from the proposed glamping and event site on APN: 0629-181-01. I am writing to enthusiastically oppose this development, in its entirety, in the strongest of possible terms. This proposed development of a "glamping resort" is an attempted cash grab by commercial developers looking to maximize their profits, while exploiting/destroying the area's natural ecosystem and serenity. Most importantly to myself and neighbors is the added noise, light pollution, fire danger, the dramatic impacts to traffic safety, and the erosion of such a fragile ecosystem.

Parcel has a current buyer ready to pay "fair market value" - The Mojave Desert Land Trust, in collaboration with other land preservation organizations, are ready to offer fair market value for the property, protecting it in perpetuity. It is in a priority conservation area, and **has been identified by the Mojave Desert Land Trust as a critical connectivity link** between San Bernardino Mountains/Sand to Snow National Monument, Bighorn Mountain Wilderness, Marine Corps base, and Joshua Tree National Park. Development would remove that ecological value. When contacted by the MDLT - the developers gave them, what can only be described as a "F%^ Y*&%" price, of \$10 Million Dollars

Increase of illegal Off Highway Vehicles on Private Property and Public Roads - The area is already seeing an increase of OHV travel on the shoulder of HWY-247 and unpaved, neighborhood surface streets. Having 75+ patrons bringing truckloads of Quads, Dirt Bikes and Side-by-Sides will only add to the aggravation of neighbors and continue to burden an already stretched thin San Bernardino County Sheriff's Department with the imminent increase in accident and nuisance calls.

Parcel is zoned RL (Rural Living), and offering a conditional use permit for this type of development would massively erode my community's quiet enjoyment the RL zoning is designed to protect. This is especially true if the described helipad sees significant use. The proposed bar and restaurant add to the concern, as does the possibility that this would become an event venue. The EVENT PORTION of this development is extremely worrying; this area should NOT be able to accomodate large events proposed by the developer.

Traffic safety: Old Woman Springs Road is a dangerous highway, more so when crowded. My family drives on this road every day, both to Landers and to Yucca Valley, and this stretch of road in particular is extremely dangerous because it is where everyone tries to pass. In the last five years there have been more than a dozen accidents in the stretch of highway between Aberdeen and Reche ([cite](#)) that were serious enough to have had first responder involvement. Adding more than 100 cars exiting and entering the highway here during busy hours (possibly intoxicated due to the proposed bar and restaurant in this development), in addition to all of the large work vehicles used during development, will prove dangerous, especially given drivers who pick up speed once they emerge from Pipes Canyon south of the project. A thorough traffic study, dedicated turn lanes, and perhaps a stop light should be considered if (God forbid) the project goes forward. The likelihood of extra costs to the county for first responders should be assessed.

Habitat destruction: This is critical habitat for many species, including desert tortoise (state endangered), western Joshua tree (state threatened), western burrowing owl (California Species of Special Concern), migratory birds (protected under the migratory bird treaty act) and other wildlife, including desert kit fox, mountain lion. Creosote rings and Mojave yucca clonal rings are present as well, some of them potentially thousands of years old.

Fire pits included in the proposal prompt the question: will operations include onsite staff who can restrict fire pit use during burning bans, high-wind events, or periods of high particulate matter counts? If not, who will be responsible for fire safety, and for environmental and public safety in general?

Wastewater: Plans (see Mojave Watch) suggest that wastewater will be directed to a leach field on the property, north of the resort. A stormwater retention basin will be sited immediately east of the sewage disposal leach field. What volume of waste water is projected to be disposed of via this leach field (considering perhaps 200-300 guests in a typical weekend in season)? Are hydrology studies available that ensure this large addition of wastewater won't infiltrate into neighbors' wells (there are at least 61 domestic wells within a few miles downstream) or the aquifer serving Bighorn Desert View Water Agency? Would stormwater capture from the project's hardened surfaces accentuate this percolation of sewage?

Water supply: there is no water supply to this property. The parcel is not in the service area of either Bighorn Desert View or High Desert Water District. In order to develop the property in line with basic building codes, Bighorn Desert View would have to agree to build a pipeline to serve the property, at the land owner's expense. Have owners contacted Bighorn Desert View, and what is the likelihood that the water agency would actually agree to serve this facility?

Noise and light: Will the entire facility be available for rent to one party for special events? Will concerts or festivals be planned here? How many helicopter landings/departures are envisioned per month? Studies of potential noise levels should be undertaken. Lighting sufficient for hundreds of guests, plus ambient light from lodging units, fires, visitor headlights, party lights, and other sources of illumination are likely to pose a substantial addition to light trespass in this relatively dark area, posing threats to safety, wildlife, and quiet enjoyment by my family and community.

If you wouldn't approve this across the street from your house, please don't approve it across the street from ours!

For the sake of one of the last remaining quiet and rural communities in the Morongo Basin, do NOT approve this development.

Respectfully,

Jesse Atkinson

2224 Yellow Knife Rd

Yucca Valley, Ca 92284

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Jessica Danforth-Kaniuka <connect@liberate-now.org>
Sent: Tuesday, April 20, 2021 7:38 AM
To: Supervisor Rowe
Subject: Please don't allow Flamingo Heights

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Supervisor Rowe,

The proposed development of Flamingo Heights has so many many disturbing aspects to it. Please don't allow something so detrimental to the residents and ecological habitat to be passed.

For the following reasons:

1. It's detrimental to the Heath and well being of the animals and plants that have their habitat in the proposed site.

- This wildlife area is a tortoise habitat - under the state law this creature is a threatened species under the US Endangered Species Act.
- Thousands of Western Joshua Trees growing on this plot of land are also candidates for threatened species under the California Endangered Species Act & cannot be legally removed.
- This plot of land is also home to burrowing owls and many other native animals and plants that rely on this pristine desert area as a wildlife corridor.
- Xujhkhfgr hnj Uujx% fmx% hwyhfc| rj qk htwitw hntssjhyel%fsi%t% Xst | %fytsfgr tszr jsy%si%mj%Grlmtws% rj jwsjxx%t %txmzf%Wjj%fytsfgr Ufwp3

73y% rnfwr hnj wjxijsy%tkm%awtutxji%xyj %Gwslsl%tt%r zhmatqyts%si%stxj%t hnjw% mtr jx3 %

2. **Enormous crowds during music festivals and events pose a huge environmental risk.**

- These enormous events will create noise & light pollution, disturbing the nearby residents and impact residents even miles away.
- Heavy use of roads and improper use of wildlife areas cause permanent harm to endangered plants and animals' burrows. We already know from past music festivals that attendants will park vehicles and camp in restricted outdoor areas.
- Helipad invites air traffic that is a noise disturbance and is an invasion of privacy to nearby residents.

3. There is real danger involved when creating environments to party and glamp where there is no oversight and safety in place.

3.

4. **Unattended, poorly managed & illegal fires contribute to the risk of wildfires.**

- Every year our area already faces wildfire caused by campfires, cigarettes and camping stoves.
- This area is a high wind zone all year round. Wildfires spread quickly and pose a danger to nearby homes.
- Fire Stations are limited and miles away. Often these wildfires need multiple firetank helicopters to efficiently fight these wildfires. Wildfires in nearby areas such as Flamingo Heights and Joshua Tree have destroyed 100s of acres of pristine wildlife.

4. The area is not sufficiently developed for such high traffic and events. The only way to make it safe is to change it and create a hostile environment for the residents and the ecology.

4.

5. **The constant stream of heavy traffic will make our roads more dangerous and increase risk of fatality.**

- The one lane on highway 247 cannot support increased constant traffic. Currently, turning on and off the highway in 55+ mph zone poses a huge risk.
- Our dirt roads wash out unexpectedly in storms, blocking off road & creating large gaps along the highways that poses a risk to drivers.
- There have been numerous deadly accidents on our desert highways due to unmaintained roads and poor traffic engineering.

5. The strain on the environment is too much in so many ways.

5. **Large crowds strain our limited restaurants and compete with residents for food and housing access.**

- Residents lack long term housing options because most all housing has turned into vacation rentals.
- With over 3 million tourists annually at JT National Park, the current amount of approx 60 food establishments in the Morongo Basin does not provide nearly enough food access for residents & tourists. Any more large influx of tourists further strain our limited amenities.

6. Water is an issue in this area. Why create an unsustainable relationship through this development?

6. **This plot of land is on a fault line and any development will require its own water/sewage system.**

- Our rural roads often are not well maintained - even worse condition after earthquakes activity and flash floods, which both frequently occur in this area.
- Water is a scarce resource in our region. Water, waste water disposal and sewage for large scale developments needs proper infrastructure and should not further strain our community's limited resources.

7. This area should be conserved and protected for generations to come. There should be a focus on creating a healthy and sustainable environment for those that reside full time in this area.

7. **What do you envision for the community instead of this proposed festival/glamping development? Pitch your ideas!**

- We want this pristine wildlife area placed into a **land trust for permanent conservation**. Mojave Desert Land Trust (MDLT) and other conservation organizations can help!
- We want this plot of land turned into **affordable housing units that are long term rentals**. Residents, especially service workers, need affordable housing!
- Do not develop on this pristine wildlife area. Instead, **create a low-impact motel along Hwy 247 or Hwy 62 in a commercial zone that is walkable to other businesses and does not create more traffic**.
- Invest in development projects that support **restaurants for the public!**
- **Designate a commercial zone in the Morongo Basin area into fairgrounds** - that's suitable for large events and music festivals. We do not want our peaceful home & wildlife habitat turned into a party zone for tourists!

Thank you so much for your help and time. I am counting on you to afford the human residents and the animal residents to flourish and be safe in life.

Sincerely,

☺

Jessica Danforth-Kaniuka
Sent from my iPhone

Biggs, Lupe

From: Jessica Graybill <jagraybill@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 22, 2021 8:24 AM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Subject: Development in the hi desert

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Good morning Mr. Morrissey,

I am writing to voice my opposition on a proposed glamping development in the Flamingo Heights area of Yucca Valley, Project #PROJ-2020-00191 on APN 0629-181-01.

There are a number of proposed glamping developments at various stages in the works currently, and this overdevelopment is not only detrimental to the environment but also will be detrimental to the way of life most locals moved here to cultivate. Our formerly quiet, peaceful town is already inundated with tourists, and placing them in large numbers via glamping developments in residential neighborhoods is not the proper solution. That particular parcel is zoned for rural living, but the proposed helipad and concert venue for up to 25,000 people would threaten the neighbors' peace and quiet and pose a horrendous traffic concern, and the fire pits will be a wildfire risk if not managed properly.

Additionally, this development would cause habitat destruction for the endangered desert tortoise, western burrowing owl, and western Joshua Tree, as well as migratory birds and other wildlife. This is an important wildlife linkage between the San Bernardino Mountains and Sand to Snow National Monument, Bighorn Mountain Wilderness, and Joshua Tree National Park.

Overdevelopment of the desert not only removes the ecological value of the area, but it threatens tourism too because one of the main reasons folks visit the desert is because it is unique; there are not many places in California (or the rest of the nation) where you can see such raw, wild beauty, and land is a non-renewable resource.

Please reconsider not only this development, but all developments going forward. I know some people see the desert as a place to build on, but I and many others think it is perfect as it is.

Thanks very kindly for your consideration,

Jessica Graybill
Yucca Valley, CA

Biggs, Lupe

From: jessica jones <stratatattoo@labe.com>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 7:23 PM
To: Morrissey , Jim; Tonya Van Parys
Subject: Proj-2020-00191

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Mr. Morrissey,

I'm writing today to thoroughly object to a proposed destination resort project, referred to in your files as: PROJ-2020-00191

A number of elements point to the developer's disingenuousness, and refusal to own their accountability for the effects they will impose on the area.

TRAFFIC

For example, the fact that the developers want to put a helipad in to avoid the very traffic snarl they know they're going to impose on the community puts the lie to their claim that they won't impact the area much.

This area is already a primary, connective corridor between the Sand to Snow National Monument, the Bighorn Wilderness, Joshua Tree National Park, and points north. The configuration of Route 247 near the site means adding excessive amounts of traffic entering a dangerous roadway, a highway already known for an inordinate count of high-speed collisions yearly.

Obviously, the proposed project, in conjunction with several other proposed (and likewise opposed) camp sites, will likely lead to an influx of traffic, especially on already crowded weekends. Our neighborhoods will be inundated by revelers' traffic, and fatal accidents will boom.

FIRE and ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT

The effect on the immediate vicinity from increased and unplanned tourism development will negatively and profoundly impact the residents of Landers and Flamingo Heights.

The developers also want to avoid doing an environmental impact statement by expecting us to accept their own biased, in-house opinion, which conveniently turned out in their favor?

Nope.

Many found it odd that the biological survey conducted by a reputable biologist in 2006 (when this project was originally proposed as a housing development) showed significant signs of desert tortoise on the site, including around seven live tortoises and nearly 30 burrows. But somehow, the developer's own 2020 biological survey showed absolutely no sign of tortoise. I'm not buying that.

The 2020 biological survey also claimed that no sign had been reported on the site, nor near the site, which is obviously not true, as the site's own previous biological survey completely contradicts that assertion.

Damaging and inappropriate proposal elements also include:

*The project's three, 700-square-foot fire pits raise serious concerns about the developers' intentions regarding local air quality and fire safety. Morongo Basin is a high drought area, and fires have swept through the area before.

*The project proposes uprooting threatened Western Joshua Trees to make way for roads, structures and parking. Unacceptable.

*The project potentially pollutes groundwater in Pipes Canyon Wash from septic systems, visitors' vehicle oil and coolant leaks, and/or campers carelessly discarding dishwater and similar items into the soil or wash. This all merits further investigation.

*Their proposed glampsite will allow significant noise and light pollution and have a negative impact on residents and wildlife.

*This is a High wind-event area, which is also on track to increase in intensity, as weather becomes more extreme. Therefore, all structures must be able to sustain 70mph winds. It's also likely that this development will mean more trash blowing in the wind and affecting the surrounding neighborhood and wildlife.

REQUESTS and DEMANDS

*New, legitimate Environmental Impact Study

*Cal-Trans Studies & Traffic Impact Studies

*Developer to meet with the community and Planning Department together.

*A complete Project Designation Study for new construction

*Property is not zoned for commercial enterprises of this magnitude and therefore, should be Denied

*This delicate area would be best suited for a Desert Nature Preserve

*Helicopters (whether emergency or VIP) will create dust and disturb wildlife as well as create ongoing nuisance for neighboring communities.

Under no circumstances can there be any helipads

Thank you,

Sent from my T-Mobile 5G Device

Get [Outlook for Android](#)

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Jessica Pfeiffer <jessica.pfeiffer@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 21, 2021 11:19 AM
To: Morrissey , Jim
Subject: Flamingo heights project PROJ-2020-00191

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Jim, my family and I are full time residents in Joshua tree and we oppose this development. We do not believe it will be an asset to the community. We need things here for residents not exclusive glamping sites that have bars and restaurants the public cannot use. Plus it's terrible for the wildlife. That's a huge space that animals roam, this development will be a total disaster for our area. Plus there will so much congestion, noise , obstruction to our dark skies, heavy impact on residents water. The list goes on to why we oppose this disaster. I cannot fathom a helipad too, no way! We vote NO.

Thank you and please help us help our community not destroy it.

Jessica Pfeiffer
310.963.4150
7161 saddleback road
Joshua Tree 92252

Sent from my iPhone

Biggs, Lupe

From: Jillian Sandell <jilliansandell@yahoo.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 24, 2021 7:05 AM
To: Supervisor Rowe; Morrissey, Jim
Subject: Proposed Flamingo Heights project (PROJ-2020-00191 on Parcel 0629-181-01)

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Supervisor Dawn Rowe and Contract Planner Jim Morrissey

Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 on Parcel # 0629-181-01

I am a resident of Joshua Tree and I am writing to express my opposition to the proposed Flamingo Heights project.

1. This area is part of a critical wildlife corridor and the habitat of the desert tortoise (threatened species) and western Joshua Tree (candidate for threatened species), as well as many other native animals and birds, which would be destroyed by the proposed development.
2. The massive crowds coming to camp and attend events, and the proposed helipad, will create noise and light pollution, which is not appropriate for an area zoned for rural living.
3. There is a high risk of unattended fires, increasing the wildfire risk, with limited fire station services and thus severe wildfire damage.
4. The project will put a huge strain on the water supply and sewage system.
5. The local roads, both highways and dirt roads, cannot support the increased traffic this proposed project will bring to the area.
6. The project does not serve the local community, which needs more affordable housing.

This piece of land needs to be protected, not developed! It is an area of critical environmental value and cannot support this proposed project.

Thank you for listening to local residents and their concerns.

Sincerely,

Jillian Sandell

Biggs, Lupe

From: Jo Ann Bollen <votemorongobasin@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 23, 2021 7:00 AM
To: Morrissey , Jim
Cc: Supervisor Rowe
Subject: Landers Glamping Resort Pipes Canyon

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello Jim,

I'd like to say that I oppose PROJ-2020-00191. I'm worried about the environmental impact on our desert. I'm especially worried about water use, wildfire danger, more traffic accidents on the already frightening 247, and damage to wildlife and the ecosystem in that area.

Please add my comment to the public record.

Thanks so much,

Jo Ann Bollen
Yucca Valley, CA

Jo Ann Bollen (She/Her)
Democratic Assembly District Delegate AD42
Field Director, Field Team 6/CA-08 Chapter
Founder, Vote Morongo Basin
VP, Democrats of the Morongo Basin
Chair, Voter Registration Committee, San Bernardino County Democratic Central Committee
(760) 702-0639
Register To Vote
at <https://voterizer.org/>

[OBJ]

Biggs, Lupe

From: Prusch, David - LUS
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 12:09 PM
To: Jo Ann Bollen
Cc: Morrissey, Jim
Subject: RE: Landers Glamping Resort Pipes Canyon

Hi Jo Ann,

Thanks for forwarding your message to Jim to me.

There is no imminent deadline relative to this project proposal. Jim will keep your comments on this project in the project file.

Thanks

David Prusch, AICP
Supervising Planner
Land Use Services Department
Phone: 909-387-4122
Cell: 909-601-4724
Fax: 909-387-3223
385 North Arrowhead Avenue, First Floor
San Bernardino, CA 92415-0187



Our job is to create a county in which those who reside and invest can prosper and achieve well-being.

www.SBCounty.gov

County of San Bernardino Confidentiality Notice: This communication contains confidential information sent solely for the use of the intended recipient. If you are not the intended recipient of this communication, you are not authorized to use it in any manner, except to immediately destroy it and notify the sender.

From: Jo Ann Bollen <votemorongobasin@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 23, 2021 7:05 AM
To: Prusch, David - LUS <David.Prusch@lus.sbcounty.gov>
Subject: Landers Glamping Resort Pipes Canyon

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi David,
I'm forwarding this because I got a notice that Jim was away. Wasn't sure if my emailed comment needed to be recorded right away.
Thanks,
Jo Ann Bollen

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Jo Ann Bollen** <votemorongobasin@gmail.com>

Date: Fri, Apr 23, 2021, 6:59 AM

Subject: Landers Glamping Resort Pipes Canyon

To: <jim.morrissey@lus.sbcounty.gov>

Cc: <Supervisor.Rowe@bos.sbcounty.gov>

Hello Jim,

I'd like to say that I oppose PROJ-2020-00191. I'm worried about the environmental impact on our desert. I'm especially worried about water use, wildfire danger, more traffic accidents on the already frightening 247, and damage to wildlife and the ecosystem in that area.

Please add my comment to the public record.

Thanks so much,

Jo Ann Bollen

Yucca Valley, CA

Jo Ann Bollen (She/Her)

Democratic Assembly District Delegate AD42

Field Director, Field Team 6/CA-08 Chapter

Founder, Vote Morongo Basin

VP, Democrats of the Morongo Basin

Chair, Voter Registration Committee, San Bernardino County Democratic Central Committee

(760) 702-0639

Register To Vote

at <https://voterizer.org/>

[OBJ]

Biggs, Lupe

From: Joan Taylor <palmcanyon@mac.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 24, 2021 9:57 AM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Subject: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor Parcel Number: 0629-181-01
Attachments: Scan018.pdf

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Attached Please find Sierra Club comments on Project # PROJ-2020-00191

Assessor Parcel Number: 0629-181-01

Please confirm receipt of this email, thanks.



SAN GORGONIO CHAPTER

Regional Groups Serving Riverside and San Bernardino Counties: Los Serranos, Tahquitz, San Bernardino Mountains, Mojave, Moreno Valley, Big Bear

April 23, 2021

Jim Morrissey, Contract Planner
County of San Bernardino
By email to Jim.Morrissey@lus.sbcounty.gov

Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191
Assessor Parcel Number: 0629-181-01

Dear Mr. Morrissey:

This letter is on behalf of Sierra Club. We request to be put on the mailing list to receive notices regarding this application at:

Joan Taylor
Tahquitz Group of the Sierra Club
PO Box 4944
Palm Springs, CA 92263

It is our understanding that this application is for a commercial resort-campground type of facility, and we have concerns about the project's potential significant impacts to this area which is considered a habitat connectivity corridor among various conserved areas. Additionally, traffic, groundwater quality, and disruption of the quiet enjoyment of an area designated rural living are all serious concerns. We believe the preparation of an Environmental Impact Report is warranted in a case such as this which is a substantial departure from what would be expected, given the existing land use designation, and which poses significant biological and other impacts.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment, and please make this letter a part of the record on the matter.

Very truly yours,

Joan Taylor, Chair
Tahquitz Group of the Sierra Club

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: JOE GARCIA <jmgcity@pacbell.net>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 11:22 PM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Subject: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 - Assessor Parcel Number (APN) 0629-181-01

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Please accept these as our comments regarding the proposed Flamingo Heights Planned Glamping Resort, project # PROJ-2020-00191 - Assessor Parcel Number (APN) 0629-181-01.

We are residents at 1520 Delgada Ave. in Flamingo Heights, only a half mile from the proposed site of development. We are strongly against this development being approved. We are in agreement with Mojave Watch in their detailed list of environmental and ecological concerns (see below). For those who personally live in the area, this is a hideous idea proposed by people who have no connection with or understanding of what this high desert area is and means to the local inhabitants. This land should be conserved, not developed!

We appreciate the opportunity to voice our comments and concerns and hope to participate in future communications and hearings regarding this unacceptable development.

Thank you,

Joe and Joanna Garcia
1520 Delgada Ave.
Yucca Valley, CA 92284
(818) 321-8685

From Mojave Watch:

There are numerous points that need to be considered for this particular project, prior to consideration of approval:

Traffic safety and control.

First and foremost, traffic safety and control must be addressed for this project. All traffic from this resort heading to Joshua Tree National Park, the Sand to Snow National Monument, the towns of Yucca Valley, Joshua Tree, Pioneertown, and Twentynine Palms, will be turning left from this resort out onto Highway 247. Highway 247/Old Woman Springs Road is not only becoming rapidly obsolete in its ability to carry the levels of traffic it is now faced with carrying in its current construction of one lane in each direction, but it is also an extremely dangerous highway, and more dangerous for visitors who do not know it well.

Ultimately, Highway 247 should be developed into a four-lane highway, north from Yucca Valley to Landers, with additional passing lanes created past Landers, through Johnson Valley to Lucerne Valley. This project will result in significant increases in traffic, and will be joined by an increase in traffic to the areas served by Highway 247 in the hi-desert. Other developments are proposed for Landers and Pioneertown that will, if completed, result in additional significant increases in traffic entering onto the highway. Traffic concerns must be addressed with additional hospitality projects and residential and commercial development included.

CalTrans must conduct a thorough traffic study and provide input prior to this application moving forward, and the costs of the study need to be borne by the applicant. Knowing the highway, its traffic, and the propensity for drivers to illegally pass and drive at high rates of speed in that area (I personally, have been passed illegally on Highway 247 by dirt bikes, 18-wheelers, and an assortment of cars and trucks, all while driving at, or above, the posted speed limits), and the potential for off-road vehicles to enter the highway at various points in that area (see my comment about dirt bikes illegally passing me), I would strongly suggest dedicated turn lanes for this resort be mandated for both directions, not just for entry into the resort, but also the construction of lanes for accessing the highway from the resort. The main goal for any hospitality-related project needs to be the proper protection of guests, staff, and residents. If no turn lanes and access

lanes are created for this project, it is my strong belief that serious accidents can and will result. Not addressing traffic safety at the beginning of this project constitutes negligence, and Highway 247 is already dangerous enough without creating an additional major safety hazard. Costs need to be borne by the applicant, and if the applicant is unwilling or unable to provide these safety provisions for their guests and locals, then their application must be denied.

The applicant notes that they are creating a 350-space parking area, so any attempt to downplay the amount of traffic that would be coming and going from this resort must be dismissed. This will constitute a major intersection. It may call for installation of additional traffic controls, including a dedicated traffic light for the intersection, in addition to the turn and access lanes. The applicant has proposed that the project be exempt from preparing a required traffic impact study. A full traffic impact study must be required for this project.

Economic development concerns

While this project can be an attractive addition to lodging facilities in the Joshua Tree National Park gateway communities, it also may not result in profits returning to those communities. Out-of-town owners may receive much of the economic benefit, with only low-paying service jobs being created locally. As that is likely, the project needs to not degrade the quality of life for local residents. With the resort being fairly self-contained with its own recreational facilities, dining, etc., the project's applicants should more fully detail what economic benefits our local communities will receive from the project's construction. If our benefits are to be increased traffic and more dangerous highways; low-paying jobs; increased fire hazards, noise, light pollution; reduced wildlife corridors and habitat; etc., then this project application should be denied. This project is billed as "high end" and "luxury." That means it is a project that would be out of reach economically for most of our hi-desert residents. Is that appropriate for this area?

This project application may be for an attractive and upscale resort facility, but it needs to also be reviewed as an enclave for the wealthy, which will not be accessible to local residents. It is important that in considering this project, the impacts and benefits to the local community must balance. Local residents must not be burdened with additional impacts while benefits accrue to out-of-town owners and investors. Promises of being a good neighbor are not enough. A plan must be included for how exactly this project will benefit the local communities and residents. It is also appropriate for San Bernardino County to craft a plan to return most of the TOT to the hi-desert.

Cultural Sensitivity

This project should immediately remove all references to "teepees" and substitute "A-frame" or "chalet" as terms for their "teepee" accommodations. That reference is incorrect (they are not teepees), and are the cultural appropriation of Native American terminology in an inappropriate setting. In addition, Mojave Desert Native American tribes did not reside in teepees. It may be appropriate for the applicants to consult with Native American tribes of the region on how best to educate the project's clientele about the history and cultures of the tribes, and involve them with on-site programs if feasible.

Construction Concerns

It has become clear upon reviewing various projects for glamping resorts proposed for Flamingo Heights, Yucca Valley, Joshua Tree, and elsewhere, that the designers of these resorts obviously do not live in the desert. Unfortunately for them, and for us residents of the desert, many of those tasked with reviewing and approving these projects also do not live in the desert. This may result in some unforeseen (for them) consequences.

Glamping accommodations, by their very nature, are extremely vulnerable to the wind and sun. This particular project, sited on the immediate side of the Pipes Canyon Wash, is quite exposed to winds that can range up to hurricane force. This inevitability must be addressed, as the safety of guests and employees depends upon it. While the sun will degrade canvas and wood construction over time, the wind can destroy tentlike structures in seconds, and placing glamping tent structures on the edge of a large wash, may make them even more vulnerable to wind shear. One can imagine the utter displeasure of luxury glampers as their safari tent disintegrates about them and their belongings are scattered over the edge of the wash, and beyond.

It is easy for those unfamiliar with this area to discount this possibility, but then they do not have neighbors who have had a 2,500-gallon water storage tank blown four miles downwind, or a friend who lost half his home to a small tornado.

In addition, this resort has a number of large, communal fire pits planned. Any resident downwind for miles, might be justified in thinking this is a direct and present danger to their home. With strong winds being common, and devastating (see the summer of 2006 with the Sawtooth Complex Fire and several others that year, and since then, including the Lake

Fire), open fires are an extreme danger to residents and wildlife. This has to be addressed in the strongest terms possible.

I watched in horror one evening as campers on the side of Mt. San Jacinto decided to build a small campfire during an evening with 45+ mph winds. In 20 minutes, the tiny flame in the distance had become an out-of-control wildfire that was burning a significant portion of the side of the mountain. By dawn, it was hundreds of acres in size and required millions of dollars of resources to control. We've seen fires get out of control, and residents are all too aware of the careless approach toward open fires by visitors at vacation rentals and campsites.

This project requires a mandatory fire control plan that addresses the prospect of fires built at the resort, and possibly out of control. Fire safety must be, like traffic safety, at the forefront of design and construction. No resident wants to lose their home because some wealthy out-of-town guest wanted to make s'mores during a raging windstorm.

Impacts on habitat and wildlife

The 2006 biological survey of the project area found the majority of threatened desert tortoise sign was found in the area of the project that will be developed. A total of 86% of the tortoises, 96% of the burrows, 95% of fresh scat and 98% of older scat, and 100% of tortoise tracks, were found in the area planned for development. The desert tortoise is not the only threatened species found on the site if that report is to be believed. However, a 2020 biological survey reported no tortoise occurrences on the site or adjacent to it (according to the 2020 report, nothing evidently lives on the site). This contradiction requires further investigation prior to approval. A significant number of special-status bird species were also identified on-site, which is home as well to migratory birds. As few Joshua trees should be removed or relocated as is possible. With glamping sites, one should be able to move them a few feet in any direction to avoid destroying Joshua trees. However, plans reveal many Joshua trees will be removed or destroyed if the resort is built according to plan. This is unacceptable.

In addition to the "protected" western Joshua tree, there are a number of other plant species that are supposedly protected by county ordinances, including creosote and yuccas that are hundreds of years old (and sometimes older). Those ordinances, however, are routinely ignored by Land Use Services, the Planning Commission, and the Board of Supervisors, when vetting projects for development, so they should not present an obstacle to development.

That said, there are a lot of us taxpaying county residents who would actually like county government to follow their own rules and regulations, and I would advocate for thorough protection of all supposedly protected species in any development of this property.

The land in question is an important part of a wildlife corridor linking mountain and desert habitats, and how the large portion of the Pipes Canyon Wash will be managed, is an important question. Will the resort's property in the wash be fenced? And if so, how? Fencing could be harmful in preventing wildlife usage of this corridor, however, fencing could be beneficial, if done properly. Appropriate fencing would stop off-roading use of the wash on this parcel, which, if wildlife could still migrate through the wash, would allow for wash habitat to be nearly devoid of vehicular traffic. That could be beneficial. However, locating hundreds of guests on the rim of the wash and setting up the wash property for guest use, would reverse any potential gains.

It is my understanding that the parcel is deemed a priority for conservation, and land trusts are open to purchasing the property for preservation. That option should be explored.

Zoning

The parcel is zoned Rural Living. Residents along the Highway 247 corridor, and along both sides of the Pipes Canyon Wash, should all be notified of this project prior to closure of the public comment period. The county routinely only does the most minimal and legally required notifications for projects, which is grossly irresponsible to their mission, and to the county residents who fund their positions. This is an enormous problem as the rural areas of the county lack thorough media coverage and the means to properly inform the public of projects that will impact them. Any project with 350 parking spaces in this area will have significant impact on local residents and public comment periods should not be closed until they have the opportunity to properly review and comment upon project information. Design can mitigate much of the negative impacts, but the concerns of local residents must be included at the outset of the project, instead of after it is too late to address those concerns.

Water

The parcel must be annexed into a water district to receive service. Does the Bighorn-Desert View Water Agency have appropriate supply to meet the demands of this resort without any possible impacts to existing customers?

Wastewater

There needs to be a hydrological study of the potential impact of the wastewater coming from approximately 300-400 guests and employees daily, upon the groundwater resources nearby, including residential wells. Without such a study, impacts will be realized after the fact. That is not acceptable.

Events

This site should either be a glamping resort or an event site, not both. It is proposed as a glamping resort, but there is information that was produced noting the possibility of hosting large events on site as well. Hosting large events at this location would be a disaster and would create dangerous traffic situations on Highway 247, and would directly contradict the intention of Rural Living zoning designation. Any proposal for 90.5 acres of temporary parking and concerts with up to 25,000 guests, should be nixed immediately. Should they not be, I would recommend the developers be required to pay for expanding Highway 247 to four lanes plus appropriate turn on/off lanes, from the intersection with Route 62 to Landers, prior to approval. It sounds extreme, but if they want to profit by creating disasters for local residents, they need to mitigate for those disasters up front.

Noise and Light Pollution

Reviewing plan materials for this project, it appears the lighting has been designed by urban designers with a romantic notion of desert life. That's fun, to an extent, however, the potential for excessive light pollution is significant if not addressed at this stage of the process. Lights need to be focused downward, with caps on top, to prevent as much light pollution as is possible. This will benefit not only local residents, but guests as well, who will enjoy being able to see the desert night sky. String lights on 5 meter light posts should not be utilized except perhaps in an outdoor dining area.

Loud music and noise should be prevented or mitigated in keeping with the Rural Living zoning of this property and surrounding properties.

Native Plants

The use of non-native plants for the project should be discouraged. There is no need for a saguaro forest in the Mojave Desert. Saguaro do not natively grow here, and it would be obscene to allow the removal of native Mojave Desert plants while non-native plants are substituted to create an artificial landscape. I strongly recommend working with a native plant biologist like Robin Kobaly to craft a landscape utilizing the native desert plants on site and available. Either that, or plant a giant petunia forest to truly baffle guests. Why these folks need an agave farm, is mystifying, but after a few of the guests' children puncture themselves, they may have a change of heart.

Helipad

Perhaps this, coupled with the recording studio, and the proposal for 90.5 acres of parking and the ability to host 25,000 people at periodic concerts, are all part of the allure of this luxury glamping resort. In any event, how can this helipad be designed to be as safe as possible in an area frequently subjected to high winds, dust devils, and severe heat? Is this necessary? Why is this necessary? Could there be potential safety risks from power lines?

Fault Zone

Hi-desert residents who lived here in 1992, remember the Landers Earthquake quite well. Per the Fault Hazard Study conducted for the site, "surface fault rupture occurred within the western margin of the site along the trace of the Johnson Valley fault during the 1992 Landers earthquake. Evidence for the 1992 surface rupture was still apparent during the 2007 field investigation."

The report noted, "Primary faulting is indicated in only three of the ten trenches excavated and is shown with little apparent offset. This is somewhat troubling, especially considering the suspected Pleistocene age of the lower sedimentary units exposed within the trenches and suggests that the materials were too massive to clearly reveal offsets and/or not old enough to reveal repeated displacements... For purposes of established building setbacks, Landmark has included fractures within the overall fault zone and has recommended a conservative 75 foot setback from the fracture

zone. This results in a non-buildable zone that varies from approximately 100 to 400 feet in width along the western site boundary."

The potential for significant seismic activity should be included in review of any site plans for this project. With most disruption occurring along the western side of the parcel, potential exists for water and power service to the site to be disrupted, as well as access to and from the site to Highway 247.

Cultural Resources

While a survey found few Native American cultural resources on site, they did find a prehistoric campsite with broken arrowheads and a possible prehistoric rock alignment, along with a rhyolite scraper, multiple cutting tools, and a mano fragment. Construction on this site should require a Native American site monitor.

Biggs, Lupe

From: John Calvert <johnm@fastmail.net>
Sent: Saturday, April 24, 2021 8:00 AM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Subject: PROJ-2020-00191. Assessor Parcel No 0629-181-01

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear sir

I am against the proposed development of this property this property is zoned RI and should remain so. The impact on the area the native flora and fauna increased traffic on highway 247 is immeasurable.

This is important habitat for many species, including desert tortoise (state endangered), western Joshua tree (state threatened), western burrowing owl (California Species of Special Concern), migratory birds (protected under the migratory bird treaty act) and other wildlife, including desert kit fox, mountain lion. Creosote rings and Mojave yucca clonal rings are present as well, some of them potentially thousands of years old it is also an important link connecting the San Bernardino Mountains/Sand to Snow National Monument, Bighorn Mountain Wilderness, Marine Corps base, and Joshua Tree National Park. Development would remove that ecological value.

Old Woman Springs Road is a dangerous highway, more so when crowded. In the last five years there have been more than a dozen accidents in the stretch of highway between Aberdeen and Reche that were serious enough to have had first responder involvement. Adding more than 100 cars exiting and entering the highway here during busy hours will prove dangerous, especially given drivers who pick up speed once they emerge from Pipes Canyon south of the project. A thorough traffic study, dedicated turn lanes, and perhaps a stop light should be considered if the project goes forward. The likelihood of extra costs to the county for first responders should be assessed.

The parcel is zoned RI, and conditional use permit wouldn't mitigate the erosion of neighbors' quiet enjoyment the RL zoning is designed to protect. The proposed bar and restaurant add to the concern, as does the possibility that this would become an event venue the say they have removed it and the helipad but who knows what the future may hold.

Fire pits included in the proposal prompt the question will operations include onsite staff who can restrict fire pit use during burning bans, high-wind events, or periods of high particulate matter counts? If not, who will be responsible for fire safety, and for environmental and public safety in general. County fire despite getting their way with the fire tax is reducing not increasing service out here and for many years the closest stationing Landers has been empty.

Plans suggest that wastewater will be directed to a leach field on the property, north of the resort. A stormwater retention basin will be sited immediately east of the sewage disposal leach field. What volume of waste water is projected to be disposed of via this leach field (considering perhaps 200-300 guests in a typical weekend in season)? Are hydrology studies available that ensure this large addition of wastewater won't infiltrate into neighbors' wells (there are at least 61 domestic wells within a few miles downstream) or the aquifer serving Bighorn Desert View Water Agency? Would stormwater capture from the project's hardened surfaces accentuate this percolation of sewage?

The parcel is not in the service area of either Bighorn Desert View or High Desert Water District. In order to develop the property in line with basic building codes, Bighorn Desert View would have to agree to build a pipeline to serve the property, at the land owner's expense.

Studies of potential noise levels should be undertaken. Lighting sufficient for guests, plus ambient light from lodging units, fires, visitor headlights, party lights, and other sources of illumination are likely to pose a substantial addition to light trespass in this relatively dark area, posing threats to safety, wildlife, and quiet enjoyment by neighbors.

There is an active earthquake fault under the property according to a survey done around 2005.

Glamping is not camping, a camp site is a plot rented by a person or persons for a period of time to pitch a portable tent and when finished pack up and remove all their belongings leaving just the empty plot. This is not a therefore a camp site, these proposed structures appear to be semi permanent or permanent structures and do not fit the definition of camping.

This is an all round bad proposal and is detrimental not just to the immediate area but to the Morongo basin and Joshua Tree national park as a whole.

Thank you for considering my comments

John Calvert

525 Artesia Ave

Yucca Valley

Ca 92284

760 364 0097

Biggs, Lupe

From: John Lauretig <harpfojt@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 4:20 PM
To: Morrissey , Jim
Subject: 640

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Is there enough water for this project ? As long as they follow all of the county rules & regulations.
In general I don't support this project. Water, traffic issues (especially on the 247 hill) night sky, noise in the neighborhood .

John Lauretig
Executive Director
Friends of Joshua Tree
friendsofjosh.org
[Instagram](#) [Facebook](#)

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Jon Dukellis <earldukellis@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 19, 2021 11:12 AM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Subject: Hope you give this a read

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi there,

I've been seeing all over how everyone is upset at the proposed plans for a square mile of campsites in the small community of flamingo heights.

I understand how it could be good for the areas stores but in the long run, the traffic that will be going that way making the turn off to the section right after a big turn on the road will not be safe on the already unsafe HWY 247. Aside from that, this section of the high desert is special for such natural and historical areas on either side of the highways. Many still unknown to "hashtags" and "geotags" which have ruined so many of our areas amazing spots. We need to have some things kept special for those who have been here for years and generations.

The more people that come to this area (flamingo heights) the more chance that areas will be more and more disturbed. Unlike a lot of yucca valley, there are STILL tortoises here along with an amazing amount of wildlife that has been pushed out from the southern sister areas that have all been overrun by developing and traffic. Not sure where they will end up if the foot and car traffic come further north as the terrain starts to change once you get to Johnson Valley along with too far west the elevation gains and variety of resources changes.

I know more and more of the locals of the high desert are getting fed up with all of this traffic and the chance of the way of life. I personally have talked to people who didn't mind it a few years ago, but now are fighting mad. This has caused an uproar in town like nothing I've seen. Something has got to be done for the people who live here. Constantly people are getting evicted so their houses can become air b&bs.

What do you think about a lively ghost town? One day all the residents of this high desert will be run out and all it will be is out of towners who come and go and there will be no sense of community.

If you read this far, I greatly respect and appreciate it. If you didn't, I do understand as it sounds like a lot of emails are coming your way from this issue.

Thanks for your time,
Jonathan

Biggs, Lupe

From: Jon @ Streetteam.net <jon@streetteam.net>
Sent: Saturday, April 24, 2021 7:22 AM
To: Morrissey , Jim
Subject: parcel number (0692-181-01) / project ID (PROJ-2020-00191)

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Mr Morrissey,

I am reaching out regarding my concern around the new glamping site being proposed in the Flamingo Heights area. As a full-time resident, who has been enjoying the high desert area since 1998, I do not believe this plan, especially the 25,000 capacity venue, is very well thought out, and is not in the best interest of the community, the ecosystem or the wildlife. This glamping proposal only benefits the few individuals who are trying to take advantage of a beautiful, fragile environment.

Joshua Tree, and the high desert, are already being overrun by a higher percentage of short term rentals than residents. We can not continue to put the tourists in front of the residents who helped to make this a vital area, well before those tried to take advantage of it. There are already two other glamping areas being built, along with the higher percentage of Airbnb/short term rentals dwarfing the full-time residential homes. The local cities do not have the infrastructure to accommodate so many tourists. We have limited groceries, gas, first responders and health care services. This became more and more evident during the pandemic as people fled the surrounding cities and came here, taking much needed supplies away from the full-time residents.

As someone who has spent the last 20+ years working the music industry, I want to first concentrate my concerns on the venue/music festival. Old Woman Springs/247 is a main thoroughfare for 18-wheelers, as well as those trying to travel between our community and Landers, Johnson Valley and other cities. To put into perspective, a 25,000 capacity is 1/3 bigger than the Hollywood Bowl (17,500 capacity), which has 4 traffic lanes in each direction and still needs the help of local law enforcement when there are activities planned. It can take over an hour to travel 1/2 mile due to the traffic congestion in that area. This proposal does not account for only 1 lane in each direction which will cause the 247 to literally become a parking lot. Old Woman Springs is not only just 1 lane in each direction, but it also not equipped to handle the additional traffic, congestion and travel for locals trying to get from point A to B. The amount of congestion, wear & tear on the road, and potential hazards/accidents from that amount of traffic should be very evident. I believe this is not only a greedy attempt to profit, but is something that area is not equipped for.

Any venue of that size needs to adhere to many different regulations as well as much needed man power, that does not reside in this area. As someone who has toured around the world, the amount of thought, preparation and skilled laborers to put on an event far exceeds what is realistic for a one-off or few times a year event. I can not even imagine what would happen if they hired locals who have no experience on the construction, electrical, pyro-tech, etc of a venue that does not have a built-in labor force. This is not only a strain on the environment and/or detriment to the locals, but also a legal nightmare if someone gets hurt/killed due to the lack of foresight, planning, environmental studies, proper regulations adhered to, proper security, lighting, construction (both during build and day of events), etc. The weather alone can cause so many issues due to the 40-60 mph winds that are constant in the evenings.

As many others have already pointed out, the lack of water in the this area is a true & real concern. With the increase in traffic, visitors, and overnight guests, this will cause an increase in the water costs to the local residents. There simply is not enough water; hauled, plumbed or otherwise, for the glamping site. The increase in water demand will 100% cause an increase in the costs to us, the local residents. Water costs are already going up each year, due to hauling costs, drought, scarcity, etc. No matter how this plays out, the local community will be penalized for this compound's water usage. This is simply unacceptable. As a community we do not want this glamping site, and we are the ones that, in the long run, will be forced to pay an increase in water due to the scarcity of available water and water supply.

The high desert is a treasure that should not be stripped of its legacy, wonder & beauty, so we can have more people come and erase about the beauty that nature has provided us. We have seen, again, due to the pandemic, so many tourists come up here and cause more littering, more destruction of the Joshua Trees, more graffiti, more lack of respect for a once respected area.

Mr Morrissey, respectfully, I ask that you please consider that you are in the position to either help us save a beautiful, magical area or you will be someone who helps destroy an area that people once respected for the rare beauty. There are few areas that have the ability and abundance of Joshua Trees left - in the entire world. Are you willing to help protect this area for future generations? Or do you want to add another flash-in-the-pan glamping site, that will help cause the destruction and end to a fleeting ecosystem, that once thrived out here?

I know there are many factors that need to be accounted for. I respect the position you are in. However, I hope you see that this is nothing more than a cash-grab by a few individuals that have not done the proper studies & research (environmental, first responders, safety, waste management, ecosystem, traffic, essentials, water, etc). Please help us save our homes & our community. Communities are becoming lost in a world of tract homes, mini-subdivisions and people who no longer respect the environment.

Thank you,

Jon Nelson
Joshua Tree
jon@streetteam.net

Biggs, Lupe

From: Jon Pack <therealjpack@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 4:33 PM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Subject: Comments re: Project# PROJ-2020-00191; Parcel Number: 0629-181-01

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Project# PROJ-2020-00191
Assessor Parcel Number: 0629-181-01

Dear Mr. Morrissey,

As a resident of Flamingo Heights directly adjacent to the 640 acre parcel in question, I wanted to submit my concerns around the Project# PROJ-2020-00191.

The draw of Flamingo Heights in recent years has been the open space, including the large rural-living-designated open land, the 640 acres in question, right out our window.

We have serious personal concerns about the ramifications of the project on our solitude and the wildlife we encounter every day. Beyond the personal, we have community concerns as well. Traffic on the 247, which is already treacherous above pipes canyon is a principle concern. But most of all, noise and light pollution could completely alter our experience and take away the thing we cherish the most about Flamingo Heights.

In lieu of this project, we would prefer to see MDLT purchase the land at value to project it, something they are financially prepared to do. We do want to see Flamingo Heights develop, but would love to see this occur with new businesses and features along the 247 between Valero and La Copine.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. I appreciate your time.

Jon Pack
Local Resident

Biggs, Lupe

From: judith lynn laffoon <totallyjudy@yahoo.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 25, 2021 9:14 PM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Subject: PROJ-2020-00191, Parcel #0629-181-01

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

I recently learned that there is a developer requesting approval to build a “glamping” resort on a 640-acre parcel adjacent to Hwy 247. The developer is seeking approval for a “Conditional Use Permit” to be able to rezone this parcel from RL (rural living) which would allow them to develop this land without an EIR (environmental impact report) being required.

There are multiple reasons why a large-scale “glamping” resort on this 640-acre parcel is of serious concern to many homeowners in the immediate area. I am not attaching any of the numerous documents citing reasons this Project should be denied because you will have already received them.

I did read today a report by Steve Brown about the drought that the western States are in, and it is only going to get worse. California, being so much of it desert land, is really going to be in a major drought. What we do NOT need during this drought period is a new and unnecessary glamping destination resort. Eight (8) toilet buildings, and twenty (20) individual bathrooms for the Glamping Lofts.

Being of Native American descent, and a card-carrying registered Native American by the Bureau of Indian Affairs, I take total exception to the Teepees that the Developer is proposing as part of this glamping experience. Teepees are insulting to Indigenous Peoples of America. Not every separate tribe of Peoples lived in Teepees. I know that my ancestors lived in structures, not tee pees.

Below are other reasons compiled by myself and many others of Homestead Valley and other areas as to why we are opposed to the approval of this Project: PROG-2020-0191 Parcel #0629-181-01.

*This area is a priority connectivity corridor between Sand to Snow National Monument, the Bighorn Wilderness, Joshua Tree National Park, and points north.

*The configuration of Route 247 near the site means adding large amounts of traffic entering the roadway could be extremely dangerous on a highway with an inordinate amount of high speed collisions.

*I believe that 75 campsites potentially means frequent nights with large campfires, raising serious concerns about both air quality and fire safety, with a large population of State Threatened species candidate western Joshua Trees just downwind, in an area with frequent “wind-events”

The suggested 3-each 700 sq ft fire pits seems excessive and leads me to believe something bigger may be at hand

*I submit the potential for pollution of groundwater in Pipes Canyon Wash from septic systems, visitor vehicle oil and coolant leaks, or campers carelessly discarding dishwater and similar items into the soil or wash is grounds for further investigation. Morongo Basin is a high drought area.

*I submit this glampsite will allow significant noise and light pollution and have a negative impact on residents and wildlife

*I submit that the effect on the immediate vicinity from increased unplanned tourism development will negatively impact the residents of Landers and Flamingo Heights.

*This is a High wind-event area and structures must be able to sustain 70mph winds.

*This, in conjunction with several other proposed camp sites, will lead to an influx of traffic, especially on already crowded weekends, limits must be imposed or our neighborhoods will be inundated.

*Environmental Impact Studies should be up-to-date (not 2008)

*Cal-Trans Studies & Traffic Impact Studies are requested

*I strongly urge developer to meet with the community and Planning Department together.

*I Request Project Designation Study for new construction be set in motion

*I submit that residential zoning does not allow for commercial enterprises of this magnitude and should be reconsidered

*I suggest that this delicate area would be better suited for a Desert Nature Preserve

*I submit that VIP helicopters will create dust and disturb wildlife as well as create ongoing nuisance for neighboring communities
I am not against campsites. I am against Helipads, 25k seat concert venues and 700sq ft fire pits on land designated for Rural Living, situated on a major wildlife corridor.

Respectfully,

Judith Lynn Laffoon
PO Box 3698
Landers CA 92285
760-364-2931

Biggs, Lupe

From: Judy Haft <judyhaft@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 7:47 PM
To: Supervisor Rowe; Morrissey, Jim; Dawn.Rowe@mail.house.gov
Subject: Comments on PROJ: 2020-00191 / Parcel # 0629-181-01

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Supervisor Rowe, and County Contract Planner Morrissey:

We are residents of Joshua Tree, residing at 8313 Larkspur Avenue.

Thank you in advance for considering our concerns regarding the development of project 2020-00191.

We have a number of concerns with the project, which we will outline below, but first to acknowledge the challenge the county has in determining the proper course of development in the high desert. The Morongo Basin is experiencing a housing shortage and a massive influx of people interested in enjoying the climate, clean air, and natural beauty of the area. The challenge of balancing the need for affordable housing access while preserving the natural beauty that, itself, led many of us to invest in the area, is a serious one that should be met with sustainable development principles focused on: retrofitting/renovation of existing derelict or abandoned houses, smart infill development in zoned areas, and new development only in areas of little ecological or wildlife impact. But we should be perfectly clear that this project has neither the stated goal nor any conceivable outcome of improving housing access or improving the quality of life for the residents of the Morongo Basin.

We respect the rights of property owners to make plans for their investments, but when those plans impact public safety, quality of life, and ecosystems well beyond their property boundaries, then we believe further scrutiny is required. Here are our concerns:

1. **Zoning:** the area is zoned RL (rural residential) and a dense, high impact campsite, entertainment venue, helipad (truly inexplicably), bar and restaurant, are in direct conflict in principle and actuality to the zoning.
2. **Traffic:** Highway 247 is, per capita, one of the most dangerous stretches of highway in California, claiming the life of dozens of people in the last few years. A recent lane-widening and rumble-strip installation has done little to slow this tragic loss of life and this development will necessarily require a high degree of turn-in/turn-out traffic in an otherwise uninterrupted stretch of highway. This will require extensive study and lane-widening and perhaps a new traffic light to preserve public safety.
3. **Ecology/Wildlife:** the area plays host to a number sensitive animal species and the Western Joshua Tree which is a candidate endangered species. We would demand a significant impact and mitigation study for any development.
4. **Water/Wastewater:** our local imported water supplies via the Mojave Water Agency are under serious stretch and this rapid development deserves serious study. Further, the nearby Ames Aquifer is a sensitive one and a critical source of water storage for both Bighorn Desert View Water District and the Hi Desert Water District. Absent a significant study of the potential aquifer impacts, this project should not proceed.

5. **Fire:** campsites=campfires and this area has already experienced a significant fire on the section catty-corner to this Section to the southwest in recent years.
6. **Light/Noise pollution:** any music venue would permanently change the entire vicinity and not just during the events themselves as noise-sensitive animals migrate elsewhere. Also 70 campsites means at least 140 beams of headlights shining flashing across the section, and across Pipes Wash all night for the in-and-out traffic of visitors.

Your consideration is very much appreciated and while not looking to stand in the way of smart, beneficial development, we believe there are a number of questions raised by this project that will require significant additional analysis and public review before proceeding.

Thank you again for your consideration,

Judy & Jonathan Haft

Biggs, Lupe

From: Biggs, Lupe
Sent: Thursday, April 22, 2021 8:05 AM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Subject: FW: flamingo heights

Importance: High

Hi Jim- Please see the message below. Can you please respond, if necessary?

Thank you,

Lupe Biggs

Administrative Assistant to Planning

Land Use Services Department

Phone: (909) 387-4110 | Mobile: (909) 601-4640

County of San Bernardino Confidentiality Notice: This communication contains confidential information sent solely for the use of the intended recipient.

If you are not the intended recipient of this communication, you are not authorized to use it in any manner, except to immediately destroy it and notify the sender.

From: pete murphy <pjulianmurphy@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 21, 2021 6:41 PM
To: Biggs, Lupe <Lupe.Biggs@lus.sbcounty.gov>
Subject: flamingo heights

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

To those who are concerned or willing to listen. I am not sure if you are aware of the conservation opportunity that is being highlighted by this plan for excessive development. Often after species and habitats are wiped out, we look back and wish we had done something better, different, with more thought and care. This is that time. As a lover of music and arts, I can assure you that there is no need for ANOTHER festival space or arrangement. Its a booming business, this is just a profit venture. A temporary profit only venture that will cause more destruction than good. So why move forward with it? Why destroy habitats, inconvenience those who already live in the area and put resources at risk? There is no LACK of music festival or glamping grounds. Why not have those who want to invest take over another already established and failed plot of land instead of destroying and clearing a new one? Please please reconsider. This is only for money and temporary gain with long term demise.

Please listen.

Best,

Pete, a lover of both music and nature

Biggs, Lupe

From: Judy Massey <judyleemassey@outlook.com>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 7:14 PM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Subject: RE: FH640, Project # PROJ-2020-00191

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Jim Morrissey
jim.morrissey@lus.sbcounty.gov
909 387-4234
951 925-8455
RE:
Project # PROJ-2020-00191
Assessor Parcel Number
(APN) 0629-181-01

Dear Mr. Morrissey,

I am writing you today in regards to a matter which deeply concerns me: the FH640 glamping resort proposed project. I live in Flamingo Heights within viewing distance of the proposed project (I can see and hear the traffic on Hwy 247 and the northern border of the site at Luna Vista).

My concerns are many, but I won't (and couldn't possibly) cover them all. Please note that I am in agreement with nearly (if not all) of what Steve Brown has written to you on behalf of the Mojave Watch, a group that helps to educate the public in order to protect and maintain public safety, environmental diversity and the integrity of the Mojave Desert.

This area (pretty much the entire Morongo Basin) has two ways to access the high desert, Hwy 247 and Hwy 62. They are both 2-lane highways and already the ongoing cause of accidents including many fatalities. Within the past decade, we were finally able to provide minimal improvement with the addition of paved shoulders (to help reduce the number of soft shoulder roll-overs) at a great expense, and with careful consideration of the native flora, by physically removing and replanting (in exactly the same direction they were originally growing) the endangered and protected (and, I might add, beloved iconic name sakes of our national park) Joshua trees. A stretch of Hwy 247 has been in the process of being designated as a California State Scenic Route, and this project and added increased accident factor will certainly not help that to happen. I've read that a study was done for said project, assuring that not one of the endangered / protected desert tortoises were located on this one square mile parcel. I say impossible, short of total incompetence or lying on the part of the people or person conducting the study. Late fall through early spring is a tortoise's brumation period (reptile hibernation) and will certainly reduce a head count, scat count, track count. Numerous tortoises have been identified as living there in prior counts done by competent individuals.

Wildfires are a huge concern here with high winds, dry brush (as in, desert) and, once again, only two 2-lane highways in or out. I recall waiting for 4 hours to get up the grade (Hwy 62 between Desert Hot Springs and Yucca) to check on my disabled mother. It was closed due to an accident. In 2006, my mother was bedridden when the newly started Sawtooth Fire caught the high winds (also picture tents) and shot across the foothills behind her in Yucca Valley, spreading from Pioneertown to... you got it, Flamingo Heights. Of course, I was highly anxious as to getting my bedridden mother to safety if the flames got any closer and concerns for my own FH home, also perilously close to the raging fire, with the two 2-lane highways needed for emergency vehicles.

As, I mentioned earlier, Joshua trees are protected, as they should be. There have been numerous scientific studies conducted that have concluded that Joshua trees are fighting a very difficult battle with the climate crisis. This is the reason for their current protected status with the State of California. The FH640 project parcel

has many Joshua trees, along with many other types of flora and fauna that will be scraped, displaced and dismissed like dog poo on your shoe, and it's not okay.

Water is, of course, another issue. We live in an area, more often than not, affected by drought. Our water is already on a tiered payment structure to keep people from overusing and creating a critical shortage.

Light pollution: We have a dark skies ordinance and many of us are here for that reason. I'm a photographer, and it really matters to me.

Noise pollution: Shall I send you the sounds of coyotes and bird songs that I wake to each morning? I hear that the requested permits for a 25,000 occupancy events venue is being shelved for now. Smart thinking, as that one has everyone freaking. And, the heliport? The wildlife and neighbors will really love that I'm sure!

Okay, you can probably tell already, that I have a "few" more things to say, but I will also "shelve" them for now, so I can get this email out by today's deadline.

Thank you, Mr. Morrissey, for considering my concerns.

Sincerely,

Judy Massey

Flamingo Heights, CA

760 401-7403

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

To:
San Bernardino County
Planning Department

Dear Mr. Morrissey et al:

I'm writing today to thoroughly object to a proposed destination resort project, referred to in your files as: PROJ-2020-00191

A number of elements point to *the developer's disingenuousness, and refusal to own their accountability* for the effects they will impose on the area.

TRAFFIC

For example, the fact that **the developers want to put a helipad in to avoid the very traffic snarl they know they're going to impose on the community** puts the lie to their claim that they won't impact the area much.

This area is already a primary, connective corridor between the Sand to Snow National Monument, the Bighorn Wilderness, Joshua Tree National Park, and points north. The configuration of Route 247 near the site means adding excessive amounts of traffic entering a dangerous roadway, a highway already known for an inordinate count of high-speed collisions yearly.

Obviously, the proposed project, in conjunction with several other proposed (and likewise opposed) camp sites, will likely lead to an influx of traffic, especially on already crowded weekends. **Our neighborhoods will be inundated by revelers' traffic, and fatal accidents will boom.**

FIRE and ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT

The effect on the immediate vicinity from increased and unplanned tourism development will negatively and profoundly impact the residents of Landers and Flamingo Heights.

The developers also want to avoid doing an environmental impact statement by expecting us to accept their own biased, in-house opinion, which conveniently turned out in their favor?

Nope.

Many found it odd that the biological survey conducted by a reputable biologist in 2006 (when this project was originally proposed as a housing development) **showed significant signs of desert tortoise on the site, including around seven live tortoises and nearly 30 burrows.** But

somehow, the developer's own 2020 biological survey showed absolutely no sign of tortoise. I'm not buying that.

The 2020 biological survey also claimed that no sign had been reported on the site, nor near the site, which is obviously not true, as the site's own previous biological survey completely contradicts that assertion.

Damaging and inappropriate proposal elements also include:

*The project's **three, 700-square-foot fire pits** raise serious concerns about the developers' intentions regarding local air quality and fire safety. Morongo Basin is a high drought area, and fires have swept through the area before.

***The project proposes uprooting threatened Western Joshua Trees to make way for roads, structures and parking. Unacceptable.**

***The project potentially pollutes groundwater in Pipes Canyon Wash** from septic systems, visitors' vehicle oil and coolant leaks, and/or campers carelessly discarding dishwater and similar items into the soil or wash. This all merits further investigation.

*Their proposed glampsite will allow **significant noise and light pollution** and have a negative impact on residents and wildlife.

*This is a High wind-event area, which is also on track to increase in intensity, as weather becomes more extreme. Therefore, **all structures must be able to sustain 70mph winds. It's also likely that this development will mean more trash blowing in the wind** and affecting the surrounding neighborhood and wildlife.

REQUESTS and DEMANDS

*New, legitimate Environmental Impact Study

*Cal-Trans Studies & Traffic Impact Studies

*Developer to meet with the community and Planning Department together.

*A complete Project Designation Study for new construction

***Property is not zoned for commercial enterprises of this magnitude and therefore, should be Denied**

***This delicate area would be best suited for a Desert Nature Preserve**

*Helicopters (whether emergency or VIP) will create dust and disturb wildlife as well as create ongoing nuisance for neighboring communities.

Under no circumstances can there be any helipads

Thank you,

Julia Dole

Property owner and resident, Morongo Basin

--

“A dream you dream alone is only a dream. A dream you dream together is reality.”

John Lennon

Biggs, Lupe

From: Julianne Miller-Boyer <ranchozen@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 24, 2021 6:05 PM
To: Morrissey , Jim
Subject: PROJ-2020-00191

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Mr. Morrissey,

I oppose PROJ-2020-00191 on a host of issues. I live in the area, and the traffic, noise, light pollution, water pollution, fire danger and wildlife impacts are all of great concern to me. Please consider my comment public record.

Julianne Miller-Boyer
PO Box 3727
Landers, CA 92285

Biggs, Lupe

From: Julie Russo Ryan <juliannejrusso@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 4:04 PM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Subject: Opposition to PROJ: 2020-00191 / PARCEL #0629-181-01

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Mr. Morrissey,

I'm writing today in opposition to PROJ: 2020-00191 / PARCEL #0629-181-01. I'm a resident of Yucca Valley and live roughly about 5 minutes away from the intended site. As a resident of the area, I've seen the neighborhood change drastically over the past few years, and I very much welcome the growth. However, I am concerned about how this site will affect not just my day-to-day, but the wildlife that calls this area home, especially the Joshua Trees, bobcats, owls, and threatened desert tortoise - a population that has already declined by 90% since 1980 due to habitat loss.

I also learned that there is a proposed helipad and concert venue for up to 25,000 guests. I don't know how the community is supposed to support that many visitors, especially with only one major road to get to the location. How are people supposed to get to their jobs with the massive influx of traffic? Sound travels especially far out here, so how can you expect to have a concert venue in the middle of a residential area?

There are many ways to bring more people to enjoy and explore the amazing nature that this area has to offer, ways that will benefit local jobs, housing, and the environment.

This is not one of them.

I'm requesting that you and all parties responsible complete a thorough environmental impact report to reconsider the noise, sound, and light pollution, in addition to the fire threat, rural zoning, water needs, and the current lack of affordable housing in the area. If this project continues, will you consider blocking certain aspects such as concerts and helipad?

I thank you for your consideration, and I hope you will do the right thing.

Warmly,
Julianne Russo Ryan
Yucca Valley Resident

Biggs, Lupe

From: Julie Carpenter <violinistas@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 10:00 AM
To: Morrissey , Jim
Subject: NO on Glamping Resort Comment PROJ-2020-00191, AON 0629-181-01

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello Mr. Morrissey,

I'd like to voice my opposition to allowing the glamping project proposed in Landers. The ecological impact and increased traffic are unacceptable to our community. We don't need tourism on this scale encouraged, we're getting plenty. We're already short on resources for food, shopping and healthcare for locals. Our local roads are dangerous enough without the increased traffic and dust from the graded parking lot areas. The fire danger alone is reason to stop it. Please do anything you can to minimize or refuse permitting for this.

Thank you,
Julie Carpenter
Morongo Valley resident

Biggs, Lupe

From: Justin Raymond Merino <merino@kulturspacefoundation.org>
Sent: Thursday, April 22, 2021 6:32 PM
To: Morrissey , Jim
Subject: PROJ-2020-00191

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Greetings Jim,

I'd like to make it known that I oppose PROJ-2020-00191 on a host of issues which I understand my neighbors have shared with you so I add my voice to the dissent. But most of all I'm worried about the environmental impact on our fragile desert landscape.

Please consider my comment public record.

Sincerely,
J. Merino

--

Sent on the go.

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: K Murphy <knmurp@jy@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 2:04 PM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Subject: PROJ 2020-00191

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

We are highly opposed to the proposed glamping project off Hwy. 247 in Yucca Valley. Our concerns are negative impacts on traffic, wildlife, air quality and water. The location is not the place for it and the project should be denied.

Keri & John Murphy
8183 Emerson Ave.
Yucca Valley, CA 92284

Biggs, Lupe

From: Kaitlynn Lucas <kaitlynnlucas@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 28, 2021 12:04 PM
To: Morrissey , Jim; Dawn.Rowe@mail.house.gov
Subject: Flamingo 640 / PROJ: 2020-00191 / Parcel # 0629-181-01

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Jim & Dawn,

Please do not allow PROJ: 2020-00191 / Parcel # 0629-181-01 to go through. The construction of this will decimate the already declining and struggling wildlife in the desert, and considering that we have such little untouched land left in the states, we need to be working even harder to preserve it and begin putting the earth and its inhabitants over profit.

This section where you are planning to build this "glampsite" is a critical wildlife corridor and home to Joshua trees, bobcats, burrowing owls, and threatened desert tortoise – the population of which has declined by 90% since 1980 due to habitat loss.

Please please please, I beg you. The desert is such a beautiful, magical and wild place, and we need to be working harder to preserve the ecosystem and its inhabitants so we can enjoy it for years to come.

Thank you,

Kaitlynn Hudson-Lucas
Los Angeles resident, born and raised in SB County

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Karin Skiba <karingraj@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 22, 2021 11:08 AM
To: Supervisor.Roew@bos.sbcounty.gov; Morrissey, Jim

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

To Whom It May Concern,

This email is regarding PROJ 2020-00191, assessor parcel 0629-181-01.

Myself, my family, and residents in the neighborhood close to the proposed glamping sites are concerned about violation by the developers of the BLM Area of Critical Environmental Control.

There seems to be little regard for the protection of the plants and animals we and the State of California and our Federal government hold dear, as well as little regard for the people who have invested their financial and physical wellbeing in the area. While I understand the eagerness by the developers to cash in on the camping trend, there must be regulations to protect residents and to follow ACEC guidelines. Otherwise, this area will be ruined, and the very reason people want to camp here, and visit will disappear.

As to the article in the Hi-Desert Star, and in response to Dawn Rowe, there must be limits. There must be regulations to be followed. Yes, we advertise Sand to Snow, but it does not mean development to the point of not paying attention to what this desert is all about. And people coming in to invest rather than to live here themselves, to carry out their retirement or raise families in this pristine environment, to only have short term rentals to make more money from visitors...is that what we want this area to become? Is it always about money?

We are also concerned about traffic. We travel the 247/Old Woman's Springs road almost daily, and since it is the main highway to Barstow and beyond, it is heavily traveled. Sometimes there are near misses as we make our way down the hill, and it is only going to be worse and worse as time goes by. Developing more camping sites will intensify the traffic, eventually causing us to be as polluted as the areas we left behind for the clean air we have now.

We hope there is some regulation imposed on all this development. The people you represent are requesting you pay attention to what the regulations are and should be. And that you truly represent us. We hold you responsible for the wellbeing of the area and of our homes and one last thing...our night skies.

Thank you,
Karin Skiba
Yucca Valley resident of Rancho Mesa

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Kate Simpson <simpsonkathrynj@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 11:31 PM
To: Morrissey , Jim; Dawn.Rowe@mail.house.gov; Supervisor Rowe
Subject: Project 2020-00191 in Homestead Valley/Flamingo Heights.

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Mr. Morrissey and Supervisor Rowe,

We are writing with comments on the proposed **Project 2020-00191** in Homestead Valley/Flamingo Heights.

We have a number of concerns with the project, which we will outline below, but first to acknowledge the challenge the county has in determining the proper course of development in the high desert. The Morongo Basin is experiencing a housing shortage and a massive influx of people interested in enjoying the climate, clean air, and natural beauty of the area. The challenge of balancing the need for affordable housing access while preserving the natural beauty that, itself, led many of us to invest in the area, is a serious one that should be met with sustainable development principles focused on: retrofitting/renovation of existing derelict or abandoned houses, smart infill development in zoned areas, and new development only in areas of little ecological or wildlife impact. But we should be perfectly clear that this project has neither the stated goal nor any conceivable outcome of improving housing access or improving the quality of life for the residents of the Morongo Basin.

We respect the rights of property owners to make plans for their investments, but when those plans impact public safety, quality of life, and ecosystems well beyond their property boundaries, then we believe further scrutiny is required. Here are our concerns:

1. **Zoning:** the area is zoned RL (rural residential) and a dense, high impact campsite, entertainment venue, helipad (truly inexplicably), bar and restaurant, are in direct conflict in principle and actuality to the zoning.
2. **Traffic:** Highway 247 is, per capita, one of the most dangerous stretches of highway in California, claiming the life of dozens of people in the last few years. A recent lane-widening and rumble-strip installation has done little to slow this tragic loss of life and this development will necessarily require a high degree of turn-in/turn-out traffic in an otherwise uninterrupted stretch of highway. This will require extensive study and lane-widening and perhaps a new traffic light to preserve public safety.
3. **Ecology/Wildlife:** the area plays host to a number sensitive animal and plant species such as the Western Joshua Tree and desert tortoise, both soon-to-be candidates for endangered species protection. We would demand a significant impact and mitigation study for any development.

4. **Water/Wastewater:** our local imported water supplies via the Mojave Water Agency are under serious stretch and this rapid development deserves serious study. Further, the nearby Ames Aquifer is a sensitive one and a critical source of water storage for both Bighorn Desert View Water District and the Hi Desert Water District. Absent a significant study of the potential aquifer impacts, this project should not proceed.
5. **Fire:** campsites=campfires and this area has already experienced a significant fire on the section catty-corner to this section to the southwest in recent years.
6. **Light/Noise pollution:** any music venue would permanently change the entire vicinity and not just during the events themselves as noise-sensitive animals like bighorn sheep migrate elsewhere. 70 campsites means at least 140 beams of headlights flashing across the section and across Pipes Wash all night for the in-and-out traffic of visitors.

Your consideration is very much appreciated and while not looking to stand in the way of smart, beneficial development that can support the ecosystem and community of the Morongo Basin, we believe there are a number of serious questions raised by this project that will require significant additional analysis and public review before proceeding further. It is our view that **Project 2020-00191** is fundamentally flawed, dangerous, and detrimental to the area.

Thank you very much for your consideration,

--

Kate Simpson

 940.782.4863

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Kathryn Greenbaum <kathryn.greenbaum@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 9:34 PM
To: Morrissey , Jim; Dawn.Rowe@mail.house.gov
Subject: Opposition to PROJ: 2020-00191 / Parcel # 0629-181-01

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello, I am respectfully writing to state opposition to PROJ: 2020-00191 / Parcel # 0629-181-01

This section is a critical wildlife corridor and home to joshua trees, bobcats, burrowing owls, and threatened desert tortoise- the population of which has declined by 90% since 1980 due to habitat loss.

To develop this delicate habitat would be catastrophic not just for its ecology but for its people, too.

The rural zoning, water needs, waste needs, traffic implications, fire threat, strain on local resources, lack of affordable housing, and environmental impact on an already struggling ecosystem would have severe negative impact.

Thank you,
Kathryn

Kathryn Greenbaum
+1 978.500.4774
www.kathryngreenbaum.com

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: katie callan <katieandseb@icloud.com>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 10:24 AM
To: Morrissey , Jim
Subject: Please vote NO on future glamping site for the following reasons:

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,

I'm writing you today as a concerned local resident regarding the future glamping proposal project Proj 2020-00191 on 640 acres of wildlife in Flamingo Heights.

I am not against development, but poor decisions made regarding such development in RURAL zoning.

To keep it short:

1. The area has been proved to be an area rich in desert tortoise habitat.
2. The fact there is NO ACTIVE FIRE DEPARTMENT IN NEARBY LANDERS for unfortunately several years would absolutely cause a strain on existing fire resources from the potential fires created from the proposed 700 sq ft fire pit, bbqs, smoking, etc from such a large amount of people unfamiliar with our crazy and constant winds.
3. The sheer volume of traffic and dangerous driving conditions this project can create on the 247.
4. The zoning is RURAL; there are plenty of commercial lots than can better accommodate such a project.

Thank you for taking into consideration my opposition to this project.

Katie Callan
Landers, CA

Sent from my iPhone

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Katrina Hercules <khercules@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 25, 2021 9:25 AM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Subject: Objection to Flaming Heights 640 acre resort, Project # PROJ-2020-00191, Assessor Parcel Number (APN) 0629-181-01,

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Mr. Morrissey,

I am a concerned resident of Landers, CA writing to share my objections to the proposed 640 acre glamping resort in Flamingo Heights.

I have several concerns that I will explain below:

- This particular parcel is chock full of precious and endangered Joshua Trees. It is my understanding that this planned project will necessitate removing/killing a fair number of trees. Given the fragile nature of this ecosystem and the recent designation of Joshua Trees as a protected plant in California, I am devastated by the proposal that we would destroy such important flora. Additionally, once the resort is in use, I'm greatly concerned by how well the company and its guests will respect the fragile nature of these trees. Given how often we see tourists in controlled areas like the National Park abuse our trees by sitting, leaning on, or attaching things to them, I fear that without vigilant protection that is impossible on private land, there is an incredible risk that whatever trees are maintained will be subject to fatal abuse.

- In addition to the Joshua Trees, it is a known fact that sensitive wildlife like the Desert Tortoise, western burrowing owl, migratory birds, desert kit foxes, and mountain lion live on this region of land. Given how precious and fragile these animals are, it is incredibly concerning that our community would ever entertain the idea that this rare habitat should be encroached upon by a large commercial development. If our citizens and government don't take conservatory measures such as limiting development in wildlife corridors such as this, we will irreparably damage our ecosystem, and the very reason the high desert has become such a popular attraction.

- I drive by this particular parcel nearly every single day, at all times of the day and night. It is a VERY dangerous stretch of road in the best of times, and is known for having a great deal of accidents, and (speaking from experience), a very significant amount of near misses. Supporting the extra traffic and complexity of people turning into and off of this property on a frequent basis will inevitably result in increased accidents and traffic jams that will seriously burden those of us who rely on this road as our single and primary access point to Yucca Valley and most other places in the Morongo Basin. In addition, this two-lane road is simply not built for heavy traffic usage, and as a local taxpayer, I'm not keen on my dollars being used to subsidize the maintenance on the road that this resort will inevitably necessitate.

- The resort itself will also have a serious impact on the community, in regards to noise and light pollution. The proposed helipad will be an incredibly unacceptable disturbance to our quiet rural community. And the noise of hundreds of cars and people milling about every week will fundamentally alter any semblance of a residential environment that local homeowners should reasonably expect in their neighborhoods.

- Another significant concern of mine is that our community simply does not have the policing resources to manage such a significant and concentrated uptick in visitors. While attending last week's Homestead Valley Community Council meeting, we heard from Officers Niles that this region of the Morongo Basin is severely understaffed for even the small

amount of residents that we currently have. Adding several hundred more people every week who aren't familiar with this region and are at risk of speeding, drunk driving, and causing neighborhood disturbances will stretch our thin resources even more. Until our residents can be assured that their current needs are being met, it is irresponsible to invite such a high-risk development that will make it even harder to serve our community's needs.

While I am not opposed to commercial developments in general, this particular proposal is completely out of context with the environmental and residential community that it will live within. My hope is that, should this development move forward, the local government will mandate and enforce strict guidelines for how the developers can use the land and how they must manage their visitors so that they do not present a needless strain on our community or natural environment.

Sincerely,
Katrina Hercules

--

www.outsiders-branding.com

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Kayla Cohen <cohenkayla@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 4:28 PM
To: supervisor.rowe@bos.county.gov; Morrissey, Jim
Subject: Local resident against Flamingo 640.

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Dawn and Jim,

Hope you both are well and thank you for the work you are doing for our community. I am a resident of Yucca Valley, I live on Yucca Mesa just near the intersection of Aberdeen Dr. and the 247. I have been living here for four years. I'm writing to add another voice to the opposition of the Flamingo 640 project.

First off, I want to say I read the entire packet of documents the project has provided -- all of the surveys they conducted and all of their plans. The traffic plan is concerning to me because it describes 10 extra cars per day turning onto the 247 in the AM and 7 extra cars per day in the PM. At the same time they describe 200 rooms/tents for guests and music festivals with 5,000-25,000 people. The 10 extra cars number seems extremely low to me and not well-researched. The 247 up there is already super dangerous to make a left turn on with people regularly going 70+ mph and also people often having headlights out. So ... that just seems underresearched and dangerous.

My biggest point of opposition to the project has to do with conservation of wildlife habitat and open space. That's the major reason I moved out here - the quiet and the scenery. The project will turn at least 90 acres of that into a parking lot, for music festivals that will have between 5,000-25,000 people. The dust created by decimating this groundcover will contribute to the problems with windstorms and dust storms we're already dealing with. The noise will be a problem.

Secondly, I've had a lot of local friends say "Sure, I have no problem with this, they're opening a restaurant and bar! We need another one of those." That's true for me too, I would love more local restaurants and bars. However, clearly it says in the planning documents --- the restaurant and bar WILL NOT be open to the public. They will only be open to the guests at the resort, presumably paying \$200 a night. This is way out of reach for any local I know.

We have so many problems with short term rentals and housing shortages already. We need to focus on dealing with those and creating a healthy thriving community for everyone ... not inviting development from LA to turn this into a mini-LA playground for rich people. That's just stupid.

Thank you,
Kayla Cohen

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: keith ochwat <keithochwat@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 27, 2021 3:28 PM
To: Morrissey , Jim; dawn.rowe@mail.house.gov
Subject: regarding project: 2020-00191 (Flamingo 640)

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

To whom it may concern,

I'm a concerned citizen that opposes the development of more open land in the Flamingo Heights area of Joshua Tree.

I know you care deeply about the health of your community, which includes the plant and animal species throughout. I'm deeply concerned with the impact of the proposed development on this open parcel of land will have.

I fear that the area is extremely unique and beautiful landscape will be sacrificed or an attraction that could be in any part of the region.

I hope you will take my message to heart and oppose the Flamingo 640 project.

Many thanks for your time and consideration,

Keith Ochwat

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Kelsey Keith <kelseykeith@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 6:36 PM
To: dawn.rowe@mail.house.gov; Morrissey, Jim
Subject: Deny Flamingo 640 development

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Before I permanently moved to California this year, I visited the high desert all the way from the east coast. It makes an impression: vast landscapes, seemingly uninhabited, but host to a dizzying ecosystem of alien plants and resilient creatures. Humans, while the beneficiaries of all this beauty, are not the focus of it.

It is essential that we steward this special place—enjoy responsibly now, but protect it so that future generations may enjoy it (responsibly) in the future. We do this in small ways—staying on the trails in the national park, doing the laundry as infrequently as possible—and now, in a way that is much larger scale.

I urge the county planner and district supervisor to deny the permits for such an intrusive development in Flamingo Heights along Pipes Wash. Future generations (and for the desert tortoise, any generation at all) depend on it.

I unequivocally oppose development of PROJ 2020-00191 / Parcel #0629-181-01 along with many full-time residents of Joshua Tree, Flamingo Heights, Yucca Valley, Pioneertown, and Rimrock.

Regards,
Kelsey Keith

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Kenda Taylor <kendataylor1966@yahoo.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 24, 2021 4:16 PM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Cc: Kenda Taylor
Subject: Project# PROJ-2020-00191

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Mr. Morrissey,

I am reaching out to you regarding the proposed project referenced in the subject line that involves the 600+ acres off of HWY 247 in Landers/Flamingo Heights area.

I strongly oppose this project for the following reasons:

- Impact to the desert plants, animals, trees. Particulars the Joshua trees and desert tortoises.
- Impact to the natural resources and public resources. What is the plan for the water that campers would be using? What are the plans for the electricity that the campers will use? How will the sewage and trash be dealt with?
- Impact to the neighbors bordering the property. Increased noise and traffic.
- San Bernardino County has many restrictions regarding outdoor fires. How will this be managed?
- The proposed area has very limited fire department and other emergency resources. How will required changes be paid for and when would they be built/implemented?
- Inevitable impact to the Dark Skies Ordinances.
- Traffic on HWY 247. The amount of traffic has already increased. It is a 2 lane highway now. The campground and additional amenities (specifically, the 25K seat amphitheater) would add to an already congested highway. Widening the highway would impact the desert rock formations and other scenic views along the highway between Yucca Valley and Lucern Valley/Victorville.
- Why is a helipad necessary when Yucca Valley has an airstrip? Put the VIP's in a limo and drive them where they need to go.
- Has the company proposing the glamping ever camped in this area during the extreme wind that the area experiences? I can not imagine how someone would be in a tent, no matter how high end, and be comfortable staying overnight. In the past few years we have had many power outages due to wind and weather. More outages in one year than I have experienced in the past 40+ years.

I own property in Johnson Valley and live in Orange County. I have been a visitor to the high desert area since the early 1970's. Things have changed over time and they have been good. BUT, the proposed project is too much and too big for the proposed area. I like the idea, but the location is not right.

I plan to retire to Johnson Valley and the thought of this glamping/concert/entertainment venue just does not sit well with me.

I hope you reconsider this project and do not allow it.

Thank you!

Kenda Taylor
714-514-2214

Sent from my iPhone

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Kevin Yoshikawa <kevin_y@fe26.com>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 5:08 PM
To: Morrissey , Jim
Subject: PROJ-2020-00191

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello Mr. Morrissey,

I am a property owner a few parcels from the proposed development and am writing to voice concern about the application for a Conditional Use Permit for a Commercial Camping facility in a Residential Zone. My concerns are for the impacts to wildlife, noise and traffic. This area has been designated as a vital wildlife corridor and the additional, concentrated hiking, biking, horseback and OHV activities resulting from a Commercial Camping facility will be harmful. Noise is also a huge concern for the area. A large concentration of people will inevitably create more noise than the same number of people spread out in single family residences. I am also concerned about the traffic created from the facility, guests, staff, maintenance and deliveries would put a huge burden on a two lane highway. There will also be a concentration of activity on the weekends, especially at Friday check in time and Sunday check out time. I realize this is the first stage in a long process, thank you for your attention, please keep me informed as the project progresses.

Sincerely,
Kevin Yoshikawa

Biggs, Lupe

From: KIM WHITE <whitekim23@mac.com>
Sent: Friday, April 23, 2021 10:24 AM
To: Morrissey , Jim
Subject: Re: PROJ-2020-00191
Attachments: PROJECT_NTC.pdf

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Good Morning Jim,

I am very concerned and confused as to why 75 campsites would need 2100 square feet of fire pit...
If these plans are approved, how can we protect our community from making sure the music venue is not worked in down the line?

Thanks again for your time and patience.
Kim

Kim White
213-447-4799

whitekim23@me.com



On Apr 12, 2021, at 9:49 AM, Morrissey , Jim <Jim.Morrissey@lus.sbcounty.gov> wrote:

Good Morning;

Here is the Project Notice.

Jim Morrissey

Planner

Land Use Services Department

Phone: 909-387- 4234

Fax: 909-387-3223

385 N. Arrowhead Avenue, 1st Floor
San Bernardino, CA 92415-0187





Our job is to create a county in which those who reside and invest can prosper and achieve well-being.
www.SBCounty.gov

County of San Bernardino Confidentiality Notice: This communication contains confidential information sent solely for the use of the intended recipient. If you are not the intended recipient of this communication, you are not authorized to use it in any manner, except to immediately destroy it and notify the sender.

From: Morrissey , Jim
Sent: Monday, April 12, 2021 9:36 AM
To: 'whitekim23@mac.com' <whitekim23@mac.com>
Subject: PROJ-2020-00191

Good Morning;

This is my e-mail address.

Jim Morrissey
Planner
Land Use Services Department
Phone: 909-387- 4234
Fax: 909-387-3223
385 N. Arrowhead Avenue, 1st Floor
San Bernardino, CA 92415-0187



Our job is to create a county in which those who reside and invest can prosper and achieve well-being.
www.SBCounty.gov

County of San Bernardino Confidentiality Notice: This communication contains confidential information sent solely for the use of the intended recipient. If you are not the intended recipient of this communication, you are not authorized to use it in any manner, except to immediately destroy it and notify the sender.

Biggs, Lupe

From: KIM WHITE <whitekim23@mac.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 21, 2021 9:30 AM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Subject: Re: PROJ-2020-00191
Attachments: PROJECT_NTC.pdf

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Good Morning Jim,

Here are my comments regarding the Flamingo Heights Glamping Project.

Thank you for taking the time to speak with me on Monday. After much research and soul-searching, this is what I have come up with.

- *I submit that this area is a priority connectivity corridor between Sand to Snow National Monument, the Bighorn Wilderness, Joshua Tree National Park, and points north.
- *The configuration of Route 247 near the site means adding large amounts of traffic entering the roadway could be extremely dangerous on a highway with an inordinate amount of high speed collisions.
- *I submit that 75 campsites potentially means frequent nights with 75 simultaneous campfires, raising serious concerns about both air quality and fire safety, with a large population of State Threatened species candidate western Joshua Trees just downwind
- *I submit the potential for pollution of groundwater in Pipes Canyon Wash from septic systems, visitor vehicle oil and coolant leaks, or campers carelessly discarding dishwater and similar items into the soil or wash is grounds for further investigation
- *I submit this glampsite will allow significant noise pollution and have a negative impact on residents and wildlife
- *I submit that the effect on the immediate vicinity from increased unplanned tourism development will negatively impact the residents of Landers and Flamingo Heights.
- *This is a High wind-event area and structures must be able to sustain 70mph winds.
- *This, in conjunction with several other proposed camp sites, will lead to an influx of traffic, especially on already crowded weekends, limits must be imposed.
- *Environmental Impact Studies must be up-to-date (not 2008)
- *Cal-Trans Studies are requested
- *I strongly urge developer to meet with community and Planning Department simultaneously
- *I Request Project Designation Study for new construction be set in motion
- *I suggest residential zoning does not allow for commercial enterprises of this magnitude and should be reconsidered
- *I suggest that this delicate area would be better suited for a Desert Nature Preserve
- *I submit that helicopters will create dust and disturb wildlife as well as create ongoing nuisance for neighboring communities

Sincerely,

Kim White
213-447-4799

whitekim23@me.com



On Apr 12, 2021, at 9:49 AM, Morrissey , Jim <Jim.Morrissey@lus.sbcounty.gov> wrote:

Good Morning;

Here is the Project Notice.

Jim Morrissey

Planner

Land Use Services Department

Phone: 909-387- 4234

Fax: 909-387-3223

385 N. Arrowhead Avenue, 1st Floor

San Bernardino, CA 92415-0187



Our job is to create a county in which those who reside and invest can prosper and achieve well-being.

www.SBCounty.gov

County of San Bernardino Confidentiality Notice: This communication contains confidential information sent solely for the use of the intended recipient. If you are not the intended recipient of this communication, you are not authorized to use it in any manner, except to immediately destroy it and notify the sender.

From: Morrissey , Jim

Sent: Monday, April 12, 2021 9:36 AM

To: 'whitekim23@mac.com' <whitekim23@mac.com>

Subject: PROJ-2020-00191

Good Morning;

This is my e-mail address.

Jim Morrissey

Planner

Land Use Services Department

Phone: 909-387- 4234

Fax: 909-387-3223

385 N. Arrowhead Avenue, 1st Floor
San Bernardino, CA 92415-0187



Our job is to create a county in which those who reside and invest can prosper and achieve well-being.

www.SBCounty.gov

County of San Bernardino Confidentiality Notice: This communication contains confidential information sent solely for the use of the intended recipient. If you are not the intended recipient of this communication, you are not authorized to use it in any manner, except to immediately destroy it and notify the sender.

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Kona Bilancia <konabilancia@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 19, 2021 8:33 AM
To: Supervisor Rowe; Morrissey , Jim
Subject: Save Flamingo Heights

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi there,

I am voting no for the building of a 75 acre festival and glamping site to keep the natural habitat of our desert. The beauty of the high desert is that it is content in the size. Being small keeps the beauty and having a commercialized environment is the last thing we need here.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Protect our desert!

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Kriss Knapp <knapp.krista@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 16, 2021 9:57 AM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Subject: No to Flamingo 640

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Jim,

My name is Kris. I'm a resident of Joshua Tree and a long time lover and advocate for the desert. I'm writing to express my opposition to the Flamingo 640 development project in Flamingo Heights.

This project is a terrible idea for our community for multiple reasons. My reasons for opposing the project are:

- **It will bring an influx of tourists into a serene wildlife area – bringing more light pollution, air pollution, noise pollution and trash into a vulnerable desert ecosystem.**
- **The helipad seems to be a very bad idea for a wildlife area. This would add air traffic as well as noise from helicopters that would travel for miles.**
- **The land proposed for development is a pristine wildlife corridor that provides habitat for endangered species such as the Western Joshua Tree, Desert Tortoise and Burrowing Owl.**
- **There will be increased risk of wildfire due to campfires that will take place at the 75 clustered campsites proposed. This is of extra concern due to the continual drought in Southern California.**
- **There will be increased traffic and congestion in an area where traffic and roadway safety has become a huge concern.**
- **The land proposed for development is zoned rural living which should not allow this type of development that includes a restaurant/bar, store and music venue.**
- **The size of this project will have an impact on our scarce water resources.**

Thank you for reading my comments. Glamping style resorts are being proposed on a monthly basis now in the high desert. It's disheartening to watch developers scrape the land of slow growing vegetation and wildlife habitat for profits that don't consider or benefit our community.

Thanks,
Kris Knapp

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Kristine Atkinson <kristine.rae@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 21, 2021 2:55 PM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Cc: Supervisor Rowe
Subject: Comment on Project # PROJ-2020-00191

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello Supervisor Rowe and Mr. Morrissey,

My family (my husband Jesse Atkinson and I, and our two small girls), live on Yellow Knife Rd. in Flamingo Heights, directly across Hwy 247 from the proposed glamping and event site on APN: 0629-181-01. I am writing to oppose this development in the strongest possible terms. This area will be highly visible and audible from my home (and every home in this area), and we are extremely concerned about the light, noise, impacts to traffic safety, and damage of this ecosystem. Here are some important issues and support for keeping this land open from this type of high-intensity development:

Traffic safety: Old Woman Springs Road is a dangerous highway, more so when crowded. My family drives on this road every day, both to Landers and to Yucca Valley, and this stretch of road in particular is extremely dangerous because it is where everyone tries to pass. In the last five years there have been more than a dozen accidents in the stretch of highway between Aberdeen and Reche ([cite](#)) that were serious enough to have had first responder involvement. Adding more than 100 cars exiting and entering the highway here during busy hours (possibly intoxicated due to the proposed bar and restaurant in this development), in addition to all of the large work vehicles used during development, will prove dangerous, especially given drivers who pick up speed once they emerge from Pipes Canyon south of the project. A thorough traffic study, dedicated turn lanes, and perhaps a stop light should be considered if (God forbid) the project goes forward. The likelihood of extra costs to the county for first responders should be assessed.

Habitat destruction: This is critical habitat for many species, including desert tortoise (state endangered), western Joshua tree (state threatened), western burrowing owl (California Species of Special Concern), migratory birds (protected under the migratory bird treaty act) and other wildlife, including desert kit fox, mountain lion. Creosote rings and Mojave yucca clonal rings are present as well, some of them potentially thousands of years old.

Parcel has been identified by the Mojave Desert Land Trust as a critical connectivity link between San Bernardino Mountains/Sand to Snow National Monument, Bighorn Mountain Wilderness, Marine Corps base, and Joshua Tree National Park. Development would remove that ecological value.

Parcel is in a priority conservation area, and the Mojave Desert Land Trust, in collaboration with other land preservation organizations, are ready to offer fair market value for the property, protecting it in perpetuity.

Parcel is zoned RL (Rural Living), and offering a conditional use permit for this type of development would massively erode my community's quiet enjoyment the RL zoning is designed to protect. This is especially true if the described helipad sees significant use. The proposed bar and restaurant add to the concern, as does the possibility that this would become an event venue. The EVENT PORTION of this development is extremely worrying; this area should NOT be able to accommodate large events proposed by the developer.

Fire pits included in the proposal prompt the question: will operations include onsite staff who can restrict fire pit use during burning bans, high-wind events, or periods of high particulate matter counts? If not, who will be responsible for fire safety, and for environmental and public safety in general?

Wastewater: Plans (see Mojave Watch) suggest that wastewater will be directed to a leach field on the property, north of the resort. A stormwater retention basin will be sited immediately east of the sewage disposal leach field. What volume of waste water is projected to be disposed of via this leach field (considering perhaps 200-300 guests in a typical weekend in season)? Are hydrology studies available that ensure this large addition of wastewater won't infiltrate into neighbors' wells (there are at least 61 domestic wells within a few miles downstream) or the aquifer serving Bighorn Desert View Water Agency? Would stormwater capture from the project's hardened surfaces accentuate this percolation of sewage?

Water supply: there is no water supply to this property. The parcel is not in the service area of either Bighorn Desert View or High Desert Water District. In order to develop the property in line with basic building codes, Bighorn Desert View would have to agree to build a pipeline to serve the property, at the land owner's expense. Have owners contacted Bighorn Desert View, and what is the likelihood that the water agency would actually agree to serve this facility?

Noise and light: Will the entire facility be available for rent to one party for special events? Will concerts or festivals be planned here? How many helicopter landings/departures are envisioned per month? Studies of potential noise levels should be undertaken. Lighting sufficient for hundreds of guests, plus ambient light from lodging units, fires, visitor headlights, party lights, and other sources of illumination are likely to pose a substantial addition to light trespass in this relatively dark area, posing threats to safety, wildlife, and quiet enjoyment by my family and community.

In addition:

How would the campground keep their guests from trespassing on adjacent properties?

Thank you for your consideration, and please, for the sake of one of the last remaining quiet and rural communities in the Morongo Basin, do NOT approve this development.

Kristine Atkinson

2224 Yellow Knife Rd.

Yucca Valley, 92284

--

Kristine Atkinson

(707) 328 5467

kristine.rae@gmail.com

Biggs, Lupe

From: Krystal Quinn Gibbon <krystal.gibbon@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 25, 2021 9:33 PM
To: Morrissey , Jim; Supervisor Rowe
Subject: Comments Regarding Project PROJ-2020-00191

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Mr. Morrissey,

I am writing to add my concerns and opposition in regards to PROJ-2020-00191 on parcel 0629-181-01.

The proposed project is a disservice to our community and the environment.

This glamping site will add traffic to 247, which, as a two-lane highway, is already incredibly dangerous with people speeding and hazardous turns.

As the proposed project is a completely enclosed resort, with its own dining, bars, and nightlife, guests of the resort will, in all likelihood, not patronize the local restaurants and bars. Any money this resort brings in will stay with the resort and not the community.

Environmentally, the damage done to the land will take decades to repair, if ever. Being in the middle of a large wash, the sound will carry for miles, disrupting the residential areas that surround it. Animals will be displaced, Joshua Trees removed. Our night sky, which has diminished significantly in the last two years will be as polluted as it is in Yucca Valley.

It seems unreasonable to me that we would trade our environment, peace and quiet, and night sky for a project that does absolutely nothing to benefit our community. It is irresponsible and reckless to allow this project to move forward. We need to be investing in local business and infrastructure that benefits the people who live here, not to further diminish this beautiful desert for tourist dollars going to an outside developer.

Thank you for taking the community's concerns into account, we hope you will make the right decision.

Krystal and Josh Castro
Landers, CA 92285

Biggs, Lupe

From: La Copine <lacopinefood@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 10:49 PM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Subject: Project # PROJ-2020-00191

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Please accept this as my comments upon Project # PROJ-2020-00191, Assessor Parcel Number (APN) 0629-181-01, proposed for Flamingo Heights, on Highway 247. I am submitting these comments not only as the chef owner of Flamingo Heights restaurant, La Copine, but also as a local hi-desert resident for the past 6 years.

The glamping resort proposed for a 640-acre site in Flamingo Heights, directly east of Highway 247, raises a number of significant concerns which must be addressed prior to approval. I am not, in general, opposed to the creation of this resort. I believe our area could benefit from appropriate glamping facilities that would provide unique and fun lodging opportunities for the three million annual visitors to Joshua Tree National Park.

However, there are significant dangers presented to not only visitors, but local residents, and the desert environment, should these projects not be constructed appropriately, and those dangers and the risk of harm should not be overlooked by the agencies that will oversee the approval process for these projects.

There are numerous points that need to be considered for this particular project, prior to consideration of approval. My concerns are as follows:

Traffic safety and control.

First and foremost, traffic safety and control must be addressed for this project. All traffic from this resort heading to Joshua Tree National Park, the Sand to Snow National Monument, the towns of Yucca Valley, Joshua Tree, Pioneertown, and Twentynine Palms, will be turning left from this resort out onto Highway 247. Highway 247/Old Woman Springs Road is not only becoming rapidly obsolete in its ability to carry the levels of traffic it is now faced with carrying in its current construction of one lane in each direction, but it is also an extremely dangerous highway, and more dangerous for visitors who do not know it well.

Ultimately, Highway 247 should be developed into a four-lane highway, north from Yucca Valley to Landers, with additional passing lanes created past Landers, through Johnson Valley to Lucerne Valley. This project will result in significant increases in traffic, and will be joined by an increase in traffic to the areas served by Highway 247 in the hi-desert. Other developments are proposed for Landers and Pioneertown that will, if completed, result in additional significant increases in traffic entering onto the

highway. Traffic concerns must be addressed with additional hospitality projects and residential and commercial development included.

CalTrans must conduct a thorough traffic study and provide input prior to this application moving forward, and the costs of the study need to be borne by the applicant. Knowing the highway, its traffic, and the propensity for drivers to illegally pass and drive at high rates of speed in that area (I personally, have been passed illegally on Highway 247 by dirt bikes, 18-wheelers, and an assortment of cars and trucks, all while driving at, or above, the posted speed limits), and the potential for off-road vehicles to enter the highway at various points in that area (see my comment about dirt bikes illegally passing me), I would strongly suggest dedicated turn lanes for this resort be mandated for both directions, not just for entry into the resort, but also the construction of lanes for accessing the highway from the resort. The main goal for any hospitality-related project needs to be the proper protection of guests, staff, and residents. If no turn lanes and access lanes are created for this project, it is my strong belief that serious accidents can and will result. Not addressing traffic safety at the beginning of this project constitutes negligence, and Highway 247 is already dangerous enough without creating an additional major safety hazard. Costs need to be borne by the applicant, and if the applicant is unwilling or unable to provide these safety provisions for their guests and locals, then their application must be denied.

The applicant notes that they are creating a 350-space parking area, so any attempt to downplay the amount of traffic that would be coming and going from this resort must be dismissed. This will constitute a major intersection. It may call for installation of additional traffic controls, including a dedicated traffic light for the intersection, in addition to the turn and access lanes. The applicant has proposed that the project be exempt from preparing a required traffic impact study. A full traffic impact study must be required for this project.

Economic development concerns

While this project can be an attractive addition to lodging facilities in the Joshua Tree National Park gateway communities, it also may not result in profits returning to those communities. Out-of-town owners may receive much of the economic benefit, with only low-paying service jobs being created locally. As that is likely, the project needs to not degrade the quality of life for local residents. With the resort being fairly self-contained with its own recreational facilities, dining, etc., the project's applicants should more fully detail what economic benefits our local communities will receive from the project's construction. If our benefits are to be increased traffic and more dangerous highways; low-paying jobs; increased fire hazards, noise, light pollution; reduced wildlife corridors and habitat; etc., then this project application should be denied. This project is billed as "high end" and "luxury." That means it is a project that would be out of reach economically for most of our hi-desert residents. Is that appropriate for this area?

This project application may be for an attractive and upscale resort facility, but it needs to also be reviewed as an enclave for the wealthy, which will not be accessible to local residents. It is important that in considering this project, the impacts and benefits to the local community must balance. Local residents must not be burdened with additional impacts while benefits accrue to out-of-town owners and investors. Promises of being a good neighbor are not enough. A plan must be included for how exactly this project will benefit the local communities and residents. It is also appropriate for San Bernardino County to craft a plan to return most of the TOT to the hi-desert.

Cultural Sensitivity

This project should immediately remove all references to "teepees" and substitute "A-frame" or "chalet" as terms for their "teepee" accommodations. That reference is incorrect (they are not teepees), and are the cultural appropriation of Native American terminology in an inappropriate setting. In addition, Mojave Desert Native American tribes did not reside in teepees. It may be appropriate for the applicants to consult with Native American tribes of the region on how best to educate the project's clientele about the history and cultures of the tribes, and involve them with on-site programs if feasible.

Construction Concerns

It has become clear upon reviewing various projects for glamping resorts proposed for Flamingo Heights, Yucca Valley, Joshua Tree, and elsewhere, that the designers of these resorts obviously do not live in the desert. Unfortunately for them, and for us residents of the desert, many of those tasked with reviewing and approving these projects also do not live in the desert. This may result in some unforeseen (for them) consequences.

Glamping accommodations, by their very nature, are extremely vulnerable to the wind and sun. This particular project, sited on the immediate side of the Pipes Canyon Wash, is quite exposed to winds that can range up to hurricane force. This inevitability must be addressed, as the safety of guests and employees depends upon it. While the sun will degrade canvas and wood construction over time, the wind can destroy tentlike structures in seconds, and placing glamping tent structures on the edge of a large wash, may make them even more vulnerable to wind shear. One can imagine the utter displeasure of luxury glampers as their safari tent disintegrates about them and their belongings are scattered over the edge of the wash, and beyond.

It is easy for those unfamiliar with this area to discount this possibility, but then they do not have neighbors who have had a 2,500-gallon water storage tank blown four miles downwind, or a friend who lost half his home to a small tornado.

In addition, this resort has a number of large, communal fire pits planned. Any resident downwind for miles, might be justified in thinking this is a direct and present danger to their home. With strong winds being common, and devastating (see the summer of 2006 with the Sawtooth Complex Fire and several others that year, and since then, including the Lake Fire), open fires are an extreme danger to residents and wildlife. This has to be addressed in the strongest terms possible.

I watched in horror one evening as campers on the side of Mt. San Jacinto decided to build a small campfire during an evening with 45+ mph winds. In 20 minutes, the tiny flame in the distance had become an out-of-control wildfire that was burning a significant portion of the side of the mountain. By dawn, it was hundreds of acres in size and required millions of dollars of resources to control. We've seen fires get out of control, and residents are all too aware of the careless approach toward open fires by visitors at vacation rentals and campsites.

This project requires a mandatory fire control plan that addresses the prospect of fires built at the resort, and possibly out of control. Fire safety must be, like traffic safety, at the forefront of design and construction. No resident wants to lose their home because some wealthy out-of-town guest wanted to make s'mores during a raging windstorm.

Impacts on habitat and wildlife

The 2006 biological survey of the project area found the majority of threatened desert tortoise sign was found in the area of the project that will be developed. A total of 86% of the tortoises, 96% of the burrows, 95% of fresh scat and 98% of older scat, and 100% of tortoise tracks, were found in the area planned for development. The desert tortoise is not the only threatened species found on the site if that report is to be believed. However, a 2020 biological survey reported no tortoise occurrences on the site or adjacent to it (according to the 2020 report, nothing evidently lives on the site). This contradiction requires further investigation prior to approval. A significant number of special-status bird species were also identified on-site, which is home as well to migratory birds. As few Joshua trees should be removed or relocated as is possible. With glamping sites, one should be able to move them a few feet in any direction to avoid destroying Joshua trees. However, plans reveal many Joshua trees will be removed or destroyed if the resort is built according to plan. This is unacceptable.

In addition to the "protected" western Joshua tree, there are a number of other plant species that are supposedly protected by county ordinances, including creosote and yuccas that are hundreds of years old (and sometimes older). Those ordinances, however, are routinely ignored by Land Use Services, the Planning Commission, and the Board of Supervisors, when vetting projects for development, so they should not present an obstacle to development.

That said, there are a lot of us taxpaying county residents who would actually like county government to follow their own rules and regulations, and I would advocate for thorough protection of all supposedly protected species in any development of this property.

The land in question is an important part of a wildlife corridor linking mountain and desert habitats, and how the large portion of the Pipes Canyon Wash will be managed, is an important question. Will the resort's property in the wash be fenced? And if so, how? Fencing could be harmful in preventing wildlife usage of this corridor, however, fencing could be beneficial, if done properly. Appropriate fencing would stop off-roading use of the wash on this parcel, which, if wildlife could still migrate through the wash, would allow for wash habitat to be nearly devoid of vehicular traffic. That could be beneficial. However, locating hundreds of guests on the rim of the wash and setting up the wash property for guest use, would reverse any potential gains.

It is my understanding that the parcel is deemed a priority for conservation, and land trusts are open to purchasing the property for preservation. That option should be explored.

Zoning

The parcel is zoned Rural Living. Residents along the Highway 247 corridor, and along both sides of the Pipes Canyon Wash, should all be notified of this project prior to closure of the public comment period. The county routinely only does the most minimal and legally required notifications for projects, which is

grossly irresponsible to their mission, and to the county residents who fund their positions. This is an enormous problem as the rural areas of the county lack thorough media coverage and the means to properly inform the public of projects that will impact them. Any project with 350 parking spaces in this area will have significant impact on local residents and public comment periods should not be closed until they have the opportunity to properly review and comment upon project information. Design can mitigate much of the negative impacts, but the concerns of local residents must be included at the outset of the project, instead of after it is too late to address those concerns.

Water

The parcel must be annexed into a water district to receive service. Does the Bighorn-Desert View Water Agency have appropriate supply to meet the demands of this resort without any possible impacts to existing customers?

Wastewater

There needs to be a hydrological study of the potential impact of the wastewater coming from approximately 300-400 guests and employees daily, upon the groundwater resources nearby, including residential wells. Without such a study, impacts will be realized after the fact. That is not acceptable.

Events

This site should either be a glamping resort or an event site, not both. It is proposed as a glamping resort, but there is information that was produced noting the possibility of hosting large events on site as well. Hosting large events at this location would be a disaster and would create dangerous traffic situations on Highway 247, and would directly contradict the intention of Rural Living zoning designation. Any proposal for 90.5 acres of temporary parking and concerts with up to 25,000 guests, should be nixed immediately. Should they not be, I would recommend the developers be required to pay for expanding Highway 247 to four lanes plus appropriate turn on/off lanes, from the intersection with Route 62 to Landers, prior to approval. It sounds extreme, but if they want to profit by creating disasters for local residents, they need to mitigate for those disasters up front.

Noise and Light Pollution

Reviewing plan materials for this project, it appears the lighting has been designed by urban designers with a romantic notion of desert life. That's fun, to an extent, however, the potential for excessive light pollution is significant if not addressed at this stage of the process. Lights need to be focused downward, with caps on top, to prevent as much light pollution as is possible. This will benefit not only local residents, but guests as well, who will enjoy being able to see the desert night sky. String lights on 5 meter light posts should not be utilized except perhaps in an outdoor dining area.

Loud music and noise should be prevented or mitigated in keeping with the Rural Living zoning of this property and surrounding properties.

Native Plants

The use of non-native plants for the project should be discouraged. There is no need for a saguaro forest in the Mojave Desert. Saguaro do not natively grow here, and it would be obscene to allow the removal of native Mojave Desert plants while non-native plants are substituted to create an artificial landscape. I

strongly recommend working with a native plant biologist like Robin Kobaly to craft a landscape utilizing the native desert plants on site and available. Either that, or plant a giant petunia forest to truly baffle guests. Why these folks need an agave farm, is mystifying, but after a few of the guests' children puncture themselves, they may have a change of heart.

Helipad

Perhaps this, coupled with the recording studio, and the proposal for 90.5 acres of parking and the ability to host 25,000 people at periodic concerts, are all part of the allure of this luxury glamping resort. In any event, how can this helipad be designed to be as safe as possible in an area frequently subjected to high winds, dust devils, and severe heat? Is this necessary? Why is this necessary? Could there be potential safety risks from power lines?

Fault Zone

Hi-desert residents who lived here in 1992, remember the Landers Earthquake quite well. Per the Fault Hazard Study conducted for the site, "surface fault rupture occurred within the western margin of the site along the trace of the Johnson Valley fault during the 1992 Landers earthquake. Evidence for the 1992 surface rupture was still apparent during the 2007 field investigation."

The report noted, "Primary faulting is indicated in only three of the ten trenches excavated and is shown with little apparent offset. This is somewhat troubling, especially considering the suspected Pleistocene age of the lower sedimentary units exposed within the trenches and suggests that the materials were too massive to clearly reveal offsets and/or not old enough to reveal repeated displacements... For purposes of established building setbacks, Landmark has included fractures within the overall fault zone and has recommended a conservative 75 foot setback from the fracture zone. This results in a non-buildable zone that varies from approximately 100 to 400 feet in width along the western site boundary."

The potential for significant seismic activity should be included in review of any site plans for this project. With most disruption occurring along the western side of the parcel, potential exists for water and power service to the site to be disrupted, as well as access to and from the site to Highway 247.

Cultural Resources

While a survey found few Native American cultural resources on site, they did find a prehistoric campsite with broken arrowheads and a possible prehistoric rock alignment, along with a rhyolite scraper, multiple cutting tools, and a mano fragment. Construction on this site should require a Native American site monitor.

Please consider these to be my public comment on this project and include them in the appropriate record.

Thank you, Nicole Hill
Chef/Owner, La Copine

lacopinekitchen.com

lacopinekitchen.com

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Laraine Turk <laraine518@earthlink.net>
Sent: Tuesday, April 20, 2021 10:17 AM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Subject: Flamingo Heights Proposed Glamping Development

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

April 20, 2021

Jim Morrissey, San Bernardino County Land Use Services
385 N. Arrowhead Avenue, Fifth Floor
San Bernardino, CA 92415-0110

Subject: Camping Development in Flamingo Heights

Dear Mr. Morrissey:

Along with many Morongo Basin residents, I am surprised and upset about the possibility of a large luxury camping development in Flamingo Heights. These large-scale possibilities proposed by legitimate but poorly-informed developers must be corralled by the County planning process. From what I've read about the proposal so far, this 75-campsite "glamping" facility would disturb the land and the residents in many ways. These include:

1. It would create excess traffic near a curvy part of Highway 247 that already has dangers due to speed and road curves.
2. Fire danger would be exacerbated as camping visitors always seem to want campfires as part of their experience.
3. The additional septic addition to the aquifer would only make worse the already tenuous water quality and quantity issues we face in the Basin.
4. The area is full of Joshua trees; even if they grade around them, it will inevitably kill some of them, and the state may within a year approve the plan to designate them as threatened. (And this is an example of why that is!!)

I'm a Joshua Tree resident but I visit several friends in the area, with whom I share a deep appreciation for the natural desert environment that is still mostly rich in quiet, dark night skies, with wildlife and native plants in abundance and

comfortable rural living-spaced neighbors. I am appalled to think about the destruction of their treasured space and lifestyle.

I strongly oppose this development and hope you will consider my position as the planning process moves forward.

Thank you,

Laraine Turk
PO Box 305
64024 Hollinger Road
Joshua Tree, CA 92252
Laraine518@earthlink.net
760.799.2951

Biggs, Lupe

From: Lark McMillan <lark@larkmcmillan.com>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 5:38 PM
To: Supervisor.Row@bos.sbcounty.gov; Morrissey, Jim
Subject: Comment for PROJ-2020-00191

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello Ms.Rowe and Mr. Morrissey,

I am writing to ask you to oppose the "Flamingo 640" (PROJ-2020-00191) project in Yucca Valley.

I am a local real estate agent and I live in the hi-desert full time. I am often torn between development (selling lots for this purpose is in my job description) and environmental protection. However, my stance here is firm: this "glamping" resort CAN NOT be built.

This desert is a magical place, and it's full of species that are barely surviving because of human impact. Joshua trees are dying because of climate change. They are being removed at alarming rates, as well. This particular stand of old growth Joshua trees in Flamingo Heights must be protected. The owls and rabbits and squirrels and snakes that live there must have homes. The tortoise (my favorite!) needs its burrows.

We DO NOT need more traffic, more garbage, more light pollution, more noise. We certainly DO NOT need a 25,000 capacity music festival with a helicopter pad in an ecologically fragile part of Yucca Valley.

And, don't forget, there was a devastating fire in this area only a few years ago. We are in a drought. It is not responsible to draw more water from an already limited supply, and it's not responsible to have hundreds of campers (maybe with campfires? Definitely with cigarettes and lighters) in a VERY windy, VERY HIGH RISK fire area.

If we want to have tourism for years to come, we can not be short-sighted on this. We must heavily consider every tree that is impacted, every grain of sand to be moved, every single life that will be impacted.

Thanks for your time. Again, please do not approve PROJ-2020-00191.

Lark McMillan
DRE #02084809
Coldwell Banker Roadrunner Realty
lark@larkmcmillan.com

760-459-4663 cell
www.larkmcmillan.com

Biggs, Lupe

From: Laura Crane <lauracrane@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 10:38 PM
To: Morrissey , Jim
Subject: Concerns with Project# PROJ-2020-00191, Assessor Parcel Number: 0629-181-01
Attachments: concerns apn 0629-181-01.pdf

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Jim,

Attached please find a letter that expresses my concerns with Project# PROJ-2020-00191 on Assessor Parcel Number: 0629-181-01 in the Flamingo Heights area of Yucca Valley. Please add me (at this email address) to your list of interested parties in this application. If you need a mailing address from me, please let me know.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Laura Crane
Yucca Valley, CA

Biggs, Lupe

From: Laura Emerick <lauraemerick@ymail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 9:29 AM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Subject: The Conditional Use Permit for PROJ-2020-00191 must be DENIED.

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

April 26, 2021
To: Jim Morrissey
Jim.Morrissey@LUS.SBCounty.gov
Project: PROJ-2020-00191
APN: 0629-181-01

The Conditional Use Permit for PROJ-2020-00191 must be DENIED.

With the application of this Conditional Use Permit (CUP) to establish a destination resort, San Bernardino County IS SETTING PRECEDENT for what every single parcel owner and out-of-the-area investor can do with their properties going forward. So the question needs to be asked: WHY HAVE ZONING???

The scale of Jericho Systems "Flamingo 640" project far exceeds this location's ability to safely and responsibly host a commercial endeavor of this size (the concert venue capacity would have exceeded the entire population of nearby Yucca Valley by 25%!!!). Zoned Rural Living (RL), parcel 0629-181-01 is a poor location choice for a large influx of tourists for several reasons. The out-of-the-area investors need to be held accountable to the RL zoning designation.

This parcel is NOT ZONED for commercial activities. Precedent must be established for every investor who is buying property at this time, with the false sense that any 'ole place can go into the glamping business, with fire rings and bonfires for every camp site. This is a disaster waiting to happen. The county's sheriff's department, fire department and hospital capacity is not currently funded to support an enormous increase in tourists - they are already overwhelmed right now!!!

State Route 247 is already one of the deadliest roads in California. Approval of this project will require CalTrans to conduct traffic studies, address the need for left turn lanes and additional stop lights. The visiting tourist does not appreciate how dangerous State Route 247 is...putting themselves and residents at a very high risk of injury or death. In the decade that I have been driving SR247, three weeks ago I was nearly killed by a Jeep with Oregon plates. Every single time that I drive SR247, I realize the risk of injury or death is much higher than on other California roads. A residential development on this parcel would at least have residential use of this road, neighbors would be familiar with and could take precautions for safety that tourists will never even be aware of.

Approval of this CUP would have devastating biological impacts. The Circle Mountain Biological Consultants, Inc., Edward L. LaRue, completed a biological survey in 2008, which showed where the Desert Tortoise was at that time. This parcel is in a major wildlife corridor. Approval of a CUP will require a new Environmental Impact Study.

The Bureau of Land Management has designated this area to be of Critical Environmental Concern, as it provides habitat connectivity for the Sand to Snow National Monument, the Bighorn Wilderness area and the Joshua Tree National Park.

The 247 Scenic Route has already been approved. If the CUP is approved, the proposed project would violate this decades-long appreciation for the open space and horizon-to-horizon beauty that is unique to this area. The entire PURPOSE of the 247 Scenic Route would become IRRELEVANT.

The Conditional Use Permit for PROJ-2020-00191 must be DENIED.

Thank you,
Laura Emerick
POB 1222
Yucca Valley, CA 92284

Biggs, Lupe

From: Lauren Every-Wortman <laurenallegra3w@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 5:51 PM
To: Supervisor Rowe; Morrissey, Jim
Subject: Say no to Flamingo 640

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Supervisor Rowe and Planner Morrissey,

I am a resident of Joshua Tree and I strongly disapprove of the conditional use permit for the proposed Festival and Glamping site on the east side of 247.

This development will further harm endangered wildlife and flora in the area.

The enormous crowds expected during music festivals will completely overrun the resources of our small community including food and medical access.

Poorly managed / illegal fires pose a huge risk for our area.

The heavy traffic will be not only annoying but potentially dangerous on our one lane highway and dirt roads.

Instead I can think of many other ways this land can be used to further strengthen our community! It could be turned into an affordable housing development, a land trust for permanent conservation, or even a commercial zone for motels and local restaurants!

Thank you for your time!

--

All my best,

Lauren Every-Wortman
+1 (310) 906-8947

Biggs, Lupe

From: Lee Scott <pipoon@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Thursday, April 22, 2021 10:18 AM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Subject: Flamingo 640 project

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Re: PROJECT-2020-00191 on Parcel 0629-181-01

Dear Mr. Morrissey,

I am a resident of Flamingo Heights and I am opposed to the Flamingo 640 project. The parcel is zoned for Rural Living. It is inappropriate to place a hotel with an outdoor music venue and helipad in the middle of a quiet residential neighborhood. The most important feature of living in this neighborhood is the quiet. That's the main reason we choose to live here. To propose a hotel with all its accessory buildings - restaurant, bar, recording studio etc - to be built in a quiet neighborhood of single family homes shows very poor judgment on behalf of the developers.

As I am writing my wife tells me they have withdrawn the proposal for the music amphitheater. Whether this is true or not it does not matter, the damage is done:

the fact that that the developer would even think of having concerts for 25,000 people demonstrates a gross disregard for the concerns and well being of it's neighbors. This shows that the developer has no respect for me and my neighbors.

Solutions -

1. This parcel has been the number one priority for purchase for Mojave Desert Land Trust. The parcel is an important wildlife corridor and home to endangered species. Let MDLT have the opportunity to purchase the parcel at fair market value.
2. There is plenty of commercial acreage along highway 62 from Yucca Valley to 29 Palms. This is where this project belongs.
3. The parcel is much better suited for single family homes which are sorely needed.

Conclusion - This parcel is the wrong place for this parcel.

Thank you,

Lee Scott

Biggs, Lupe

From: Lelania Cortez <lelania.nichole@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 12:22 PM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Cc: Supervisor Rowe
Subject: NO Proj-2020-00191

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,

I am a constituent of San Bernardino County in Yucca Valley and I am writing to oppose and express my concerns of Proj-2020-00191, the development of a grandiose project in old growth Joshua Tree woodland.

- This area is of concern as it is the habitual of the state level endangered desert tortoise, the protected burrowing owl under the migratory bird act and not to mention the western Joshua Tree a threatened and protected species under the California Endangered Species Act.
- The configuration of Route 247 near the site means adding large amounts of traffic entering the roadway could be extremely dangerous on a highway with an inordinate amount of high speed collisions.
- 3-each 700sqft fire pits for 75 campsites, raise serious concerns about intentions, air quality and fire safety. Morongo Basin is a high drought area.
- The uprooting of State Threatened Western Joshua Trees to make way for roads, structures and parking.
- The potential for pollution of groundwater in Pipes Canyon Wash from septic systems, visitor vehicle oil and coolant leaks, or campers carelessly discarding dishwater and similar items into the soil or wash is grounds for further investigation.
- Glampsite will allow significant noise and light pollution and have a negative impact on residents and wildlife.
- The effect on the immediate vicinity from increased and unplanned tourism development will negatively impact the residents of Landers and Flamingo Heights.
- This is a High wind-event area and structures must be able to sustain 70mph winds.
- This, in conjunction with several other proposed camp sites, will lead to an influx of traffic, especially on already crowded weekends, limits must be imposed or our neighborhoods will be inundated.
- New Environmental Impact Studies are requested.
- Cal-Trans Studies & Traffic Impact Studies are requested.
- I strongly urge the developer to meet with the community and Planning Department together.
- Property is not zoned for commercial enterprises of this magnitude and should be reconsidered.
- This delicate area would be better suited for a Desert Nature Preserve.
- Helicopters (whether emergency of VIP) will create dust and disturb wildlife as well as create ongoing nuisance for neighboring communities.

Thank you,
Lelania Cortez

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Leonidas Retaevion <kevgarcia2018@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 15, 2021 6:11 AM
To: Morrissey , Jim
Subject: Joshua Tree Glamping Resort

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Jim,

I am contacting you in regards to the proposed building of a glamping resort in the Morongo Basin. Please do not allow it to continue any further. Why are you allowing an area that many tourists and locals have come to admire become a future wasteland. The county needs to conduct thorough research for the effects this will have on the environment and it's social impacts. Why go against your own residents? Don't you government officials know that you're to support and voice the concern of the people who do use this area as an escape from this shit show we call life?

In kind regards, Leonidas.

Biggs, Lupe

From: Leslie Burkhardt <leslieburkhardt@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 25, 2021 2:15 PM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Subject: PROJ-2020-00191, Assessor Parcel No: 0629-181-01

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi,

I'm a neighbor who lives in the area of the proposed "Flamingo 640" Glam Camping Project

I already do not travel on this stretch of Hwy 247 -- too many head-on crashes caused by dips in the road that cause cars to disappear for drivers who refuse to heed passing markings along the center of the highway. A traffic signal will be needed at this site for access to the highway, and at Reche and Linn for campers who will be traveling to Giant Rock, Gubler Orchids, and the Integratron. This project needs to fund all necessary safety upgrades that will become necessary due to the influx of traffic that their project will create.

An increase in traffic on dirt roads in the surrounding areas by people unaware of the hazards of traveling the legal speed limit on these roads must be considered. We are seeing these sorts of traffic tragedies that the county has thus far been completely unwilling to address:

[WOMAN, TWO DOGS KILLED IN ROLL-OVER CRASH IN LANDERS SATURDAY | Z107.7 FM \(z1077fm.com\)](#)

The locals, when they are sober, are aware of the hazards, but visitors are not.

How will this impact already strained water and sewage resources? All necessary upgrades need to be paid for by the project owners.

Thanks,
Leslie Burkhardt
Landers, CA

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Leslie Satterfield <lesliesatterfield@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 17, 2021 9:47 AM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Subject: PROJ-2020-00191

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Jim,

My name is Leslie Satterfield and I am a member of the Yucca Valley community. I actually live off Old Woman Springs road and my house is on Sage Ave. The proposed camping site will do a terrible disservice to our community. We have so much to lose by allowing such a thing to happen.

The wind in the area is extreme. I've never seen anything like it until I moved up to the MESA. Which is in the same area in question. It blew roofing from my neighbors house over to my yard. It truly is like nothing I've ever seen.

It's so much trash that blows onto the area after one of our frequent wind storms. These developers don't know the area and are not aware of the eco system and could ruin the whole area.

We just had a fire from one single cigarette not long ago and with so many campfires it would be extremely dangerous in our area. It burned down thousands of acres.

Old Woman Springs road couldn't handle this type of traffic. As a community member that lives and contributes to our community I beg you to not let this development happen.

Thank you for your wisdom and help in this matter.

Sincerley,
Leslie Satterfield
Home Owner on 2848 Sage Ave, Yucca Valley, CA.

Biggs, Lupe

From: Lily Davalos <lilianna4519@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 11:45 PM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Subject: PROJ--2020-00191

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Jim Morrissey,

First and foremost, thank you for taking the time to read my email involving my concerns for your project proposal. To give you some context about myself, I am a Flamingo Heights local and have lived in the desert my whole life. I am a Natural Resources major and have been learning all about our desert ecosystems for the past few years. I feel that this project would endanger many species. All of the acres you wish to build on are currently homes for wildlife and local flora. Right now, we currently have many endangered species in our environment: the desert tortoise, the burrowing owl, and of course the Joshua Tree. I am concerned for the safety and longevity of these species that make this beautiful desert what it is. Other concerns that come to mind would be fire safety, loud noise, and light pollution. Currently, this area is rather serene in comparison to Yucca Valley and Joshua Tree. HWY 247 consists of only two lanes, so this project would bring in a lot of traffic which could result in accidents and more roadkill. There are already so many places for visitors to camp at. As for festivals, the campgrounds where Joshua Tree Musical Festival come to mind. I truly believe this project would bring many more problems that outweigh potential success. I kindly and with much respect ask that you please reconsider this project. Please, keep the very delicate ecosystem in mind when moving forward. Let's keep this desert healthy, clean, and livable for all species. You have the power to help this beautiful land!

Thank you for your time,

Lilianna Davalos

Biggs, Lupe

From: Lily Glass <lily@lilyglassphotography.com>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 10:32 PM
To: Morrissey , Jim
Subject: opposition to proj : 2020-00191

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Mr. Morrissey,
I'm writing with concern in regards to PROJ : 2020-00191 / Parcel #0629-181-01

The addition of 640-acre luxury glamping and concert venue would critically disrupt the wildlife and natural landscape of the area. I'm asking you not to go forward with the new "Flamingo 640" but rather intentionally preserve and protect this vulnerable landscape and its inhabitants.

Thank you for your attention to this matter
Lily Glass

--

[@lilyglass](mailto:lilyglass)
www.lilyglassphotography.com
los angeles, california

Biggs, Lupe

From: Lily Simon <lilysimon.15@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 7:14 PM
To: Morrissey , Jim
Subject: OPPOSITION TO PROJ 2020-00191 / PARCEL # 0629-181-01

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing in OPPOSITION of the Flamingo 640 resort looking to further develop Yucca Valley and thus take away crucial habitat for animals and accessibility to the true beauty of nature.

It is an irresponsible decision in the midst of rapid climate change to not only build a resource-intensive development, but to take even more land away from what makes Yucca Valley a safe environment for wildlife. I believe the establishment would deter the community's most influential supporters of wildlife and instead only promote those looking to see one location and not even come into the park or support the local community.

Thank you for your consideration and please say NO.

--

Lily Simon
214-991-8538

Biggs, Lupe

From: LINDA HORNBERGER <lmhtwb@prodigy.net>
Sent: Friday, April 23, 2021 2:22 PM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Subject: PROJ-2020-00191 Parcel no. 0629-181-01

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,

I am writing to you about the proposed glamping site in Flamingo Heights, just north of Yucca Valley. (PROJ-2020-00191 Parcel no. 0629-181-01) This as you know is an area zone for rural residential living, not commercial developments.

I moved to Flamingo Heights about 20 years ago specifically because it has so much open space with lots of wildlife, a huge number of plant species (I am a trained botanist), and so much peace and quiet. The decision to live in my little bit of paradise comes at a price -- I commute 1 hr each way to work, repairs often require an extra charge for distance, and internet access is horrible. And I will gladly continue to put up with these problems as it is such a wonderful place.

And then I heard about this huge glamping site proposed.

The site that this development is proposed for is heavily forested with Joshua trees, which are now an endangered species in California. We lost a large group of them last summer when there was a fire about 1/2 mile south of the site. It will take hundreds of years for that to recover! Since it is damaged, that would be a better site than an area that is pristine. Sure the developers will probably say they will move them, but I've seen Joshua trees moved... less than 10% survive more than 2 years as their roots are extremely deep in a dry environment. Those trees on that site will be destroyed!

But Joshua trees are not the only species that will be harmed -- burrowing owls, raptors, large cats like bobcats, and of course the desert tortoise will all lose critical habitat. At the very least, a NEW, not 13 yr old, environmental impact study needs to be done to assess the area and to carefully map ALL Joshua trees.

I also see they are proposing fire pits. Seriously? This area has had a ban on burning form most of the 20 years I've lived here. One spark and the entire area is at risk. The closure of nearby fire stations have meant a much longer delay in response times. So when, not if, there is a fire -- either from a fire pit or from an accident -- not only will the habitat be destroyed, but many folks could potentially lose their homes. Will the developers pay to re-open the closed fire stations? Will they pay for my fire insurance or for the loss of my house if something does happen? Will they have 24 hr supervision with proper equipment to supervise those fire pits? I'm guessing the answers are all "no".

I could easily go on and mention the traffic problems on 247, the light pollution, noise pollution (if they seriously intend to have outdoor concerts), and impact on the water supply, but I will stop.

Please, preserve the beauty of area, preserve the wildlife, and vote against this.

Respectfully,
Linda Hornberger
1424 Wamego Trl
Yucca Valley

Biggs, Lupe

From: Lindsay Hollinger <lhollinger@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 20, 2021 9:53 AM
To: Morrissey , Jim
Subject: Re: Comments re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 "Flamingo 640 Glamping Resort"
Attachments: Letter to Planning Lindsay Hollinger PROJ-2020-00191 .docx

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

thanks Jim, i appreciate it. I know your job is intense and I appreciate your time to engage with the community.

The festival/event rumor is definitely swirling here in JT. The fear is that they will host big weddings & events (whether they be private or public, music or craft markets or whatever...bringing a volume of visitors above the bed count). Its hard to pull the brakes on that once the site is established and the business is doing whatever they please. Once the project is built, what is to keep them from doing that or pulling event permits?

best,
lindsay

ps I attached the comments as a word doc if that makes it easier for you, looks like all my formatting was lost in the email. :)

On Tue, Apr 20, 2021 at 9:38 AM Morrissey , Jim <Jim.Morrissey@lus.sbcounty.gov> wrote:

Good Morning;

Thank you for your comments and they will be added to the file. Please be aware this proposal does not include a music festival use.

Jim Morrissey

Planner
Land Use Services Department
Phone: 909-387- 4234
Fax: 909-387-3223
385 N. Arrowhead Avenue, 1st Floor
San Bernardino, CA 92415-0187



Our job is to create a county in which those who reside and invest can prosper and achieve well-being.

www.SBCounty.gov

County of San Bernardino Confidentiality Notice: This communication contains confidential information sent solely for the use of the intended recipient. If you are not the intended recipient of this communication, you are not authorized to use it in any manner, except to immediately destroy it and notify the sender.

From: Lindsay Hollinger <lhollinger@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 19, 2021 10:27 PM
To: Morrissey, Jim <Jim.Morrissey@lus.sbcounty.gov>
Subject: Comments re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 "Flamingo 640 Glamping Resort"

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Mr. Morrissey,

My name is Lindsay Hollinger and I live in Joshua Tree. I am writing to you today about the "Flamingo 640" glamping project that is currently being considered for a conditional use permit. I wish to submit my comments and questions.

Project # PROJ-2020-00191
Assessor Parcel Number (APN) 0629-181-01

My comments, concerns and questions are:

1.

2. Project description and

3. plans for use submitted to the county reflect a Motel/hotel-like project, not a campground.

4. The proposed project has many permanent structures that house short-term

5. guests. It also has proposed permanent structures that include a restaurant, bar, shop, helipad, multi-use buildings, reception area, and a large parking area as a motel/hotel would. This does not align with traditional campground use. The project should follow

6. the protocols for zoning and permitting as required for a motel/hotel/hospitality project- or in the very least, re-evaluated or be required to submit additional plans or information to prove it is truly a "campground"

7.

2.

3. The proposed

4. project is on a Parcel that is zoned RL (Rural Living) and this zoning should maintain the current & future residents' peaceful enjoyment of their property and homes.

5. The conditional use permit would not mitigate the erosion of the lifestyle RL zoning is designed to protect. It would bring large numbers of guests and all that it entails (see comments below re: traffic safety, fire safety, light trespass, noise trespass)

6.

3.

4. This project

5. would cause Desert Habitat destruction that cannot be undone once destroyed.

6. This is an extremely important habitat for many species, including desert tortoise (state endangered),

7. western Joshua tree (state threatened), western burrowing owl (California Species of Special Concern), migratory birds (protected under the migratory bird treaty act) and other wildlife, including desert kit fox, mountain lion. Creosote rings and Mojave yucca

8. clonal rings are present as well, some of them potentially thousands of years old. A 2006 biological survey report is attached for your reference, but a more recent one is needed.

9.

4.

5. The Parcel

6. **is an important wildlife connectivity link** between San Bernardino Mountains/Sand to Snow National Monument, Bighorn Mountain Wilderness, Marine Corps base, and Joshua Tree National Park. Development would remove that ecological value and do irreparable harm to wildlife.

8.

5.

6. The Parcel

7. **is in a priority conservation area and has been identified by the Mojave Desert Land Trust as such.**

8. Land trusts are ready to offer fair market value for the property, protecting it in perpetuity.

9.

6.

7. Traffic

8. **safety will be adversely affected.** Old Woman Springs Road is an extremely dangerous highway.

9. In the last five years there have been more than a dozen accidents in the stretch of highway between Aberdeen and Reche that required first responder involvement according to data:

10. [Refer](#)

11. [to this link for crash data.](#) Adding in excess of 100 cars exiting and entering the

12. highway multiple times a day poses serious risks for guests and residents. A thorough traffic study, dedicated turn lanes, and even a stop light should be considered if the project goes forward. The likelihood of extra costs to the county for first responders

13. should be assessed.

14.

7.

8. Fire pits

9. **are included in the proposal. How will Fire Safety be enforced?** This site is vulnerable

10. to fire, as can be seen by the scorched earth and fire scars still visible a short distance from the parcel on the side of Old Woman Springs road just outside Pipes wash. Will food grills, often traditionally part of campgrounds, also be included? Will operations

11. include onsite staff who can restrict fire pit use during burning bans, high-wind events, or periods of high particulate matter counts? If not, who will be responsible for fire safety, and for environmental and public safety in general?

12.

8.

9. Water supply:

10. **there is no water supply to this property.**

11. **How will the project get water?**

12. The parcel is not in the service area of either Bighorn Desert View or High Desert Water District. In order to develop the property in line with basic building codes, Bighorn Desert View would have to agree to build a pipeline to serve the property, at the

13. land owner's expense. Have owners contacted Bighorn Desert View, and what is the likelihood that the water agency would actually agree to serve this facility?

14.

9.

10. Wastewater

11. **generated by the proposed project will be high in volume.** Considering perhaps 200-300 guests

12. in a typical weekend in season, will the leach field included in the proposed plans be able to handle the volume of waste generated? What volume of waste water is projected to be disposed of via this leach field? How will the plans ensure this large addition

13. of wastewater won't infiltrate into neighbors' wells (there are at least 61 domestic wells within a few miles downstream) or the aquifer serving Bighorn Desert View Water Agency? Is there are hydrology studies available for the project?

14.

10.

11. Noise and

12. **light trespass:** Will the entire facility be available for rent to one party for special

13. events? Will concerts or festivals be planned here? How many helicopter landings/departures are envisioned per month? Studies of potential noise levels should be undertaken. Lighting sufficient for hundreds of guests, plus ambient light from lodging units, fires, visitor headlights, party lights, and other sources of illumination are likely to pose a substantial addition to light trespass in this relatively dark area, posing threats to safety, wildlife, and quiet enjoyment by neighbors.

15.

16.

17. How

18. will the proposed project be accessible for those with physical limitations, as required by the Americans with Disabilities Act?

19. New development in our community should be planned with all community members at its center, and if this proposed project is to move forward it should be ADA Accessible.

20.

12.

13. How would the proposed project

14. utilize local labor and contractors to support the local community? One

15. of the benefits often touted by developers of projects like this is bringing jobs to the high desert community, but how can this proposed development project ensure that local labor and local business see the benefits?

16.

13.

14. How would the campground

15. keep their guests from trespassing on adjacent properties? The proposed

16. project will bring a large volume of guests and tourists, unfamiliar with desert living and neighborhood etiquette. The proposed plans state that they will be for "guest use only" but how can that be guaranteed? How will they control and distinguish between

17. visitors, photographers & "lookie-loos" who come to the property and use it?

18.

14.

15. Environmental Impact Report

16. **(EIR):** a project of this scale needs assessment of the full impact and requiring an EIR will be very important. Will an EIR be required? I hope so.

17.

In conclusion, I strongly urge the planning committee to reject this proposal.

At a minimum, I would like to see the following steps taken prior to a Conditional Use Permit be issued for this proposed project:

- - all questions and comments noted above
 - be considered and answered by the developer and Planning, and the public be allowed to review and comment again before any permit is issued.
-
-
- the project should be re-evaluated
- and considered a hospitality motel/hotel resort development project and required to be permitted as such
-
-
- An Environmental Impact Report be
- required to proceed and the public be allowed to review and comment on it before any permit is issued
-
-
- A Hydrology study be required to proceed
- and the public be allowed to review and comment on it before any permit is issued
-
-
- Any development be fully ADA accessible
-

I believe that community-centered, environmentally-mindful development is possible in the high desert. I would like to see the County persevere to guide development so that it benefits all stakeholders: local residents, local business, and tourists...not just developers looking to exploit the desert solely for profit.

I would love to speak with you further about this project, and I look forward to participating in the public comments and meetings surrounding it. Please feel free to reach out any time. I can be reached at 315-569-3856 or lhollinger@gmail.com

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Best Regards,
Lindsay Hollinger

--

lindsayhollinger.com

315.569.3856

--

lindsayhollinger.com

315.569.3856

Biggs, Lupe

From: Lindsay Hollinger <lhollinger@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 19, 2021 10:27 PM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Subject: Comments re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 "Flamingo 640 Glamping Resort"
Attachments: DanMark640.0680[21].pdf

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Mr. Morrissey,

My name is Lindsay Hollinger and I live in Joshua Tree. I am writing to you today about the "Flamingo 640" glamping project that is currently being considered for a conditional use permit. I wish to submit my comments and questions.

Project # PROJ-2020-00191
Assessor Parcel Number (APN) 0629-181-01

My comments, concerns and questions are:

- 1.
2. **Project description and**
3. **plans for use submitted to the county reflect a Motel/hotel-like project, not a campground.**
4. The proposed project has many permanent structures that house short-term
5. guests. It also has proposed permanent structures that include a restaurant, bar, shop, helipad, multi-use buildings, reception area, and a large parking area as a motel/hotel would. This does not align with traditional campground use. The project should follow
6. the protocols for zoning and permitting as required for a motel/hotel/hospitality project- or in the very least, re-evaluated or be required to submit additional plans or information to prove it is truly a "campground"
- 7.

- 2.
3. **The proposed**
4. **project is on a Parcel that is zoned RL (Rural Living) and this zoning should maintain the current & future residents' peaceful enjoyment of their property and homes.**
5. The conditional use permit would not mitigate the erosion of the lifestyle RL zoning is designed to protect. It would bring large numbers of guests and all that it entails (see comments below re: traffic safety, fire safety, light trespass, noise trespass)
- 6.

- 3.
4. **This project**

5. **would cause Desert Habitat destruction that cannot be undone once destroyed.**
6. This is an extremely important habitat for many species, including desert tortoise (state endangered),
7. western Joshua tree (state threatened), western burrowing owl (California Species of Special Concern), migratory birds (protected under the migratory bird treaty act) and other wildlife, including desert kit fox, mountain lion. Creosote rings and Mojave yucca
8. clonal rings are present as well, some of them potentially thousands of years old. A 2006 biological survey report is attached for your reference, but a more recent one is needed.
- 9.

4.

5. The Parcel

6. **is an important wildlife connectivity link** between San Bernardino Mountains/Sand to Snow
7. National Monument, Bighorn Mountain Wilderness, Marine Corps base, and Joshua Tree National Park. Development would remove that ecological value and do irreparable harm to wildlife.
- 8.

5.

6. The Parcel

7. **is in a priority conservation area and has been identified by the Mojave Desert Land Trust as such.**
8. Land trusts are ready to offer fair market value for the property, protecting it in perpetuity.
- 9.

6.

7. Traffic

8. **safety will be adversely affected.** Old Woman Springs Road is an extremely dangerous highway.
9. In the last five years there have been more than a dozen accidents in the stretch of highway between Aberdeen and Reche that required first responder involvement according to data:
10. [Refer](#)
11. [to this link for crash data.](#) Adding in excess of 100 cars exiting and entering the
12. highway multiple times a day poses serious risks for guests and residents. A thorough traffic study, dedicated turn lanes, and even a stop light should be considered if the project goes forward. The likelihood of extra costs to the county for first responders
13. should be assessed.
- 14.

7.

8. Fire pits

9. **are included in the proposal. How will Fire Safety be enforced?** This site is vulnerable
10. to fire, as can be seen by the scorched earth and fire scars still visible a short distance from the parcel on the side of Old Woman Springs road just outside Pipes wash. Will food grills, often traditionally part of campgrounds, also be included? Will operations
11. include onsite staff who can restrict fire pit use during burning bans, high-wind events, or periods of high particulate matter counts? If not, who will be responsible for fire safety, and for environmental and public safety in general?
- 12.

8.

9. Water supply:

10. **there is no water supply to this property.**

11. **How will the project get water?**

12. The parcel is not in the service area of either Bighorn Desert View or High Desert Water District. In order to develop the property in line with basic building codes, Bighorn Desert View would have to agree to build a pipeline to serve the property, at the

13. land owner's expense. Have owners contacted Bighorn Desert View, and what is the likelihood that the water agency would actually agree to serve this facility?

14.

9.

10. Wastewater

11. **generated by the proposed project will be high in volume.** Considering perhaps 200-300 guests

12. in a typical weekend in season, will the leach field included in the proposed plans be able to handle the volume of waste generated? What volume of waste water is projected to be disposed of via this leach field? How will the plans ensure this large addition

13. of wastewater won't infiltrate into neighbors' wells (there are at least 61 domestic wells within a few miles downstream) or the aquifer serving Bighorn Desert View Water Agency? Is there are hydrology studies available for the project?

14.

10.

11. Noise and

12. **light trespass:** Will the entire facility be available for rent to one party for special

13. events? Will concerts or festivals be planned here? How many helicopter landings/departures are envisioned per month? Studies of potential noise levels should be undertaken. Lighting sufficient for hundreds of guests, plus ambient light from lodging units,

14. fires, visitor headlights, party lights, and other sources of illumination are likely to pose a substantial addition to light trespass in this relatively dark area, posing threats to safety, wildlife, and quiet enjoyment by neighbors.

15.

16.

17. How

18. **will the proposed project be accessible for those with physical limitations, as required by the Americans with Disabilities Act?**

19. New development in our community should be planned with all community members at its center, and if this proposed project is to move forward it should be ADA Accessible.

20.

12.

13. How would the proposed project

14. **utilize local labor and contractors to support the local community?** One

15. of the benefits often touted by developers of projects like this is bringing jobs to the high desert community, but how can this proposed development project ensure that local labor and local business see the benefits?

16.

13.

14. **How would the campground**

15. **keep their guests from trespassing on adjacent properties?** The proposed

16. project will bring a large volume of guests and tourists, unfamiliar with desert living and neighborhood etiquette. The proposed plans state that they will be for "guest use only" but how can that be guaranteed? How will they control and distinguish between

17. visitors, photographers & "lookie-loos" who come to the property and use it?

18.

14.

15. **Environmental Impact Report**

16. **(EIR):** a project of this scale needs assessment of the full impact and

17. requiring an EIR will be very important. Will an EIR be required? I hope so.

18.

In conclusion, I strongly urge the planning committee to reject this proposal.

At a minimum, I would like to see the following steps taken prior to a Conditional Use Permit be issued for this proposed project:

-
- all questions and comments noted above
- be considered and answered by the developer and Planning, and the public be allowed to review and comment again before any permit is issued.
-
-
- the project should be re-evaluated
- and considered a hospitality motel/hotel resort development project and required to be permitted as such
-
-
- An Environmental Impact Report be
- required to proceed and the public be allowed to review and comment on it before any permit is issued
-
-
- A Hydrology study be required to proceed
- and the public be allowed to review and comment on it before any permit is issued
-
-
- Any development be fully ADA accessible
-

I believe that community-centered, environmentally-mindful development is possible in the high desert. I would like to see the County persevere to guide development so that it benefits all stakeholders: local residents, local business, and tourists...not just developers looking to exploit the desert solely for profit.

I would love to speak with you further about this project, and I look forward to participating in the public comments and meetings surrounding it. Please feel free to reach out any time. I can be reached at 315-569-3856 or lhollinger@gmail.com

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Best Regards,
Lindsay Hollinger

--

lindsayhollinger.com

315.569.3856

Biggs, Lupe

From: Linnea Brotz <linneabrotz@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 8:27 PM
To: Morrissey , Jim; Dawn.rowe@mail.house.gov
Subject: PROJ: 2020-00191

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi!

Please accept this as my comments on Project #PROJ-2020-00191, Assessor Parcel Number 0629-181-01, proposed for Flamingo Heights, on Highway 247.

I am opposed to this project. The rural zoning, water needs, waste needs, traffic implications, fire threat, strain on local resources and affordable housing, and environmental impact on a delicate, struggling ecosystem are all reasons that this project should not be developed. I hope that the needs of long term residents and the magical ecosystem are put ahead of this profit driven luxury glamping development .

Sincerely, a desert lover,
Linnea Brotz

Biggs, Lupe

From: Lisa Burford <lisa@lisaburford.com>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 4:54 PM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Subject: Regarding Project #PROJ -2020-00191 (APN) 0629-181-01

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Jim Morrissey,

I am writing to express my comments regarding the Flamingo Heights 640 Project #PROJ-2020-00191 (APN 0629-181-01).

My name is Lisa Burford, and I'm a nearly 9-year resident of Joshua Tree. I am also a small business owner in the area. I am in opposition to this project due to numerous reasons.

The project is in a connectivity corridor between Sand to Snow National Monument, the Bighorn Wilderness, and Joshua Tree National Park. No project where noise, potential pollution, and disturbance by human activity should be placed within a wildlife corridor.

On top of that, to make way for roads, structures, and parking at the site, the State Threatened Western Joshua Trees will need to be uprooted.

The proposed 700 square foot fire pits seriously raise my concern about fire safety. Morongo Basin is a high drought area.


One of the reasons people choose to live here and visit the area is the dark night sky. This site will create light pollution and have a negative impact on residents and wildlife.

The idea of helicopters flying in and out of the site and over our area is an extreme, over the top, amenity for this site and will create dust and noise for wildlife and not only nearby residents, but neighboring communities as well.

Finally, as I write this letter, the wind is blowing very hard as it often does in the area. This site is located in a high wind-event area and structures must be able to sustain 70 mph winds. It is hard to imagine any glamping accommodations can hold up to winds of that speed.

Please do not consider this development for Flamingo Heights and the Morongo Basin.

Thank you,
Lisa Burford



LISA BURFORD
designer | illustrator | educator
lisaburford.com

Biggs, Lupe

From: Lori Gresty <lorigresty@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 8:04 PM
To: Dawn.Rowe@mail.house.gov; Morrissey , Jim
Subject: Strong opposition to PROJECT 2020-00191/Parcel # 0629-181-01

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

I strongly oppose any county legislation that would allow this project to proceed.

I'm sure you've heard most of the details from other desert lovers as why.

Lori Gresty
Joshua Tree

Duron, Heidi - LUS

From: Louise Goffin <rodeo33rpm@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 19, 2021 9:36 PM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Subject: Flamingo Heights 640 Glamping, project 2020-00191 on Parcel 0629-181-01

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Jim,

I'm writing because I was going to close escrow on 1523 Cambria Ave in Yucca Valley tomorrow, and have delayed close of escrow since discovering plans to set up a glamping development just south of the property I'm purchasing. I, like many other residents, chose Flamingo Heights for its wildlife, quiet and desert sanctuary away from the noise of the city. I have concerns about air pollution and the fire hazard from the smoke from so many campsites. I have concerns about protected species and unsafe traffic conditions. And foremost, the intrusion on the value of the purchase I made by concert noise, not to mention helicopter noise pollution. These objections to the proposed project do not take into consideration what a long form detailed Environmental Impact Report would reveal.

I was not in town for the meeting today, however I wanted to voice my objections to this proposed development and would love to see the parcel south of OWS be protected so that there is no intrusion on the both the public lands and that private homeowners living in their dwellings are not subjected to those seeking only to turn the land into profit at the expense of the rich and diverse wildlife and ecosystem.

Thank you for considering my objections.

Sincerely,

Louise Goffin
310-740-5849

Biggs, Lupe

From: Louise Goffin <rodeo33rpm@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, March 19, 2022 1:04 PM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Subject: Re: Flamingo Heights
Attachments: Glamping Project Letter.pdf

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Jim:

We meet again online.

As I wrote the last time this came up, I am part of a community that invested in my property to get away from noise and congestion. I have put up with developers in the city of Los Angeles for the last decade and I put down a hefty sum, raising the property values around me, to invest in rural living, without night sky obstruction of lights or ambient sounds not of nature, without congestion of cars on an already perilously fast highway with tourists on OWS Highway.

I honestly do not understand your role.

Are your interests with "managing" the concerns of the residents and locals? Are you serving the developers agendas by fielding locals concerns so the developers can anticipate locals arguments and strategies?

No one, absolutely no one, who is local wants this.

This is all about outsiders screwing up the very thing we all treasure about living here.

I fully understand the developers thirst for this land to make money. Lands throughout the nation, not just California, have been lost to the big money of developers over the humble savings of locals.

You are in the position to stop this. I urge you to clearly understand: I am not one voice - locals DO NOT want this glamping site approved. If you don't care about the locals, and if you believe big business is good for the area, then please explain the nature of your role.

Since you are the point person to send protests to for San Bernadino County, where does it benefit the community to enable developers and ruin the very treasure that this wonderful area has to offer to people, residents who have invested their years and savings to sustaining and respecting the wildlife and quiet that flourishes in the absence of congestion and glamping sites?

I do hope you can relay back to the other county officials and the applicants that this site is unsuitable for their needs, as the locals will not have it.

I thank you for taking the time.

Yours sincerely,

Louise G
resident and homeowner
Cambria Ave

Flamingo Heights

On Apr 19, 2021, at 9:36 PM, Louise Goffin <rodeo33rpm@gmail.com> wrote:

Dear Jim,

I'm writing because I was going to close escrow on 1523 Cambria Ave in Yucca Valley tomorrow, and have delayed close of escrow since discovering plans to set up a glamping development just south of the property I'm purchasing. I, like many other residents, chose Flamingo Heights for its wildlife, quiet and desert sanctuary away from the noise of the city. I have concerns about air pollution and the fire hazard from the smoke from so many campsites. I have concerns about protected species and unsafe traffic conditions. And foremost, the intrusion on the value of the purchase I made by concert noise, not to mention helicopter noise pollution. These objections to the proposed project do not take into consideration what a long form detailed Environmental Impact Report would reveal.

I was not in town for the meeting today, however I wanted to voice my objections to this proposed development and would love to see the parcel south of OWS be protected so that there is no intrusion on the both the public lands and that private homeowners living in their dwellings are not subjected to those seeking only to turn the land into profit at the expense of the rich and diverse wildlife and ecosystem.

Thank you for considering my objections.

Sincerely,

Louise Goffin
310-740-5849

Biggs, Lupe

From: Lucy Carr Jones <carr.lucy@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 4:01 PM
To: Morrissey , Jim
Subject: PROJ 2020-00191

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Mr. Morrissey,

I am writing in opposition to the planned development in Flamingo Heights. This would be an ecological disaster and would ruin the natural landscape for which the area is so famous. It would also negatively impact the hi desert's current human and animal residents.

For the sake of future generations, please do not approve this resort.

Best regards, Lucy Carr Jones

Biggs, Lupe

From: Lupe Stratton <luckylupe49@icloud.com>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 7:15 PM
To: Morrissey , Jim
Subject: Glamming site

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Sir,

I have been a resident for 27 years. My husband who is a Vietnam Veteran loves the quietness up here. He has 100% PTSD. Please sir this is a military town and there is many soldiers who need a quiet place to survive. I could only think what our plan would bring to our community will be disaster. More cars, people, congestion, noise pollution, night sky pollution, animal killings & I could go on.

Please go elsewhere maybe Northern California.

Thank you for your consideration.

The Stratton's

Sent from my iPhone

Biggs, Lupe

From: Lyndie Greenwood <lyndieloo@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 22, 2021 12:20 PM
To: Morrissey, Jim
Subject: Flamingo Heights Festival & Glamping Development Project - PROJ-2020-00191, Assessor Parcel Number (APN) 0629-181-01

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Mr Morrissey and the Land Use Services department,

I am writing in regards to the proposed glamping resort in Flamingo Heights. Development on this scale, in this rural area, raises many concerns, but for the sake of brevity I'll address just two here.

1) Fire.

- Our home has been threatened by fire many times since we moved here. Last year, ash rained from the sky as my husband and I scrambled to gather as much brush from the land as we could, hoping to abate the fires heading our way. Two years ago, directly across the road from the proposed glamping site, a fire raged as we watched, praying it would not reach our home. That fire was started by one careless individual with a cigarette butt. I am very concerned about the increased risk of fire from potentially hundreds of individuals who are encouraged to start fires in open pits. The land is dry, and the winds are strong, and people who don't live here do not understand (or care about) the risks.

2) Noise and Light Pollution.

- As those of us who live here know, sound travels in the desert. We have had issues with a neighbor who is trying to start some sort of rave venue on his land. The noise he has generated during these attempts has traveled all the way to Pioneertown (and his operation is much smaller than what is being proposed by Robott Land Company). The noise is unbearable for those who live nearby, but local law enforcement can only do so much when they have so few working in such a large area. Our calls for help often go unanswered. The noise from a 640 acre resort, coupled with the light pollution it will produce, will drastically change the landscape for all local residents, human and non-human alike.

Thank you for taking the time to hear my concerns. I am not opposed to development in the broader area, but the proposed glamping site would be located on land that is zoned for Rural Living. Surely development of this scale would be better suited to an area zoned for commercial use, as this is a giant commercial project.

Sincerely,

Lyndie Greenwood
55621 Pipes Canyon Rd
Yucca Valley CA
92284